## Tracking of continuous gravitational waves with spin wandering: selected results using O3 LIGO data

July 2023

DCC-G230999

-----OzGrav-



ARC Centre of Excellence for Gravitational Wave Discovery

Andrés F. Vargas Sánchez <a.vargas[at]unimelb.edu.au>, UniMelb, Ozgrav

## GW and spin wandering

Continuous gravitational Waves (CW) are *expected* to be produced at a multiple of the spin frequency  $f_{\rm GW} = \eta f_{\star}$ , with  $\eta = 1, 2, 4/3, ...$  given by the emission mechanism.

Yet, either by internal (e.g. *crust-superfluid couplings*) or external (e.g. *accretion*) processes of the neutron star, its spin frequency may wander with time. This is called spin wandering.

This is a challenge for tracking CW searches, as they must accommodate for a signal possibly wandering in some time-scale.



(Artistic representation of a neutron star accreting matter from its companion's envelope. Credit: Gabriel Pérez Díaz. )

### Pipeline: the HMM search

This pipeline considers hidden parameters as steps of a Markov chain related to the observable states through a probability.

The hidden GW frequency, f(t), is tracked through a trellis of log-like values calculated using a statistic on blocks of duration  $T_{driff}$ .

Assuming f(t) can vary at most a frequency bin per  $T_{drift}$  block, the Viterbi algorithm is used to efficiently track the most probable path.



Time step



(HMM Tracking. Image made by Julian Carlin)

## Pipeline: the HMM search

#### Simplified workflow:

- The data is divided into  $N_T = T_{obs} / T_{drift}$  segments.
- $T_{drift}$  sets the resolution in frequency space:  $\Delta f$  .
- The frequency space is split into sub-bands of width  $\Delta f_{band} = 2^n \Delta f$ . We calculate the *J*-statistic for every  $T_{drift}$  segments.
- The search is repeated for all possible "templates" of the orbital parameters.



(HMM Tracking. Image made by Julian Carlin and Hannah Middleton.)

### Thresholds

For each template within a sub-band the algorithm returns the summed log-likelihood of the best path:  $\mathcal{L}$ . We save the path of highest summed log-likelihood for all templates in the sub-band:  $\max(\mathcal{L})$ .

We set a threshold for a given sub-band,  $\mathcal{L}_{th}$ , through realisations of pure Gaussian noise. This threshold accounts for the total number of templates per sub-band.

Historically, the HMM search method sets the probability of false alarm to (PFA) = 1 % per sub-band.

#### Vetoes

For all candidates with  $max(\mathcal{L}) > \mathcal{L}_{th}$ , we apply the following two vetoes:

- A. Lines Veto: removes any candidate that is close with a known noise line.
- **B.** Single interferometer veto: removes any candidate that is consistent with noise lines located in a single detector, or louder in the less-sensitive detector.

Search for gravitational waves from Scorpius X-1 in the data from Advanced LIGO O3 observing run with a hidden Markov model

<u>R. Abbott et al.</u> Phys. Rev. D **106**, 062002

## Scorpius X-1 (Sco X-1)

LMXBs, such as Sco X-1, are binaries systems composed by a *donor* which accretes matter unto its companion, generally a compact object.

(Torque Balance) ~ (GW strain) ~  $\sqrt{(X-ray flux)}$ 



Sco X-1 is the brightest LMXB in X-rays, making it the highest priority target

# The perks of searching for Sco X-1

Continuous gravitational Waves (CW) are expected to be produced at a multiple of the spin frequency  $f_{\star}$ 

Yet there are <u>no</u> electromagnetic measurements of Sco X-1 spin frequency to guide any CW search

Also the spin frequency of an LMXB wanders stochastically making the search more difficult! For Sco X-1 the timescale for the frequency wandering has been <u>estimated</u> to be:  $\geq 10$  days



(FIG 3. in paper)

## **Upper Limits**

All sub-bands with no candidates are used to generate upper limits detectable at 95% confidence  $h_0$ .

We do this by injecting signals, with varying  $h_0$  and random parameters, until it is no longer detectable.



## Summary of the search

We did a broad-band search for CW from Sco X-1 using the O3 LIGO data from Hanford and Livingston.

We obtain 35 candidates with a (PFA)=1%.

One candidate remains after vetoes. This candidate disappears when using the 60 Hz subtracted data.

Upper limits are obtained for all sub-bands without a candidate. This is the first time a Sco X-1 HMM search dips under the torque-balance line.

## Other Sco X-1 O3 searches

As Sco X-1 is a promising candidate, there are more pipelines actively searching for it! For instance:

- The CrossCorr pipeline, see: <u>R.Abbott et al.</u> ApJL **941** L30 (2022)
- The Radiometer search, see: <u>R.Abbott et al.</u> Phys. Rev. D **104**, 022005

#### Search for continuous gravitational waves from PSR J0437-4715 with a hidden Markov model in O3 LIGO data

A. Vargas and A. Melatos. Phys. Rev. D 107, 064062

## Why this target?

PSR J0437-4715 is an interesting target as:

- It is nearby and the GW strain,  $h_0$ , scales  $\propto D^{-1}$
- It spins rapidly and  $h_0 \propto f_*^2$ .
- Radiation at {1,2,4/3}f<sub>\*</sub> far from main LIGO instrumental lines.
- The orbital elements are known to high accuracy!



PSR J0437-4715 is a <u>constant</u> target for GW searches!

### Motivation

There are several scenarios a  $\{1,2, 4/3\}f_*$  - search is *insensitive* to. For example:

- What if the GW-emitting quadrupole is not locked to the crust?
- What if the GW signal frequency wanders stochastically with time.
- *What if* the r-modes emit far from 4/3 f<sub>\*</sub> due to complicated microphysics?

#### Our work cover the above considerations by searching over a broad frequency range using a hidden Markov model (HMM).

# Considerations: T<sub>drift</sub>

In the absence of a GW detection, from millisecond pulsars, there is no way to predict  $T_{driff}!$ 

The time-scale for deviations from the long-term secular evolution of a rotating NS is estimated to be  $\sim 10 - 20$  days.



(Timing residuals for four pulsars. From <u>S. Price et al. 2012</u>)

Exploiting the speed of the HMM algorithm we conduct two analysis with  $T_{drift}^{(i)} = 10$  days and  $T_{drift}^{(ii)} = 30$  days.



(Figure 1. In paper)

#### A closer look:

- T<sub>drift</sub><sup>(i)</sup> search: No candidates survives the vetoing procedure.
- T<sub>drift</sub><sup>(ii)</sup> search: **five** candidates survive the vetoing procedure. This is consistent with the expected number of false alarms (~11).
  - All five survivors belong to *outcome 4* of the Single IFO veto, i.e. data from both detectors are needed to get  $max(\mathcal{L}) > \mathcal{L}_{th}$ .
  - All survivors follow  $q^*(t_N) \neq \eta f_\star$ , with  $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$ .
  - $\circ$  Two of the survivors share the same  $T_{\rm asc}$  template.

#### Table of survivors:

$q^*(t_{N_T})$ (Hz)	$\max(\mathcal{L})$	$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{th}}$	$T_{\rm asc}$ (GPS time)
219.6208803	148.23	148.22	1265652983.7837
248.7994215	150.25	150.24	1265652985.7228
271.3585692	153.38	153.37	1265653034.7963
320.2733869	154.31	154.30	1265652922.2337
371.4158598	153.82	153.82	1265652985.7228

## Results: Sky maps

We follow up the survivors by plotting how the detection statistic varies with the sky position of the search.

We calculate  $\mathcal{L}$  for a uniform ( $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{\delta}$ )-grid of 2601 pixels around the source position.

In general an astrophysical candidate's  $\mathcal{L}$  should diminish when pointing away from the source, forming elliptical contours of constant  $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\delta})$ .

#### Subband 248.58 Hz $\,$



## Summary of the search

We search for CW from PSR J0437-4715, in the band 60 Hz  $\leq$  f  $\leq$  500 Hz, to catch any possible signal with f(t)  $\neq$  f<sub>\*</sub> (t).

We conduct two distinct analysis with  $T_{drift}^{(i)} = 10$  days and  $T_{drift}^{(ii)} = 30$  days.

No candidates survive the veto procedure for the  $T_{drift}^{(i)}$  analysis, while five candidates survive the  $T_{drift}^{(i)}$  analysis, two of which share the same  $T_{asc}$  and are in the frequency ratio 1.493. The number of survivors is consistent with the expected number of false alarms.

Sky maps of  $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  versus search position do not reveal clear signatures of instrumental artifacts or an astrophysical origin.

All five survivors appear in sub-bands not covered by previous analysis.

#### Search for continuous gravitational waves from 20 accreting millisecond x-ray pulsars in O3 LIGO data.

R. Abbott et al. Phys. Rev. D 105, 022002

## Why these targets?

Accreting millisecond X-ray pulsars (AMXPs) are interesting targets as they:

- Binary accreting systems composed of a NS and a low-mass companion.
- Active accretion could create mountains or excite r-modes.
- Orbital elements and f<sub>\*</sub> are known to high accuracy, i.e. very computationally cheap HMM search.



(Mark Myers, Ozgrav-Swinburne University)

## Targets

Target	RA	Dec	P/s	$a_0/lt-s$	$T_{\rm asc}/{\rm GPS}$ time	$T_{\rm asc,O3}/{\rm GPS}$ time	$f_{\star}/\mathrm{Hz}$
IGR J00291+5934	00h29m03.05s	$+59^{\circ}34'18.93''$	8844.07673(9)	0.064993(2)	1122149932.93(5)	1238157687(1)	598.89213099(6)
MAXI J0911-655	09h12m02.46s	$-64^{\circ}52'06.37''$	2659.93312(47)	0.017595(9)	1145507148.0(9)	1238165918(16)	339.974937(3)
XTE J0929-314	09h29m20.19s	$-31^{\circ}23'03.2''$	2614.746(3)	0.006290(9)	705152406.1(9)	1238165763(612)	185.105254297(9)
IGR J16597-3704	$16\mathrm{h}59\mathrm{m}32.902\mathrm{s}$	$-37^{\circ}07'14.3''$	2758.2(3)	0.00480(3)	1193053416(9)	1238163777(4907)	105.1758271(3)
IGR J17062-6143	$17\mathrm{h}06\mathrm{m}16.29\mathrm{s}$	$-61^{\circ}42'40.6''$	2278.21124(2)	0.003963(6)	1239389342(4)	1238165942(4)	163.656110049(9)
IGR J17379-3747	$17\mathrm{h}37\mathrm{m}58.836\mathrm{s}$	$-37^{\circ}46'18.35''$	6765.8388(17)	0.076979(14)	1206573046.6(3)	1238162748(8)	468.083266605(7)
SAX J1748.9-2021	$17\mathrm{h}48\mathrm{m}52.161\mathrm{s}$	$-20^{\circ}21'32.406''$	31555.300(3)	0.38757(2)	1109500772.5(8)	1238151731(12)	442.3610957(2)
NGC 6440 X-2	$17\mathrm{h}48\mathrm{m}52.76\mathrm{s}$	$-20^{\circ}21'24.0''$	3457.8929(7)	0.00614(1)	956797704(2)	1238166449(57)	205.89221(2)
IGR J17494-3030	17h49m23.62s	$-30^{\circ}29'58.999''$	4496.67(3)	0.015186(12)	1287797911(1)	1238163668(331)	376.05017022(4)
Swift J1749.4-2807	17h49m31.728s	$-28^{\circ}08'05.064''$	31740.8417(27)	1.899568(11)	1298634645.85(12)	1238136602(5)	517.92001385(6)
IGR J17498-2921	$17\mathrm{h}49\mathrm{m}56.02\mathrm{s}$	$-29^{\circ}19'20.7''$	13835.619(1)	0.365165(5)	997147537.43(7)	1238164020(6)	400.99018734(9)
IGR J17511-3057	$17\mathrm{h}51\mathrm{m}08.66\mathrm{s}$	$-30^{\circ}57'41.0''$	12487.5121(4)	0.2751952(18)	936924316.03(3)	1238160570(10)	244.83395145(9)
XTE J1751-305	17h51m13.49s	$-30^{\circ}37'23.4''$	2545.3414(38)	0.010125(5)	701914663.57(3)	1238164644(487)	435.31799357(3)
Swift J1756.9-2508	$17\mathrm{h}56\mathrm{m}57.43\mathrm{s}$	$-25^{\circ}06'27.4''$	3282.40(4)	0.00596(2)	1207196675(9)	1238166119(378)	182.06580377(11)
IGR J17591-2342	$17\mathrm{h}59\mathrm{m}02.86\mathrm{s}$	$-23^{\circ}43'08.3''$	31684.7503(5)	1.227714(4)	1218341207.72(8)	1238144176.7(0.3)	527.425700578(9)
XTE J1807 - 294	$18\mathrm{h}06\mathrm{m}59.8\mathrm{s}$	$-29^\circ24'30''$	2404.4163(3)	0.004830(3)	732384720.7(3)	1238165711(63)	190.62350702(4)
SAX J1808.4-3658	18h08m27.647s	$-36^{\circ}58'43.90''$	7249.155(3)	0.062809(7)	1250296258.5(2)	1238161173(5)	400.97521037(1)
XTE J1814-338	18h13m39.02s	$-33^{\circ}46'22.3''$	15388.7229(2)	0.390633(9)	739049147.41(8)	1238151597(4)	314.35610879(1)
IGR J18245 - 2452	18h24m32.51s	$-24^{\circ}52'07.9''$	39692.812(7)	0.76591(1)	1049865088.37(9)	1238128096(33)	254.3330310(1)
HETE J1900.1 $-2455$	$19\mathrm{h}00\mathrm{m}08.65\mathrm{s}$	$-24^{\circ}55'13.7''$	4995.2630(5)	0.01844(2)	803963262.3(8)	1238161513(43)	377.296171971(5)



## Results: Sky maps

Rigorous follow-up of the candidates do not yield any astrophysical information.

Only the sky map for IGR J16597-3704 (right diagram) show high log likelihoods in the target's position vicinity.

The highest  $\mathcal{L}$  is not centered in the diagram to the right.



### Thank you!

## Summary of the search

Most comprehensive (in scope and sensitivity) search of CW from AMXPs.

No candidates remain after vetoes, if PFA = 1%.

16 Candidates remain after vetoes, if PFA=30%.

After extensive follow-up procedure no convincing evidence that any are a true astrophysical signal.

Upper limits are set and used to constraint ellipticity and r-mode amplitude.

The strictest are  $e^{95\%} = 3.1 \times 10^{-7}$  and  $a^{95\%} = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$  respectively

#### Extra Slides:

## Sco X-1 search setup for O3

We expect the frequency to wander every T<sub>drift</sub>=10 days. So in Fourier space we have a resolution of  $\Delta f_{\rm drift} = 1/(2T_{\rm drift}) \approx 5.787037 \times 10^{-7} \, {\rm Hz}$ 

We will divide the data in blocks of 10 days, so in total there are:  $N_T = [T_{obs}/T_{drift}] = 36$ , of these. We assume for each block the signal can 'jump' at most  $\Delta f_{drift}$ .

The search was done in sub-bands of width:  $\Delta f_{\text{band}} = 2^{20} \Delta f_{\text{drift}} = 0.6068148 \text{Hz}$  in total the search consist of 725 sub-bands.

Additionally neither  $a_0$ , P or  $T_{asc}$  are well constrained. So the frequency search must be expanded to include an additional search over possible "*templates*" of these parameters.