

M. BAREL, P. KLUIT, O. RIEGER, W. VERKERKE

IMPROVING THE $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ INVARIANT MASS RESOLUTION

NNV Fall Meeting 08-11-2024

Introduction

Discovery of the Higgs boson (H) in 2012 was a major milestone in the LHC program, and gave evidence for the mechanism¹ that allows for massive gauge bosons in the Standard Model

Particles couple to the Higgs via Yukawa interactions. Strength of this coupling is proportional to particle mass

$$\frac{y_f}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{m_f}{\nu}$$

Where ν is a property of the Higgs field

1) As proposed by Brout, Englert, Higgs, Guralnik, Hagen and Kibble in 1964







Introduction

Ongoing effort since Higgs discovery to map Yukawa couplings of Higgs to SM particles

Today, couplings to Vector Bosons and 3rd generation fermions have all been measured at $>5\sigma$

Next step to look at couplings with 2^{nd} generation fermions: c, s and μ





Measuring 2nd generation Yukawa couplings

Couplings to c and s are challenging due to large soft QCD backgrounds in pp collisions and, especially for s, small branching ratios (BRs) of 3% and 0.02%

Compared to c and s, μ decay provides an experimentally very clean signature, despite a BR similar to s

So far, only *c* and μ couplings have been studied, $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ is closest to discovery having been measured at 2.0 and 3.0 σ by <u>ATLAS</u> and <u>CMS</u> respectively



Source: CERN Yellow Report 4



$H \rightarrow \mu\mu$: Easy pickings?

Despite the clean signature and simple decay geometry, still a challenging measurement due to large backgrounds from vector boson and top pair decays

Three main background processes



t - pair production

Drell-Yann (Z/γ^*)





Diboson (WZ/WW/ZZ)

Diagram source: PhD Thesis A. Alfonsi



Improving di-muon invariant mass - M. Z. Barel

Finding the Higgs

Look for a bump on a smoothly falling background in the region $m_{\mu\mu} \in [110 - 160]$ GeV

$$\frac{S}{B_{[SR]}} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to H) \cdot BR(H \to \mu\mu)}{\sigma(pp \to Z/\gamma^*) \cdot BR(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu)} = \frac{1.17 \times 10^{-2} \ pb}{6.7 \ pb} = 0.002$$

Boost sensitivity in order to see anything

- Reduce B through selections/cuts/ML techniques² → Already done
- Increase amount of data \rightarrow Time
- Improve di-muon invariant mass resolution \rightarrow This talk

2) A talk on this topic was given <u>earlier today</u> by Karel de Vries















Nikhef







Method/Fitting Math

The Higgs decay vertex is fitted using a modified χ^2 fit, adding a constraint term:

$$\chi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \left(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\right)^{T} \mathcal{C}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\right) + \left(\frac{\Delta x_{V}}{\sigma_{x,V}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\Delta y_{V}}{\sigma_{y,V}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\Delta z_{V}}{\sigma_{z,V}}\right)^{2}$$

Where $\sigma_{i,V}$ give the constraint envelope size and $\Delta i_V = i_o - i_V$ the distance between the envelope origin and the fitted vertex position

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{P}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}_1' \\ \boldsymbol{P}_2' \end{pmatrix}.$$

With track parameters $P^{(\prime)}$:

$$\boldsymbol{P}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} d0 \\ z0 \\ \phi0 \\ \theta \\ \frac{q}{p} \end{pmatrix}_{Reco} , \ \boldsymbol{P}_{i}' = \begin{pmatrix} d0' \\ z0' \\ \phi0 \\ \theta \\ \frac{q}{p} \end{pmatrix}$$

d0 and z0 are given by $d0_{Reco} = -x_p \sin \phi_0 + y_p \cos(\phi_0)$ $z0_{Reco} = z_p - d0 \cos(\theta) / \sin(\theta)$ d0' and z0' by $d0' = d0_{Reco} - \Delta d0$ $z0' = z0_{Reco} - z' + \Delta d0 \cos(\theta) / \sin(\theta)$ With $\Delta d0 = -x' \sin(\phi_0) + y' \cos(\phi_0)$





Method/Fitting Math



Nik

Fit is performed by solving

using

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}' \\ \boldsymbol{y}' \\ \boldsymbol{z}' \\ \widehat{P_1} \\ \widehat{P_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\nabla \chi^2(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0,$

With reduced track parameters

$$\widehat{P}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \phi 0_i \\ \theta_i \\ \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)_i \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\mu(\xi)$ is non-linear in this case, the fit needs to be performed by iterating



Results

The fit will update the values for d0 and z0 for both muons and through covariance, the other track parameters ϕ , θ and q/p

With these updated track parameters the invariant mass is then recalculated, changing the shape of the distribution

$$m_{\mu^{+}\mu^{-}}^{2} \approx m_{\mu^{+}}^{2} + m_{\mu^{-}}^{2} + 2 \left[\sqrt{|\vec{p}_{t}(\mu^{+})|^{2} + m_{\mu^{+}}^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{|\vec{p}_{t}(\mu^{-})|^{2} + m_{\mu^{-}}^{2}} \cdot \cosh \Delta \eta - \vec{p}_{t}(\mu^{+}) \cdot \vec{p}_{t}(\mu^{-}) \right]$$

With $\Delta \eta \propto |\theta_{\mu} - \theta_{\mu}|$





Results – Updating d0





Results – Updating $m_{\mu\mu}$





Results – Counting significance

To quantify the impact of the vertex fit, calculated the counting significance in our signal region

$$Z = \sqrt{2\left((S+B)\ln\left(1+\frac{S}{B}\right) - S\right)}$$

57 <i>fb</i> ⁻¹ MC ('22+'23)	Signal [120,130] GeV	Background [120,130] GeV	Z	$\frac{Z_{post} - Z_{pre}}{Z_{pre}}$
Pre-fit	375	188995	1.385	-
Post-fit	377	188968	1.402	1.23%
300 <i>fb</i> ⁻¹ MC (Full R3)				
Pre-fit	1999	1007281	3.197	-
Post-fit	2012	1007134	3.236	1.22%



I showed a new technique for improving the di-muon invariant mass resolution for the $H \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ analysis by fitting the Higgs decay vertex of the di-muon system

Applying this fit correctly shifts the value of the invariant mass towards that of the true value in simulation, which in the ends results in an improved invariant mass resolution and a \sim 1% gain in significance

Whilst small, it is only one piece of the puzzle, and combined with all other analysis techniques, it will bring us a little bit closer to discovery at 5σ !



Thank you for your attention!

Nikhef