

CP nature of the top-Yukawa coupling A study with the $\Delta \phi_{ij}$ distribution in ggF + 2 jets, h \rightarrow WW* \rightarrow evµv

Higgs Boson Properties

How can we know that the Higgs boson the Standard Model predicts is really the Higgs boson we have measured?

Measure its properties!

Property Mass Charge Spin CP

. . .

Theory Unpredicted Neutral

Even

. . .



Experiment 125 GeV Neutral 0 Unknown*

. . .



The Higgs Mechanism leaves us several options

- $\mathcal{L} \propto |\mathsf{D}_{\mu} \varphi|^2 \mu^2 |\varphi|^2 \lambda |\varphi|^4$
- CP even scalarCP $|\phi\rangle = + |\phi\rangle$ (SM Higgs boson)CP odd pseudo-scalarCP $|\phi\rangle = |\phi\rangle$ (BSM Higgs boson)CP mixed stateCP $|\phi\rangle \neq \pm |\phi\rangle$ (BSM, CP violation)
- CP mixed is a mixed state between CP even and odd The amount of mixing is described with a mixing parameter α



*CP Measurement





Run 1 Measurement in HVV vertex CP even at 0, CP odd at ∞

Excluded pure CP odd Up to 30% CP odd still possible in a mixed state at 95% C.L.

Top-Yukawa Coupling

Probe the top-Yukawa coupling in ggF + 2 jets Assume SM decay vertex $h \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow ev\mu v$







- Measurement of $\Delta \phi_{ii}$ Angle between the two jets Shape depends on CP state
- First time CP measurement in top-Yukawa coupling and in > 0 jet!

Top-Yukawa Coupling

Probe the top-Yukawa coupling in ggF + 2 jets Assume SM decay vertex $h \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow ev\mu v$







- Measurement of $\Delta \phi_{ii}$ Angle between the two jets Shape depends on CP state
- First time CP measurement in top-Yukawa coupling and in > 0 jet!

Simulation of Data

Simulation Monte Carlo samples Data driven techniques

CP dependent signal (ggF + 2 jets) Generate three benchmark samples

- Even
- Odd
- 50/50 Mix

Use morphing technique to find any in-between scenario

Nik hef







- **Higgs Characterisation model**

- Effective Langrangian ($m_{top} \rightarrow \infty$) in terms of: mixing angle between CP even and CP odd α • dimensionless tunable couplings Kxgg
- $\mathscr{L} \propto (\cos \alpha \kappa_{Hgg} G_{Hgg} G^{a} \mu \nu G^{a,\mu\nu} + \sin \alpha \kappa_{Agg} G_{Agg} G^{a} \mu \nu G^{a,\mu\nu}) X$

Benchmark scenarios

- Even ($\kappa_{Hgg} = 1$, $\kappa_{Agg} = 0$, $\cos \alpha = 1$)
- Odd ($\kappa_{Hgg} = 0, \kappa_{Agg} = 1, \cos \alpha = 0$)
- Mixed ($\kappa_{Hgg} = 1$, $\kappa_{Agg} = 1$, $\cos \alpha = 1/\sqrt{2}$)





- **Higgs Characterisation model**
- Effective Langrangian ($m_{top} \rightarrow \infty$) in terms of: mixing angle between CP even and CP odd α • dimensionless tunable couplings Kxgg
- $\mathscr{L} \propto (\cos \alpha \kappa_{Hgg} G_{Hgg} G^{a} \mu \nu G^{a,\mu \nu} + \sin \alpha \kappa_{Agg} G_{Agg} G^{a} \mu \nu G^{a,\mu \nu}) X$

Benchmark scenarios

- Even (KHgg = 1, KAgg = 0, $\cos \alpha = 1$)
- Odd ($\kappa_{Hgg} = 0, \kappa_{Agg} = 1, \cos \alpha = 0$)
- Mixed ($\kappa_{Hgg} = 1$, $\kappa_{Agg} = 1$, $\cos \alpha = 1/\sqrt{2}$)





- **Higgs Characterisation model**

- Effective Langrangian ($m_{top} \rightarrow \infty$) in terms of: mixing angle between CP even and CP odd α • dimensionless tunable couplings Kxgg
- $\mathscr{L} \propto (\cos \alpha \kappa_{\text{Hgg}} G_{\text{Hgg}} G^{a}_{\mu \nu} G^{a, \mu \nu} + \frac{\sin \alpha \kappa_{\text{Agg}} G_{\text{Agg}} G^{a}_{\mu \nu} G^{a, \mu \nu}) X$

Benchmark scenarios

- Even ($\kappa_{Hgg} = 1$, $\kappa_{Agg} = 0$, $\cos \alpha = 1$)
- Odd (KHgg = 0, KAgg = 1, $\cos \alpha = 0$)
- Mixed ($\kappa_{Hgg} = 1$, $\kappa_{Agg} = 1$, $\cos \alpha = 1/\sqrt{2}$)





- **Higgs Characterisation model**

- Effective Langrangian ($m_{top} \rightarrow \infty$) in terms of: mixing angle between CP even and CP odd α • dimensionless tunable couplings Kxgg
- $\mathscr{L} \propto (\cos \alpha \kappa_{\text{Hgg}} G_{\text{Hgg}} G^{a} \mu \nu G^{a, \mu \nu} + \sin \alpha \kappa_{\text{Agg}} G_{\text{Agg}} G^{a} \mu \nu \widetilde{G}^{a, \mu \nu}) X$

Benchmark scenarios

- Even ($\kappa_{Hgg} = 1$, $\kappa_{Agg} = 0$, $\cos \alpha = 1$)
- Odd ($\kappa_{Hgg} = 0, \kappa_{Agg} = 1, \cos \alpha = 0$)
- Mixed (KHgg = 1, KAgg = 1, $\cos \alpha = 1/\sqrt{2}$)



Selection of Events

Preselection ggF + 2 jets, h \rightarrow WW* \rightarrow evµv Select two leptons and two jets

Cuts

Cut away regions that contain little or no signal to reduce backgrounds and so increase sensitivity

Example: m_{II} Full selection in back-up

Nik hef





Selection of Events

Preselection ggF + 2 jets, h \rightarrow WW* \rightarrow evµv Select two leptons and two jets

Cuts

Cut away regions that contain little or no signal to reduce backgrounds and so increase sensitivity

Example: $m_{\parallel} < 90 \text{ GeV}$

Full selection in back-up

Nikhef





Boosted Decision Tree

Multivariate Technique

Find an as optimal as possible discriminating variable between a chosen signal (ggF + 2 jets) and background (all backgrounds)

BDT response Cut at 0 leads to large background reduction, so increased sensitivity



14





Input variables

 $\Delta \Phi_{\parallel}, M_{T}, M_{\parallel}, Min(\Delta \Phi_{\parallel,i1}), Min(\Delta \Phi_{\parallel,i2})$

Histogram partly blinded

Validation

Control Regions Select a region close, but orthogonal to your signal region Check the modelling of the backgrounds with real data Apply scale factor (if needed) to greatly enhance sensitivity







Z→ττ CR

Uncertainties



Experimental Uncertainties physics objects such as electrons, muons and jets



Theory Uncertainties

- MC generator
- Parton shower
- Parton distribution functions
- QCD scales

Uncertainties related to reconstruction and identification of



Hypothesis Test Fit $\Delta \phi_{ii}$ in different CP scenarios Minimise a log likelihood distribution

Assuming a CP even scenario fractions \geq 14% CP odd are excluded at 95% C.L.

Conclusion Four times higher precision than in Run 1* *Run 1 was a measurement in the HVV vertex, Run 2 in the more challenging top-Yukawa coupling





For the first time a CP measurement in the top-Yukawa coupling



Results

Hypothesis Test Fit $\Delta \phi_{ii}$ in different CP scenarios Minimise a log likelihood distribution

Assuming a CP ev ≥14% CP odd a

Conclusion For the first time a CP measurement in the top-Yukawa coupling Four times higher precision than in Run 1* *Run 1 was a measurement in the HVV vertex, Run 2 in the more challenging top-Yukawa coupling















Back-up

Event Selection Histograms





All signals and backgrounds normalised to 1





Event Selection

 $\Delta R_{ii} > 1$ M_{II} < 90 GeV рт, II > 20 GeV M_T < 150 GeV b-jet veto Z→TTveto Possible BDT cut



SR Two OS/OF leptons with p_T > 22 / 15 GeV (lead / sublead) ≥ 2 jet with p_T > 25 / 30 GeV (lηl < 2.5 / 2.5 < lηl < 4.5)

- top CR At least one b-jet
- $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau CR$ Inverted $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau veto$, no $p_{T,II}$ cut
 - **WW CR** Inverted M_{II} , no M_T cut
 - **SSVR** Two SS leptons

Control and Validation Regions



Z→ττ CR

WW CR







Higgs Characterisation

EFT Lagrangian describes interactions of scalars and pseudo scalars with vector bosons

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{0}^{V} &= igg\{ c_{lpha} \kappa_{ ext{SM}} igg[rac{1}{2} g_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{4} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{H\gamma\gamma} g_{H\gamma\gamma} \, A_{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZ\gamma} g_{HZ\gamma} \, Z_{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZ\gamma} g_{HZ\gamma} \, Z_{\mu
u} \ &- rac{1}{4} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{Hgg} g_{Hgg} \, G_{\mu\mu}^{a} \ &- rac{1}{4} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} rac{1}{\Lambda} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} Z^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} X^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} X^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} X^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} X^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} X^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} X^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} X^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{HZZ} \, Z_{\mu
u} X^{\mu} \ &- rac{1}{2} ig[c_{lpha} \kappa_{\mu
u}$$



$$\begin{split} & \overset{\mu}{=} + g_{HWW} W^{+}_{\mu} W^{-\mu} \big] \\ & \overset{\mu\nu}{=} A^{\mu\nu} + s_{\alpha} \kappa_{A\gamma\gamma} g_{A\gamma\gamma} A_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{A}^{\mu\nu} \big] \\ & \overset{\mu\nu}{=} A^{\mu\nu} + s_{\alpha} \kappa_{AZ\gamma} g_{AZ\gamma} Z_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{A}^{\mu\nu} \big] \\ & \overset{\mu\nu}{=} G^{a,\mu\nu} + s_{\alpha} \kappa_{Agg} g_{Agg} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \big] \\ & \overset{\mu\nu}{=} Z^{\mu\nu} + s_{\alpha} \kappa_{AZZ} Z_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{Z}^{\mu\nu} \big] \\ & \overset{\mu\nu}{=} W^{-\mu\nu} + s_{\alpha} \kappa_{AWW} W^{+}_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{W}^{-\mu\nu} \big] \\ & \overset{\mu\nu}{=} A^{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{H\partial Z} Z_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} Z^{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{H\partial W} \left(W^{+}_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} W^{-\mu\nu} + h.c. \right) \big] \Big\} X_{0} \end{split}$$

Boosted Decision Tree

Data / pred

BDT Training ggF SM vs. backgrounds

Variable name	Variable importance
$m_{\ell\ell}$	0.21
$m_{ m T}$	0.20
$\Delta \Phi_{\ell\ell}$	0.17
$\Delta R_{\ell_2,j_i}^{min.}$	0.14
$p_T^{\ell\ell}$	0.14
$\Delta R_{\ell_1,j_i}^{min.}$	0.13





60

40

20

20

40

60

80

100

min R_{I.}



Correlation Matrix (signal)



 $p_{_{\mathrm{T}}}^{\scriptscriptstyle \parallel}$ [GeV]

m

 M_{τ}

3

100





Hypothesis Test

CP Measurements Run 1: Measurement of HVV vertex Run 2: Measurement of top-Yukawa coupling

Expected Run 2: -0.64 < ($\kappa_{Agg}/\kappa_{Hgg}$)tan α < 0.61 Measured Run 2: Blinded Expected Run 1: -2.33 < (KAVV/KSM)tan α < 2.30



Measured Run1: -2.18 < (κ_{AVV}/κ_{SM})tan α < 0.83