

Periodic variations of GCR intensity and anisotropy related to solar rotation by ACE/CRIS, STEREO, SOHO/EPHIN and neutron monitors observations


Renata Modzelewska and Agnieszka Gil

Siedlce University
renatam@uph.edu.pl


Outline

- galactic cosmic ray (GCR) anisotropy and intensity near the solar minima 23/24 and 24/25 based on neutron monitor (NM) measurements
- 27-day variations of GCR anisotropy and intensity in the solar minima: 2007-2009 ($A<0$) and 2017-2019 ($A>0$) in the opposite polarities of solar magnetic cycle
- 27-day GCR variations by ACE/CRIS, STEREO A,B, SOHO/EPHIN


GCR variations 2007-2009 (A<0)





2017-2019 (A>0)



determining recurrence/periodicity of GCR intensity and anisotropy in 2007-2009 ($A<0$) and 2017-2019 ($A>0$)





Amplitudes of the 27-day GCR variations by NMs




	$A < 0$	$A > 0$
NM station	2007-2009	2017-2019
Apatity	0.41 ± 0.04	0.64 ± 0.05
Kerguelen	0.34 ± 0.04	0.48 ± 0.05
Newark	0.34 ± 0.04	0.54 ± 0.07
Oulu	0.42 ± 0.05	0.52 ± 0.05
Hermanus	0.25 ± 0.02	0.43 ± 0.05

	$A < 0$	$A > 0$
NM station	2007-2009	2017-2019
Apatity	0.13 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01
Kerguelen	0.13 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01
Newark	0.12 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.02
Oulu	0.13 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.02
Hermanus	0.11 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01

Dynamics of the periodicity and related maximum power of GCR intensity and anisotropy components




determining recurrence/periodicity in HMF Bx and solar wind velocity in 2007-2009 and 2017-2019




STEREO 2007-2009 (A<0)

STEREO A A<0




2017-2019 (A>0)


STEREO A A>0



data processing



$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(a_r^k \cos \frac{2\pi k t}{T} + a_\varphi^k \sin \frac{2\pi k t}{T} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_k \sin \left(\frac{2\pi k t}{T} + \varphi_k \right)$$




Amplitudes of the 27-day GCR variations

SOHO/EPHIN


STEREO A and B

A27I[%]	$A < 0$		$A > 0$
	2007-2009	2017-2019	—
E[MeV/n]			
SOHO EPHIN			
25-40.9	3.94 ± 0.00	3.04 ± 0.36	—
40.9-53	4.25 ± 0.00	3.44 ± 0.37	—
STEREO A			
40-60	2.17 ± 0.20	2.65 ± 0.20	—
60-100	1.61 ± 0.20	1.83 ± 0.10	—
STEREO B			
40-60	2.80 ± 0.30	—	—
60-100	1.40 ± 0.10	—	—




ACE CRIS Oxygen 2007-2009 (A<0)

ACE CRIS O A<0




2017-2019 (A>0)

ACE CRIS O A>0







Amplitudes of the 27-day GCR variations ACE/CRIS

A27I[%]	$A < 0$	$A > 0$
ACE O	2007-2009	2017-2019
E[MeV/n]		
59.0-75.6	3.14 ± 0.19	3.67 ± 0.29
77.2-103.8	2.76 ± 0.26	2.94 ± 0.24
105.1-127.2	3.19 ± 0.25	2.85 ± 0.24
128.3-147.8	4.04 ± 0.40	3.59 ± 0.29
148.7-166.5	3.03 ± 0.21	3.49 ± 0.28
167.4-183.8	3.55 ± 0.33	4.08 ± 0.35
184.7-200.4	4.22 ± 0.32	3.96 ± 0.35




Amplitudes of the 27-day GCR variations ACE/CRIS



Amplitudes of the 27-day GCR variations ACE/CRIS

A27I[%]	$A < 0$	$A > 0$
ACE Fe	2007-2009	2017-2019
E[MeV/n]		
123.5-159.6	5.79 ± 0.45	6.63 ± 0.63
163.3-222.3	5.15 ± 0.44	5.10 ± 0.47
225.1-275.3	5.76 ± 0.56	5.17 ± 0.44
277.8-322.8	6.09 ± 0.42	6.76 ± 0.56
324.9-366.7	7.73 ± 0.77	6.63 ± 0.57
368.6-407.7	8.06 ± 0.74	9.06 ± 0.71
409.9-447.7	9.26 ± 0.76	10.18 ± 0.95



Polarity dependence of recurrent GCR modulation – possible explanation

- Several approaches were proposed, e.g., the polarity dependent diffusion coefficients (Richardson et al. 1999; Richardson 2004), heliolongitudinal asymmetry of the solar wind velocity (Modzelewska & Alania 2012) and convection+drift effects (Gil & Mursula 2017).
- Guo & Florinski (2016) pointed out that modulation around CIR is possible only through the perpendicular diffusion effect.
- Ghanbari et al. (2019) and Guo et al. (2021) proposed that the convection of solar wind does not play a significant role in the vicinity of CIRs and indicated that the GCR intensity is inversely proportional to the perpendicular diffusion coefficient around CIR.
- Engelbrecht and Moloto (2020) reduction of drift effect for lower energies;
- Vrsnak et al., Dumbovic et al., (2022) convection-diffusion approach with reduced diffusion-effect caused by the enhanced magnetic field fluctuations (ΔB) in CIR
- Future plans for analyzing AMS data, PSP and SO
- Due to the complexity of GCR modulation around CIR future numerical models should be tested...

Conclusions

- The amplitudes of the 27-day variations of GCR anisotropy and intensity observed by NM s in the solar minima: 2007-2009 and 2017-2019 are polarity dependent with larger amplitudes for A>0 which confirms a 22-year cyclic pattern reported earlier (e.g. Alania et al. 2005; 2008).
- The amplitudes of the 27-day variations of GCR intensity observed by ACE/CRIS in the solar minima: 2007-2009 and 2017-2019 seem to be NOT polarity dependent.
- GCR modulation effect around CIR for lower energies is much more complicated for spacecraft data (ACE, STEREO and SOHO) and needs further study...



<https://spaceclimate8.uph.edu.pl/>

Thank you!

R. Modzelewska, A. Gil, Recurrence of galactic cosmic-ray intensity and anisotropy in solar minima 23/24 and 24/25 observed by ACE/CRIS, STEREO/SOHO/EPHIN and neutron monitors. Fourier and wavelet analysis, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 646, A128 (2021), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/202039651, <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039651>