# Hunting for gravitational waves in the era of cosmic dawn

# **Pratika Dayal**



# The team in Groningen



With: the LISA consortium, the SKA and Euclid theory groups, the ALMA (REBELS) team and JWST teams (Panoramic, Primer, CosmicSpring, Uncover)

- How many black holes exist and merge in the first billion years?
- Which sort of mergers (in terms of mass and redshift) will LISA see?
- How should we interpret the gravitational wave background seen by LISA?
- What about the electromagnetic counterparts for black hole mergers?

# The multi-scale processes determining the formation of a BH binary



Credit: Lupi et al. (2019)





Credit: Capelo et al. (2015)





Credit: Souza Lima et al. (2017)





Credit: Bowen et al. 2017



Mpcs: The large scale structure

1-100s kpcs: Galaxy interactions/merger

1-10s pc: Formation of a bound binary

<1 pc: Hardening of the binary

# The formation, growth and mergers of black holes is intricately tied to the properties of their host galaxies

"Astrophysics with LISA" white paper, 2023, LRR, 26, 2 arXiv:2203.06016

# The golden age for observing early galaxies



### Numerous pathways for black hole seed formation and growth



6

# Datasets allowing baselined models into unprecedented epochs



### Datasets allowing baselined models into unprecedented epochs



# The LISA-detectable GW event rate as function of redshift



Delayed merging

• In *fiducial case (ins1)* Most detectable mergers (~67%) are those from SBH-SBH, followed by SBH-DCBH mergers (32%). DCBH-DCBH mergers negligible.

• Due to delayed mergers, importance of DCBH-SBH mergers decreases. No detectable DCBH-DCBH mergers (with SNR>7).

DELPHI; PD, Rossi et al. 2019, MNRAS, 486, 2336

# The LISA-detectable GW event rate as function of redshift



• In *fiducial case (ins1)* Most detectable mergers (~67%) are those from SBH-SBH, followed by SBH-DCBH mergers (32%). DCBH-DCBH mergers negligible.

• Due to delayed mergers, importance of DCBH-SBH mergers decreases. No detectable DCBH-DCBH mergers (with SNR>7).

DELPHI; PD, Rossi et al. 2019, MNRAS, 486, 2336

# GW event rates crucially dependent on assumptions of BH seeds masses, feedback and merger timescales



# Breakthroughs in studying galaxies through cosmic time



#### NASA / JWST AND HST TEAMS

# Breakthroughs in studying galaxies through cosmic time



# Obese black holes in the first billion years with the JWST



Explaining the supermassive black holes being observed by JWST require unphysical explanations such as super-Eddington accretion onto low-mass seeds or Eddington accretion onto massive (10<sup>4</sup>  $M_{\odot}$ ) seeds that formed at  $z \sim 50$  posing an enormous challenge for all existing theoretical models.

#### Goulding et al. 2023; Kokorev et al. 2023; Furtak et al. 2023; Greene et al. 2023

# JWST black holes in a hierarchical structure formation context



# JWST black holes in a hierarchical structure formation context



### An over-abundance of black holes with the JWST



The JWST indicates at black hole number densities that are at the upper limit of theoretical expectations (each halo has a black hole similar to the local Universe that can accrete at the Eddington rate), specially at z>6.5.

# A need to revisit black hole seeding and growth pathways



# Towards a holistic picture of BHs in the first billion years



#### **Global properties of galaxy populations**

Galaxies being probed up to z~13; black holes up to z~10. Indicate an overabundance of massive galaxies and obese black holes

#### Individual galaxy properties

constraints on assembly histories, dust formation mechanisms, gas masses, black hole masses, black hole massstellar mass relations

#### **Gravitational wave astronomy**

LISA will detect mergers from 10<sup>4-7</sup> solar masses at z~3-15, mostly from low-mass BH mergers. The event rates remain debatable & need revisiting in light of JWST data.