



May 2024

David Groep



# 61<sup>st</sup> EUGridPMA+

AARC Policy, IGTF, and GN5 EnCo

## Abingdon meeting

*hosted by STFC's Rutherford Lab at Coseners*

# Getting to be our habitual place 😊

Thanks to Dave Kelsey  
and David Crooks

2 full days

open space

may it be interactive!



09:30	→ 09:45	<b>EUGridPMA+: Welcome, agenda, minutes last meeting, note taker, Introductions</b>	🕒 15m 📍 Garden Room
And: candidates for the annual chair election are always welcome!			
<b>Speakers:</b> David Groep (Nikhef), Dr David Kelsey (UKRI-STFC)			
09:45	→ 10:05	<b>IGTF fabric updates: status of authorities, fabric news, RHEL9/OSSL Issues</b>	
Review of IGTF Trust Fabric (PKIX rendering) issues and changes: updates from transitioning CAS, RHEL9/OSSL breakage of self-signed roots.			
<b>Speaker:</b> David Groep (Nikhef)			
10:05	→ 10:20	<b>Self-assessment peer reviews and audits</b>	
<b>Speaker:</b> Cosmin Nistor			
10:20	→ 10:35	<b>Developments in the Asia Pacific and the APGridPMA</b>	
<b>Speaker:</b> Eisaku Sakane (National Institute of Informatics)			
10:35	→ 11:00	<b>AARC Policy Coordination and AARC-TREE: Introduction to AARC TREE</b>	
The AARC TREE project provides for enhanced effectiveness of the AARC community, including the Activity into the community context, and highlight where the new EC AARC TREE project and "WP2			
<b>Speakers:</b> David Groep (Nikhef), Dr David Kelsey (UKRI-STFC)			
11:00	→ 11:30	<b>Coffee</b>	
11:30	→ 12:00	<b>Introduction to T&amp;I In GEANT 5-2</b>	
The GEANT Project (5-2) is taking shape now, but of course also GN5-1 is still under way. Maarten			
draft the venn diagram on the EnCo vs AARC TREE main activities			
<b>Speakers:</b> Casper Dreef (GEANT Association), Maarten Kremers (SURF)			
12:00	→ 12:30	<b>Recap and evolution of "G040" AUP and Privacy Notice model</b>	
AARC-G040 "preliminary recommendations for the LS AAI" presented initial ideas on how to show t			
dynamic proxies. What does the current proxy landscape look like, and what are the current practic			
presentation?			
What should we keep, and what should we question in G040? Whom to ask for requirements, and h			
This is to be a working session with updates to the (presentation of) the Common AUP and Privacy			
<b>Speaker:</b> David Groep (Nikhef)			
12:30	→ 14:00	<b>Lunch</b>	

12:30	→ 14:00	<b>Lunch</b>	🕒 1h 30m 📍 Garden Room
14:00	→ 14:45	<b>FIM AARC proxy TTX models and planning on exercises</b>	🕒 45m 📍 Garden Room
<b>Speakers:</b> David Groep (Nikhef), Maarten Kremers (SURF)			
14:45	→ 15:30	<b>AARC Community Survey: Input for questions and context</b>	🕒 45m 📍 Garden Room
The AARC TREE project provides for effort for an in-depth survey of Research Infrastructure requirements (supported by the Use Cases activity WP3: "This work will use as the starting point the FIM4Rv2 paper together with requirements that AARC TREE partners may have collected via other activities. In addition, it will engage with relevant forums and stakeholders (such as FIM4R, AEGIS, EOSC AAI Task Force, National RIs and European initiatives such as the EU dataspace) to gather the initial set of requirements and use cases. Based on this, an initial set of the requirements and use cases will be captured, to drive further work."			
* <a href="https://wiki.geant.org/display/AARC/Survey+development+area">https://wiki.geant.org/display/AARC/Survey+development+area</a>			
<b>Speakers:</b> David Groep (Nikhef), Dr David Kelsey (UKRI-STFC)			
15:30	→ 16:00	<b>Tea</b>	🕒 30m 📍 Garden Room
16:00	→ 16:30	<b>FIM4R - collecting community and research infrastructure requirements</b>	🕒 30m 📍 Garden Room
AARC TREE and others have a dedicated action line to support FIM4R and the requirements collection process. Discuss planning of FIM4R meetings and how to ensure global engagement, specifically also beyond Europe.			
<b>Speaker:</b> Maarten Kremers (SURF)			
16:30	→ 17:00	<b>WISE Information Security for E-Infrastructures</b>	🕒 30m 📍 Garden Room
Review the relationship between AARC (TREE) and WISE, and how we can both leverage and re-invigorate the policy aspects in WISE.			
<b>Speaker:</b> Dr David Kelsey (UKRI-STFC)			
17:00	→ 17:20	<b>Planning for policy outreach</b>	🕒 20m 📍 Garden Room
Discuss plans for			
* TNC24 and the AARC Policy talk			
* TechEx24 workshops (there is already a submission for a Sirtfi/federation TTX workshop)			
<b>Speaker:</b> David Groep (Nikhef)			
17:20	→ 17:30	<b>Planning next EUGridPMA+ meeting (September/October 2024)</b>	🕒 10m 📍 Garden Room
<b>Speaker:</b> David Groep (Nikhef)			
18:30	→ 21:30	<b>Dinner</b>	🕒 3h 📍 TBD
Location to be confirmed			





09:00 → 09:30 **AARC PDK: feedback from the Australian Access Federation**

🕒 30m 📍 Garden Room

Opportunity for input and feed-back from our Australian colleagues. Do we need to revise and 'template' terminology? What should the new PDK structure look like, and what is the role of the 'top-level' policy document?  
And are all things actually policies, where some are more like procedures, and some information guidance or a glossary?

Nick will summarize the feedback from the Australian Access Federation as they reviewed adoption of the AARC PDK and the challenges and new ideas they encountered.

**Speakers:** Dr David Kelsey (UKRI-STFC), Nick Rossow (AAF)

09:30 → 10:30 **Policy frameworks for PII 'as a result of Infrastructure use'**

🕒 1h 📍 Garden Room

The EGI policy on data protection (for personal data collected as a result of users operating in the infrastructure, rather than personal information contained in research data) is rather antiquated and needs an update. While we recognise that a 'fully legally robust' option is not feasible, how can we update the model of 'pretty binding not-quite-corporate rules' and get that in a new (EGI) policy document?

This work stalled in the WISE SCI-WG because of formal compliance reasons, but the I

**Speaker:** Dr David Kelsey (UKRI-STFC)

10:30 → 11:00 **Coffee**

11:00 → 11:30 **Eucalyptus or Elm, that's the Question**

Assurance profile for DCV only, server-only eKU for the IGTF

**Speakers:** Dr David Kelsey (UKRI-STFC), Derek Simmel (Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center)

11:30 → 12:30 **Operational trust and Baseline**

Does G071 give us enough info to trace users through the mesh of proxies, where you the proxies that are somehow connected. You will need to know who issues the state...  
You usually follow upstream, but does that work operationally?

\* do we need exercises/ Sirtfiv1 exercise showed some may be accidentally left out, like  
\* in a perfect world, all data is available and people react fast, but do they?

This was also discussed in the architecture meeting... but there is also good practice? If you want any entity in the chain downstream to use these, the traceability to a comm...  
If all entities in the chain record correctly (and share), the communication will work in c...

\* c.f. work in tracability of 3820 that Akos Frohner did

\* RFC 6962 CT logging of these translations in an (external) registry. Would proxies wa...

And we need to run some 'fake' exercises to check if any proposed policy is possible. T...  
people thinking they are a proxy ... inspired by the eduGAIN TTX from March '24.  
This also implicitly validates (or not) elements of G071 ...

**Speakers:** David Groep (Nikhef), Dr David Kelsey (UKRI-STFC), Maarten Kremers (SURF)

12:30 → 14:00 **Lunch**

12:30 → 14:00

**Lunch**

🕒 1h 30m 📍 Garden Room

14:00 → 14:30

**Authorization and Tokens: updates from the GUT and the WLCG TTT**

🕒 30m 📍 Garden Room

The Unified Token Profile and the WLCG Transition To Tokens (TTT) working group are progressing. Matt Doige gives updates on <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LCG/WLCGTokensGlobusWG> and (potentially) Mischa Salle on the Grand Unified Token profile.

**Speaker:** Matt Doige (Lancaster University)

14:30 → 15:30

**AARC Policy: token life time and revocation guidance**

🕒 1h 📍 Garden Room

What do we need as input from the communities (via the questionnaire or otherwise) in order to provide token lifetime guidance? This should likely be based on a risk assessment, but there are several use cases, both set by the CIA classification of the data (services) involved, but also on the interaction model and the presence of mitigating controls (like revocation, or relying-party suspension lists, or ...)

Follow-up from the AARC Policy Call "initiate trust and tracability working parties (CT-like append-only logging by proxies: Jens; TTX exercise models: DavidG & Maarten)"

**Speakers:** Marcus Hardt, Nicolas Liampotis (GRNET)

15:30 → 16:00

**Tea**

🕒 30m 📍 Garden Room

16:00 → 16:25

**Draft guidelines editing**

🕒 25m 📍 Garden Room

- Trust Baseline and traceability (G071++)
- Privacy Policy update
- G040 revision
- ...

16:25 → 16:35

**Closure**

🕒 10m 📍 Garden Room



# Logistics

- Upload presentations to the agenda page (*you should have a login, or invite email*)

<https://eugridpma.org/agenda/61>

- Work together on the minutes – and we don't have a secretary role defined, so hop over to:

<https://eugridpma.org/minutes/61>

*login with your eduGAIN credentials. Non-edu? use the IGTF proxy IdP ...!*




The screenshot shows a HedgeDoc interface. At the top left, the HedgeDoc logo and name are visible. A red box highlights the document controls: a view icon (eye), a share icon (square with plus), and an edit icon (pencil). In the top right corner, a blue button labeled 'EDITABLE' with a dropdown arrow is also highlighted with a red box. The main content area displays the document title 'The Abingdon 61st EUGridPMA+ and AARC Policy Meeting Summary' and a status indicator '4 CHANGED 15 DAYS AGO'. Below the title, the text 'Meeting topics' is visible, followed by a link to the document.

- For the benefit of those ‘only on-line’, use zoom (*without* audio!) to share your screen when presenting/editing - <https://eugridpma.org/z/61>



# For this evening

- Decide on a dinner plan
  - Hands up who wants to join





Questions?

# **BUILDING A GLOBAL TRUST FABRIC**