Realtime Anomaly Detection with the CMS Level-1 Global Trigger Test Crate

> Sioni Summers (CERN) for the CMS Collaboration

> > EuCAIFCon 2024







- At the CMS experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider
- Searching for rare phenomena \rightarrow large number of collision events
- Granular detector for detailed analysis \rightarrow high data rates
- Trigger is realtime data reduction, with 5 µs latency, in FPGA processors
 - Fast processing, decide which events to keep or discard



- Normal trigger selections compare the event particles to a table of rules
 - e.g. "is there a muon with transverse momentum above 22 GeV?"
- Could these selections reject the New Physics we'd like to see?
 - Especially low mass new particles
- AXOL1TL is an ML approach to Anomaly Detection searching. generically for New Physics
- Tiny Variational AutoEncoder trained on unbiased data with Quantization Aware Training
- hls4ml converts the Neural Network to FPGA logic with 50 ns prediction latency

• To learn what this all means, visit the poster!

Realtime Anomaly Detection - Sioni Summers

- Anomaly Detection has been deployed in the Global Trigger Test Crate in 2023
 - Run in "safe mode" alongside normal trigger
- Used to test performance and validate integration
- Check rate stability of selections and look at offline data

Realtime Anomaly Detection with the CMS Level-1 Global Trigger Test Crate

CMS Trigger

The CMS experiment at the LHC deploys a trigger system [1] of around 100 FPGA processors to filter the 40 MHz proton-proton collisions down to 100 kHz.

Reconstruction of detector signals provides a description of the particles and properties of each event. A **menu** of conditions on these properties is used to select events to **keep or reject**. Trigger selections are chosen balancing the needs of physics analysers with the event rate of each condition.

The menu is deployed into 6 MP7 cards [2] in the Global Trigger system, that each host a Xilinx Virtex 7 FPGA. The Test Crate is a parallel copy, whose decision is not used to trigger CMS, that is used to **test** new algorithms.

Deployment

The AXOL1TL algorithm is converted to FPGA firmware with High Level Synthesis (HLS): C++ for FPGAs. hls4ml [5] is used for the efficient implementation of Neural Network inference. The rest of the HLS framework implements the **interface** to the particle and event property data formats from the Global Trigger, and the loss computation.

The algorithm is synthesized using Xilinx's Vitis HLS and Vivado tool suite. The floorplan (left plot) shows one Global Trigger FPGA module with AXOL1TL highlighted in purple. AXOL1TL consumes around 2% of the FPGA Look Up Table (LUT) resources of one FPGA. The inference **latency** (the time delay after which a prediction is made from new inputs) is 50 ns, meeting the requirement from the Global Trigger system for deployment in a full menu.

AXOL1TL was **deployed** into the Test Crate during CMS data taking in 2023. Binary keep/reject trigger decisions with different anomaly score thresholds were **recorded** for every event. Validation of the deployment was performed with offline recomputation of the anomaly score by emulation of the HLS firmware. Agreement of 99% was observed between the two, with differences centred around the thresholds (right plot).

Anomaly Detection

AXOL1TL is a trigger algorithm designed to detect new physics without bias to the type of physics signature [3]. It's a Variational AutoEncoder trained unsupervised, on unbiased data comprised mostly of background events.

The model is trained with a loss function including terms for the econstruction and latent distribution.

$$\mathscr{L} = (1 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \beta) ||x - \beta||^2 + \beta \frac{1}$$

Quantization Aware Training [4] is used to produce a model that is efficient for inference in hardware. Only the μ^2 term is evaluated for anomaly detection at inference time, avoiding the need to compute the full decoder. Anomalous events are selected by applying a cut on this anomaly score.

Monitoring

The Test Crate FPGAs count how many events would pass each trigger selection, which is read out by the Data Acquisition system. A Prometheus monitoring tool stores count and rate metrics, and answers queries to access them. The plot shows the event selection rate over time for 4 different AXOL1TL thresholds during one CMS data taking run of around 8 hours. The data rate shows stability, with variations following LHC luminosity

In the unbiased dataset collected, some events would have been selected by AXOL1TL, but not any other trigger. The **event display** shows the offline reconstruction of the event with the highest anomaly score. It contains 7 jets (orange cones), 1 muon (red curve), and an unusually high 75 vertices (intersections of several particle trajectories).

References

[1] CMS Collaboration, "CMS Technical Design Report for the Level-1 Trigger Upgrade," CERN-LHCC-2013-011, CMS-TDR-12, 2013

[2] K. Compton et al., "The MP7 and CTP-6: multi-hundred Gbps processing boards for calorimeter trigger upgrades at CMS," JINST, vol. 7, no. 12, 2012 [3] Govorkova et al. "Autoencoders on field-programmable gate arrays for real-time, unsupervised new physics detection at 40 MHz at the Large Hadron Collider.", Nat Mach Intell 4, 154–161, 2022

[4] Coelho, C.N. et al., "Automatic heterogeneous quantization of deep neural networks for low-latency inference on the edge for particle detectors." Nat Mach Intell 3, 675-686, 2021 [5] J. Duarte et al., "Fast inference of deep neural networks in FPGAs for particle physics," JINST, vol. 13, no. 07, 2018

Realtime Anomaly Detection - Sioni Summers

$-1 - \log \sigma^2$

Baler: A tool for machine learning based data compression

Alexander Ekman for the Baler collaboration

Problem: More data than storage

- Collection and generation of data is overwhelming processing and storage capacity in science and industry
- High demand for greater compression than traditional lossless and lossy methods

Our solution: "Baler"

- Multidisciplinary tool to investigate the viability of this compression method
 - <u>https://github.com/baler-collaboration/baler</u>
- Simple to install as a pip package
 - pip install baler-compressor
- Promising performance for varying scientific fields

Future outlook

- We found a small demand for lossy compression of scientific data in final storage
- New focus on "online" compression and bandwidth compression using FPGA technology
- Draw inspiration from progress in machine learning based image and video compression

Embedded Neural Networks on FPGAs for Real-Time Computation of the Energy Deposited in the ATLAS Liquid Argon Calorimeter

Raphaël BERTRAND

Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France

- HL-LHC high pileup \rightarrow OF performance degradation
- Neural networks are investigated as an alternative solution to the OF algorithm.

Neural network structure

- CNNs and RNNs are designed to compute deposited energy.
- NNs can correct the degradation of the energy resolution.

Optimization of the RNN

Firmware implementation

RNN with 8 units and 5 samples as input can be upgraded :

Increase nb of units
 better resolution

overall

- Increase nb of input samples

better resolution with overlapped pulses

Increasing the number of units and input samples go with more computations and it can't be implemented.

- Dense Layer as input of the 1st RNN cell for input samples before the energy deposit.
 - RNN cells to compute the amplitude on the peak
 - Dense to correct for the pileup

LASP demonstrator built with Stratix-10

- prototype with Agilex 7 ongoing

Each FPGA needs to reconstruct the energy for 384 channels :

- Impossible to implement 384 NNs on the FPGA
 - Need multiplexing
 - Need higher frequency

LASP board demonstrator

RNN and CNN Implemented on Stratix-10

- CNN implemented on Agilex, RNN still in progress
- CNN directly implemented in VHDL, RNN Implemented first in HLS for fast prototyping and then optimized in VHDL
- Fits LAr requirements for both

FPGA	Network	Multiplex.	Detector cells	$f_{ m max}$	ALMs	DSPs
Stratix-10	RNN (HLS)	10	370	393 MHz	90 %	100%
	RNN (VHDL)	14	392	561 MHz	18%	66 %
	CNN (100 param.)	12	396	415 MHz	8 %	28 %
Agilex	CNN (100 param.)	12	396	539 MHz	4 %	13 %
	CNN (400 param.)	12	396	510 MHz	19%	50 %