A moving detector Dynamical position and orientation calibration of the KM3NeT telescope

> Clara Gatius Oliver cgatius@nikhef.nl November 3<sup>rd</sup> 2023, NNV Lunteren

> > KM3Ne<sup>1</sup>

Niklhef

## The KM3NeT telescope



2

# A moving detector...

#### Detection units sway with the deep sea currents





#### continuous tracking of optical module positions and orientations required

- To not compromise envisaged angular resolution → KM3NeT/ARCA 0.05 deg (highest energy events)
- o To not compromise the event reconstruction quality

#### Acoustic position calibration



Autonomous acoustic emitters (~ 10 emissions / 10 min)

Emitters on base modules or junction boxes

 $(\sim 1 \text{ emission} / 30 \text{ sec})$ 

## Acoustic position calibration



Autonomous acoustic emitters (~ 10 emissions / 10 min)

#### Emitters on base modules or junction boxes

(~ 1 emission / 30 sec)

- o Different signal frequency for each emitter
- o Correlate acoustic raw data with signal template

 $\rightarrow$  ID emitter, time-of-arrival signal

### Acoustic fit





# Acoustic fit



Model of the detector geometry fitted to the acoustic data

$$t_{A}^{c}[i,j] = t_{E}^{c} + |\vec{x}_{0}[i] + \Delta \vec{x}[i,j] - \vec{x}^{c} | v^{-1}$$

$$\Delta x[i,j] = T_{x}[i]z'[i,j] + T_{x}^{(2)}[i](z_{0}[i,j])^{2}$$

$$\Delta y[i,j] = T_{y}[i]z'[i,j] + T_{y}^{(2)}[i](z_{0}[i,j])^{2}$$

$$\Delta z[i,j] = f^{-1}((1 + \alpha[i])z_{0}[i,j])$$

Tilt vector: Mechanical model:  $\hat{T} = (T_x, T_y, (1 - T_x^2 - T_y^2)^{1/2}) \quad z' = (1 + \alpha) \ z_0 + b \log(1 - \alpha \ (1 + \alpha) \ z_0)$ 

What do we fit?  $\rightarrow$ 

$$t_E^c$$
Time-of-emission $T_x, T_y$ Tilt of the DU $T_x^{(2)}, T_y^{(2)}$ Second order corrections to the tilt $1 + \alpha$ Stretching of the DU

# Acoustic fit



Model of the detector geometry fitted to the acoustic data

$$t_{A}^{c}[i,j] = t_{E}^{c} + |\vec{x}_{0}[i] + \Delta \vec{x}[i,j] - \vec{x}^{c} | v^{-1}$$
  

$$\Delta x[i,j] = T_{x}[i]z'[i,j] + T_{x}^{(2)}[i](z_{0}[i,j])^{2}$$
  

$$\Delta y[i,j] = T_{y}[i]z'[i,j] + T_{y}^{(2)}[i](z_{0}[i,j])^{2}$$
  

$$\Delta z[i,j] = f^{-1}((1 + \alpha[i])z_{0}[i,j])$$

Tilt vector:

Mechanical model:  $\hat{T} = (T_x, T_y, (1 - T_x^2 - T_y^2)^{1/2}) \quad z' = (1 + \alpha) z_0 + b \log(1 - a (1 + \alpha) z_0)$ 

What do we fit?  $\rightarrow$ 

 $\vec{x}_0$ DU position on the sea bed Nominal height of each DOM in the DU  $Z_0$  $\vec{x}^c$ Emitter position on the sea bed



- 4 months of data
- Coherent movement between detection units
- Highest tilts measured so far  $\sim 5.7 \text{ deg}$  (see additional slides)



coherent movement between the

detection units and sea current speed

10

•

and direction



- Stretching over time for  $\sim$  3 months of data
- Creep of the Dyneema<sup>®</sup> ropes of the newly deployed detection units, up to ~ 60 cm



- Stretching over time for  $\sim$  3 months of data
- Creep of the Dyneema<sup>®</sup> ropes of the newly deployed detection units, up to ~ 60 cm

- Residuals of the acoustic fit for 1 detection unit (lower module = 1, top module = 18)
- Residuals contained within ±100  $\mu s$  (~ 15 cm)

#### Orientation calibration



Magnetometer and accelerometer in each → Continuous data taking every 10 sec optical module (a.k.a. "compass")

After some corrections (Correction for magnetic declination and meridian convergence angle + in-lab calibration)

Conversion to **quaternions** 

 $Q \equiv (\cos (\theta/2), \sin (\theta/2)\hat{u})$ 

 $Q_0[i] \quad Q_0[i+1]$ 

#### Orientation calibration

twist  $Q_1^{z_j}[i]$  $Q_0[i] \quad Q_0[i+1]$  Magnetometer and accelerometer in each → Continuous data taking every 10 sec optical module (a.k.a. "compass")

> After some corrections (Correction for magnetic declination and meridian convergence angle + in-lab calibration)

> > Conversion to **quaternions**  $Q \equiv (\cos{(\theta/2)}, \sin{(\theta/2)}\hat{u})$

**Static calibration:** alignment of the optical modules of each detection unit during a period in which the sea current is low

Model of the DU twist fitted to the compass data for each DU (every 5 min)

$$Q = Q_0 \; Q_1^{z_j}$$
 ,  $\begin{array}{c} Q_0 : \mbox{Tilt DU} \\ Q_1^{z_j} : \mbox{Twist DOM} \end{array}$ 

#### Dynamic orientation calibration

Orientation updated very 5 min

- Optical modules move coherently among themselves
- as well as with the tilt derived from the acoustic data and the sea current measurements



# Final check of the calibration $\rightarrow$ muon calibration



**Muon calibration**  $\rightarrow$  exploits muon track reconstruction to find optimal orientation and position of the optical modules.

• Positions agree with the muon calibration within a range of ±10 cm



boxes  $\equiv$  50% of entries (between 1<sup>st</sup> and 3rd quartiles) whiskers  $\equiv$  minimum and maximum values

# Final check of the calibration $\rightarrow$ muon calibration



**Muon calibration**  $\rightarrow$  exploits muon track reconstruction to find optimal orientation and position of the optical modules.

• Orientations show an agreement within a range of less than ±3 deg



Plots for 5000 consecutive events boxes  $\equiv$  50% of entries (between 1<sup>st</sup> and 3rd quartiles) whiskers  $\equiv$  minimum and maximum values

#### Conclusion

- Acoustic positioning method in place to determine optical module positions
- Method to calibrate orientations of the optical modules in-situ and determine their dynamic orientation
- Methods agree with the muon calibration technique:
  - Positions ± 10 cm
  - Orientations ± 3 deg
- Agreement within required specifications to achieve:
  - Envisaged angular resolution of the KM3NeT/ARCA telescope of 0.05 deg
  - Not compromise event reconstruction quality

KM3NeT calibration is ready to point back at neutrino sources!

## Additional slides: Dynamic position calibration



KM3NeT/ARCA (21 detection units)

• Highest tilts measured so far  $\sim 5.7 \deg$ 

# Additional slides: acoustic fit



Model of the detector geometry fitted to the acoustic data (every 10 min)  $t_{A}^{c}[i,j] = t_{E}^{c} + |\vec{x}_{0}[i] + \Delta \vec{x}[i,j] - \vec{x}^{c} | v^{-1}$ Number of data points:  $n_{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} n_{i} \cdot N \cdot 18$ Number of free parameters:  $n_{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} n_{i} + 5N$  m = # emitters N = # DUS  $n_{i} = \# \text{ emissions in 10 min}$  $\chi^{2} = \frac{\sum_{n_{p}} (t_{A}^{measured} - t_{A}^{modelled})}{\sigma}$ ,  $\sigma = 50 \ \mu s \ (\sim 8 \ cm)$