

FASER update

Paulina 12/03/26

Validation against YADISM (LO)

Fixed scales/1TeV/LO-nue-p

1. INTEGRATED CROSS-SECTIONS (full histogram range)

Obs	POWHEG σ	Analytical σ	A/P ratio	Paper σ
E1	4.0616	4.0354	0.9935	3.4216
Eh	4.0616	4.0354	0.9935	3.6113
Q2	4.0616	4.0354	0.9935	3.8107
bjx	4.0616	4.0354	0.9935	3.8134
theta	3.2252	3.6816	1.1415	2.8079
inel	3.8196	4.0354	1.0565	—

Units: σ in pb

A/P = Analytical / POWHEG

Kinematic cuts

$Q^2 \geq 10 \text{ GeV}^2$

$W \geq 2 \text{ GeV}$

Theta mismatch likely due to my pwhg bin range, Q^2 diff because of how I integrate, fixing next

Running new sims now with more bins for theta

2. DISTRIBUTION-LEVEL COMPARISON (weighted Analytical/POWHEG, in fit window)

Obs	Fit window	Wgt mean	Wgt std	N bins	Verdict
E1	[150, 850]	0.99391	0.01369	21	EXCELLENT
Eh	[150, 850]	0.99410	0.01311	21	EXCELLENT
Q2	[100, 1000]	0.96100	0.02192	20	MODERATE
bjx	[0.05, 0.8]	0.97565	0.05236	38	GOOD
theta	[0.01, 0.08]	0.98810	0.00908	20	GOOD
inel	[0.1, 0.9]	0.99350	0.00740	22	EXCELLENT

Fixed scales-no cuts/1 TeV/LO-nue-p

1. INTEGRATED CROSS-SECTIONS (full histogram range)

Obs	POWHEG σ	Analytical σ	A/P ratio
E1	4.5426	4.5560	1.0029
Eh	4.5426	4.5560	1.0029
Q2	4.5426	4.5560	1.0029
bjx	4.5426	4.5560	1.0029
theta	4.5257	4.2017	0.9284
inel	4.5202	4.5560	1.0079

Units: σ in pb

A/P = Analytical / POWHEG

NO Kinematic cuts

$Q^2 \geq 4 \text{ GeV}^2$

$W \geq 0$

Theta mismatch likely due to my pwhg bin range, Q2 diff because of how I integrate, fixing next

Running new sims now with more bins for theta

2. DISTRIBUTION-LEVEL COMPARISON (weighted Analytical/POWHEG, in fit window)

Obs	Fit window	Wgt mean	Wgt std	N bins	Verdict
E1	[150, 850]	0.99755	0.05099	21	EXCELLENT
Eh	[150, 850]	0.99757	0.05100	21	EXCELLENT
Q2	[100, 1000]	0.96205	0.02421	20	MODERATE
bjx	[0.05, 0.8]	0.97991	0.12697	38	GOOD
theta	[0.01, 0.08]	0.91023	0.26600	9	CHECK
inel	[0.1, 0.9]	1.00251	0.00465	22	EXCELLENT

Fixed scales/10TeV/LO-nue-p

1. INTEGRATED CROSS-SECTIONS (full histogram range)

Obs	POWHEG σ	Analytical σ	A/P ratio
El	36.1438	40.4665	1.1196
Eh	36.1438	40.4665	1.1196
Q2	36.1438	40.4665	1.1196
bjx	36.1438	40.4665	1.1196
theta	35.6729	40.4218	1.1331
inel	33.3278	40.4665	1.2142

Units: σ in pb
A/P = Analytical / POWHEG

Kinematic cuts

$$Q^2 \geq 10 \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$W \geq 2 \text{ GeV}$$

Not ideal... :(Unsure why, investigating now

2. DISTRIBUTION-LEVEL COMPARISON (weighted Analytical/POWHEG, in fit window)

Obs	Fit window	Wgt mean	Wgt std	N bins	Verdict
El	[1500, 8500]	1.12305	0.01300	21	CHECK
Eh	[1500, 8500]	1.12322	0.01359	21	CHECK
Q2	[100, 1000]	1.11837	0.02642	20	CHECK
bjx	[0.05, 0.8]	1.07729	0.05781	38	CHECK
theta	[0.004019, 0.03215]	1.08826	0.00873	26	CHECK
inel	[0.1, 0.9]	1.12254	0.01465	22	CHECK

NEXT UP:

**Validation against YADISM (NLO) for running scales
Reproducing BRG18**

[BRG18] I know how to do vs I am unsure

- All 8 inclusive channels (CC & NC)
- Isoscalar averaging
- Lepton flavour blind
- $10^4 - 10^{12}$ GeV
- Running scales
- NLO
- EW & CKM match
- No showers
- $Q_{\min} = 1.64$ GeV
- NNLO effects
- Top mass effects
- PDF (lhpdf num?)
NNPDF3.1sx+LHCb
- FONLL