

# Professional development support: Postdoc discussion outcomes & feedback

Postdoc council



## Discussion 1: Findings

#### Positives

- Freedom/flexibility
- Taking on new responsibilities
- Career guidance
- Grant support
- Approachability

### Negatives

- Communication
- Information
- Networking
- Onboarding
- Teaching
- Mentoring
- Housing
- Handover / continuity

#### Roads forward

- Proactive announcements around grants & jobs
- Centralised information updated & more accessible
- Events with alumni in-and-out of the field
- Dedicated contact / meeting for new postdocs
- Points of contact with lecturers and TAs
- Connecting PhDs with postdocs more effectively
- Options for transitional housing and searching
- Improved on- and off-boarding at the Nikhef level and at the group level

## Discussion 2: Suggestions

#### Ideas

- Mentorship programme
  - One-on-one
  - Ad-hoc
- Training opportunities
  - Grant applications
  - Prep. for PI / PM
  - Supervision & pedagogy
  - Transition to industry
- Networking
  - Alumni from industry
  - Mailing lists for alumni

#### Feedback

- Most important at specific periods (arriving, taking on tasks, leaving)
  - Helps with obfuscation of information / access to existing resources
  - Access to expertise from a broader pool (preserving lateral movement)
- Broad support for new support for training
  - Specificity (grant, level, region) for proposal workshops / access reviewers
  - Leadership roles and requirements for progression gap
  - The past supervision workshop was well received
  - Non-acadmic CVs, identifying relevant skills, internship awareness
- Meeting alumni is more important than industry recruiters\
  - Positive examples of roads forward (negative examples too?)