Asymmetries in the lateral distributions of signals measured by surface-detector arrays

Institute for Astroparticle Physics (IAP) Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)



July 26, 2022

Helena Lamprecht, Quentin Luce, Markus Roth, David Schmidt, Darko Veberic



Sampling the lateral distributions of extensive air showers



Need: Shower axis, shower size, and core (at least as a stepping stone)

Plots adapted from: Auger Collaboration, 2020 JINST 15 P10021, Reconstruction of events recorded with the surface detector of the Pierre Auger Observatory





Sources of asymmetry







Sources of asymmetry







*Lukas Armbruster, Bachelor thesis, Asymmetries of the Lateral Distribution of Particles at the Ground





Asymmetry









Simulations

Primaries:	p, Fe
Hadronic interaction models:	EPOS-LHC, QGSJet II-04
lg(E/eV):	[18.5, 20.0]
θ/°:	[0, 60]
Detectors:	Water Cherenkov Detector 1.2 m depth, 1.8 m radius
	Scintillator 1 cm thickness, 4 m ² area



Amplitude of asymmetry



Increasing amplitude with distance from shower axis at distances ≤ 500 m

Beyond ~500 m, relatively distance-independent amplitude





Amplitude of asymmetry



Water-Cherenkov Detector

Amplitude of asymmetry





lg(E/eV): [19.5, 19.6]



Impact of taking asymmetries into account



For 1500 m isometric triangular grid of water-Cherenkov detectors (Auger-like)



Impact of taking asymmetries into account

For 1500 m isometric triangular grid of water-Cherenkov detectors (Auger-like)



Impact of taking asymmetries into account

For 1500 m isometric triangular grid of water-Cherenkov detectors (Auger-like)



Negligible bias in arrival direction (< 0.1°) and S(1000) and change therein with correction) negligible



Summary

- Asymmetries in simulated signals with relative amplitudes of up to 25% (40%) for water-Cherenkov (scintillator detectors)
- Interplay between geometric and attenuative effects and signal fraction from different shower components
- Dependencies on distance to shower axis and zenith angle
- Biases of ~40 m in core position if not taken into account
- Improvement in core resolution of up to 50% if taken into account

For details (e.g. functional forms of the asymmetry parameterizations in r, θ , and S(1000)), please see upcoming proceeding





Mass dependence





Parameterization

$$S(r,\zeta) = S_{1000} f_{\rm LDF} [1 + b(r,\theta,\log(S_{1000}))\cos\zeta]$$

$$b(r,\theta,\log(S_{1000})) = k(\theta,\log(S_{1000})) \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{r}{r_0(\theta,\log(S_{1000}))}\right)$$

$$k(\theta, \log(S_{1000})) = \frac{k_0 + k_1 \sin^2 \theta}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{\sin^2 \theta - k_2(\log(S_{1000}))}{k_3(\log(S_{1000}))}\right)}$$

 $r_0(\theta, \log(S_{1000})) = r_1(\log(S_{1000})) + r_2(\log(S_{1000}))\sin^4\theta$





