

#### INTRODUCTION

JACOPO MARGUTTI - SPHALERONS WORKSHOP (NIKHEF 12/10/2016)

## **HEAVY-ION PHYSICS**

#### Exploring QCD at high temperatures and/or densities



arXiv:1510.04200

Quark-Gluon Plasma: T>150 MeV, ε>0.2 GeV/fm<sup>3</sup>

## **HEAVY-ION PHYSICS**

#### Different stages in a Heavy-Ion collision:



## **HEAVY-ION PHYSICS**



## **HEAVY-IONS AND SPHALERONS**

Sphaleron transition rate favoured at high temperatures!

L. McLerran et al., Phys. Rev. D 43, 2027

in YM theory, N colors, weak coupling:

$$\Gamma = const \times (g^2 N)^5 \ln(1/g^2 N) T^4,$$

from AdS/CFT (conformal N=4 SYM), strong coupling:

$$\Gamma = \frac{(g^2 N)^2}{256 \ \pi^3} \ T^4,$$

## **HEAVY-IONS AND SPHALERONS**

Sphaleron transitions in QCD -> chirality imbalance

$$n_5 = n_{\rm R} - n_{\rm L} \neq 0$$

also expressed in terms of chiral chemical potential:

$$n_5 = \frac{\mu_5^3}{3\pi^2} + \frac{\mu_5 T^2}{3}$$

the idea is then to **exploit the large magnetic field** and/or the angular momentum of the system to detect it:

$$\langle \vec{S} \cdot \vec{B} \rangle, \quad \langle \vec{S} \cdot \vec{L} \rangle \rightarrow$$

chiral magnetic effect, chiral magnetic wave ...

Different pieces of the picture are rather unclear:

- How long does the magnetic field last?
  It depends on the system conductivity! (Faraday's law)
- When do these sphaleron transition take place?
  Vacuum, *Glasma* (preequilibrium), QGP?

Different pieces of the picture are rather unclear:

- How long does the magnetic field last?
  It depends on the system conductivity! (Faraday's law)
- When do these sphaleron transition take place?
  Vacuum, *Glasma* (preequilibrium), QGP?



U. Gursoy et al., arXiv:1401.3805

Different pieces of the picture are

- How long does the magnetic field last?
  It depends on the system conductivity! (Faraday's law)
- When do these sphaleron transition take place? Vacuum, *Glasma* (preequilibrium), QGP?



M. Mace et al., arXiv:1601.07342

Different pieces of the picture are rather unclear:

How long does the magnetic

field last?

It depends or

conductivity!

bottom line: only order-of-magnitude estimates from theory so far

 When do these sphaleron transition take place?
Vacuum, *Glasma* (preequilibrium), QGP?

## **CHIRAL MAGNETIC EFFECT**

JACOPO MARGUTTI - SPHALERONS WORKSHOP (NIKHEF 12/10/2016)

#### **CHIRAL MAGNETIC EFFECT**

sphaleron transitions in QCD -> chirality imbalance

chirality imbalance + strong magnetic field -> charge separation



## **CHIRAL MAGNETIC EFFECT**



the experimental observable: charge separation across the reaction plane

#### **OBSERVABLES**

CME: charge-dependent out-of-plane directed flow

$$\frac{dN_{\pm}}{d\phi} \propto 1 + 2 a_{\pm} \sin(\phi_{\pm} - \Psi_{\rm RP})$$

whose sign changes event by event.

It was proposed to measure it with the parity-even chargedependent 2- and 3-particle correlators:

$$\delta_{a,b} = \langle \cos(\phi_a - \phi_b) \rangle \sim \langle v_{1a}v_{1b} + a_a a_b \rangle \quad a, b = \pm$$
$$\gamma_{a,b} = \langle \cos(\phi_a + \phi_b - 2\Psi_{\rm RP}) \rangle \sim \langle v_{1a}v_{1b} - a_a a_b \rangle$$

S. Voloshin, arXiv:hep-ph/0406311

## **CME CORRELATORS**



2- and 3-particle correlators already measured: non-zero signal, but <u>background</u> largely present!



## BACKGROUND

Different sources of background in these correlators:

- Transverse Momentum Conservation (TMC)
- Flow Fluctuations
- Local Charge Conservation (LCC)

## BACKGROUND

Different sources of background in these correlators:

- Transverse Momentum Conservation (TMC)
- Flow Fluctuations

Charge Concer

charge-independent: negligible in like- vs unlike-sign difference:  $\Delta \cos(\phi_a - \phi_b) \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{+,-} + \delta_{-,+} - \delta_{+,+} - \delta_{-,-}) \sim \langle a_a a_b \rangle$ 

## BACKGROUND

Different sources of background in these correlators:

- Transverse Momentum Conservation
- Flow Fluctuations
- Local Charge Conservation (LCC)

To be understood! Not correctly reproduced by standard MCs (AMPT, HIJING...)



charge-dependent correlation functions

ALICE, arXiv:1509.07255

# **BACKGROUND VS DATA**



Y. Hori et al., arXiv:1208.0603

Blast-Wave models incorporating LCC are able to explain the <u>entire</u> difference between like- and unlike-sign correlators –> consistent with NO CME signal...

# BACKGROUND VS DATA



Y. Hori, QM12

#### ... but fail to reproduce all correlators simultaneously!

## **ENERGY DEPENDENCE**

STAR, arXiv:1404.1433



RHIC Beam Energy Scan (Au+Au from 200 to 7.7 GeV):

- consistent with signal expectations;
- not clear how the background changes with energy...

## SYSTEM DEPENDENCE



CMS compared Pb-Pb and p-Pb:

- no/small magnetic field expected in p-Pb collisions
- at comparable system size (~charged track multiplicity), results are similar: no CME?

CMS, arXiv:1610.00263

## SYSTEM DEPENDENCE



CMS compared Pb-Pb and p-Pb:

 no/small magnetic field expected in p-Pb collisions

at comparable system size (~charged track multiplicity), results are similar: no CME?

very peripheral Pb-Pb collisions: smaller, short-lived system, no conductivity, no magnetic field?

## **CHIRAL MAGNETIC WAVE**

JACOPO MARGUTTI - SPHALERONS WORKSHOP (NIKHEF 12/10/2016)

## **CHIRAL MAGNETIC WAVE**



Electric charge separation (CME) is coupled to chiral charge separation (CSE); the two, combined, give rise to what is called Chiral Magnetic Wave (CMW)

## **CHIRAL MAGNETIC WAVE**



the experimental observable: charge-dependent elliptic flow

### **OBSERVABLES**

CMW: charge-dependent elliptic flow

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\pm}}{\mathrm{d}\phi} &= N_{\pm} \left[ 1 + 2v_2 \cos(2\phi) \right] \\ &\approx \bar{N_{\pm}} \left[ 1 + 2v_2 \cos(2\phi) \mp A_{ch} r \cos(2\phi) \right] \\ &v_2^- - v_2^+ = 2 \left( \frac{q_e}{\bar{\rho}_e} \right) A_{ch} \\ &A_{ch} = \frac{N_+ - N_-}{N_+ + N_-} \quad r = 2 \left( \frac{q_e}{\bar{\rho}_e} \right) \end{aligned}$$

first idea: look at the difference  $v_2^+ - v_2^-$  as a function of  $A_{ch}$ 

## **CMW MEASUREMENTS**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Same conclusion: non-zero signal, but <u>background</u> largely present!

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### JACOPO MARGUTTI - SPHALERONS WORKSHOP (NIKHEF 12/10/2016)

### **CMW MEASUREMENTS**

Measured with the 3-particle correlator:

 $\langle \cos[n(\phi_1 - \Psi_n)]c_3 \rangle - \langle \cos[n(\phi_1 - \Psi_n)] \rangle \langle c_3 \rangle$ 

S. Voloshin, R. Belmont arXiv:1408.0714

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

allows more differential studies -> more discriminating power

ALICE, arXiv:1512.05739

## **CMW MEASUREMENTS**

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

"signal" still present in higher harmonics -> background contribution

## CONCLUSIONS

- Different phenomena connected to chiral imbalance have been predicted to arise in Heavy-Ion collisions: CME, CSE, CMW...
- On the theoretical side, many issues remain to be clarified, before having realistic predictions of these effects.
- On the experimental one, different observables have been proposed and measured, but all showed to suffer from background contributions which are not fully understood. No upper limits set so far.