Quark/Gluon Discrimination and Top Tagging with Dual Attention Transformer

Daohan Wang daohan.wang@oeaw.ac.at





AUSTRIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Introduction

Jet tagging is a crucial task in HEP experiments. Over the past decade, deep learning approaches have been extensively adopted to enhance the jet tagging performance. Various jet representations and the corresponding architectures have been proposed, including image, sequence, tree, graph and point cloud. Notably, the Transformer architecture[3] has been adapted for HEP with point cloud representation through models like the Point Cloud Transformer (PCT)[1] and the Particle Transformer[2]. In this study, we introduce the Particle Dual Attention Transformer (P-DAT) for jet tagging. This novel transformer architecture stands out by concurrently capturing both global and local information, while maintaining computational efficiency.

Model Implementation

- PYTORCH framework with Binary Cross Entropy loss
- AdamW optimizer with Ir = 0.0005 on a mini-batch of 64 samples
- 100 epochs with learning rate decreasing by a factor of 2 every 10 epochs to a minimal of 10⁻⁶
- Chunk Loading strategy: Within a loop, input data chunks are dynamically loaded for training, validation, and test. Each chunk contains 1280

events. During each iteration, once the chunk is processed for training/validation/test, the loaded data is removed to free up memory resources and the next chunk of data is loaded for next iteration.

Particle - Dual Attention Transformer



2 Feature Extractor (1 EdgeConv + 3 Conv2D + 1 AvgPool) + 2 Particle Attention modules + 2 Channel Attention modules + 1D CNN + MLP.

Model Architecture

Quark/gluon Discrimination

Input features:

log *E*, log p_T , $\frac{p_T}{p_T}$, $\frac{E}{E_I}$, $\Delta \eta \Delta \phi$, ΔR , PID of leading 100 particles.

Channel interactions:

Ratios of {E, p_{T} , $\sum p_{Tf}$, $\sum E_f$, $\overline{\Delta \eta}$, $\overline{\Delta \phi}$, $\overline{\Delta R}$, PID}

Particle interactions:

 $\Delta R, m^2, \min(p_{T,a}, p_{T,b}) \Delta R, \min(p_{T,a}, p_{T,b})/(p_{T,a} + p_{T,b}), \Delta p_T, \delta_{i,j}$ of each pair of particles.

Particle Attention Module computes the attention weights between each pair of particles, with particle interaction matrix U_1 as a bias term.

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}) = \text{Concat}(\text{head}_{1}, \dots, \text{head}_{N_{h}})$$

where $\text{head}_{i} = \text{softmax} \left[\frac{\mathbf{Q}_{i}(\mathbf{K}_{i})^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{C_{h}}} + \mathbf{U}_{1} \right] \mathbf{V}_{i}$ (1)

Channel Attention Module computes the attention weights between each pair of particle features, with channel interaction matrix U₂ as a bias term.

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Q}_i, \mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{V}_i) = \text{softmax} \left[\frac{\mathbf{Q}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K}_i}{\sqrt{C}} + \mathbf{U}_2 \right] \mathbf{V}_i^{\mathsf{T}}$$
(2)

| | Accuracy | AUC | Rej _{50%} | Rej _{30%} | Parameters | FLOPs |
|------------------|----------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-------|
| ResNeXt-50 | 0.821 | 0.9060 | 30.9 | 80.8 | 1.46M | - |
| P-CNN | 0.827 | 0.9002 | 34.7 | 91.0 | 354k | 15.5M |
| PFN | - | 0.9005 | $34.7{\pm}0.4$ | - | 86.1k | 4.62M |
| ParticleNet-Lite | 0.835 | 0.9079 | 37.1 | 94.5 | 26k | - |
| ParticleNet | 0.840 | 0.9116 | 39.8±0.2 | 98.6±1.3 | 370k | 540M |
| ABCNet | 0.840 | 0.9126 | $42.6{\pm}0.4$ | $118.4{\pm}1.5$ | 230k | - |
| SPCT | 0.815 | 0.8910 | $31.6{\pm}0.3$ | 93.0±1.2 | 7k | 2.4M |
| PCT | 0.841 | 0.9140 | $43.2{\pm}0.7$ | $118.0{\pm}2.2$ | 193.3k | 266M |
| LorentzNet | 0.844 | 0.9156 | $42.4{\pm}0.4$ | 110.2 ± 1.3 | 224k | - |
| ParT | 0.849 | 0.9203 | $47.9{\pm}0.5$ | $129.5{\pm}0.9$ | 2.13M | 260M |
| P-DAT | 0.839 | 0.9092 | 39.2±0.6 | 95.1±1.3 | 498k | 144M |

Top Tagging

| | Accuracy | AUC | Rej _{50%} | Rej _{30%} | Parameters | FLOPs |
|------------------|----------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-------|
| ResNeXt-50 | 0.936 | 0.9837 | 302±5 | 1147±58 | 1.46M | - |
| P-CNN | 0.930 | 0.9803 | 201±4 | 759±24 | 354k | 15.5M |
| PFN | - | 0.9819 | 247±3 | 888±17 | 86.1k | 4.62M |
| ParticleNet-Lite | 0.937 | 0.9844 | 325±5 | 1262 ± 49 | 26k | - |
| ParticleNet | 0.940 | 0.9858 | 397±7 | $1615{\pm}93$ | 370k | 540M |
| JEDI-net | 0.9263 | 0.9786 | - | 590.4 | _ | - |
| SPCT | 0.928 | 0.9799 | 201±9 | 725±54 | 7k | 2.4M |
| PCT | 0.940 | 0.9855 | 392±7 | $1533{\pm}101$ | 193.3k | 266M |
| LorentzNet | 0.942 | 0.9868 | 498±18 | $2195{\pm}173$ | 224k | - |
| ParT | 0.940 | 0.9858 | 413±16 | $1602{\pm}81$ | 2.13M | 260M |
| P-DAT | 0.932 | 0.9768 | 228±8 | 876±39 | 498k | 144M |

Combination:

The two particle attention modules ($P \times P$ attention maps) and two channel attention modules ($C \times C$ attention maps) are stacked while maintaining a consistent feature dimension of N = 64. By alternatively applying these two types of modules, the local and global information can be captured simultaneously and complement each other.



[1] Vinicius Mikuni and Florencia Canelli. Point cloud transformers applied to collider physics. Mach. Learn. Sci. Tech., 2(3):035027, 2021.

[2] Huilin Qu, Congqiao Li, and Sitian Qian. Particle Transformer for Jet Tagging. 2 2022.

[3] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need, 2017.