

Limits to gauge coupling in the dark sector set by the non-observation of instanton-induced decay of Super-Heavy Dark Matter in the Pierre Auger Observatory data

Olivier Deligny (CNRS/IN2P3 – IJCLab Orsay) for the Pierre Auger Collaboration July 25, 2022

ECRS2022 – The 27th European Cosmic Ray Symposium



inspired from arXiv:1707.04591

SHDM motivations?

- Energy scale of new physics?
 - · Naturalness and DM: WIMP paradigm
 - · SM vacuum (in)stability: SHDM?
- Energy instability scale? Simplified trend at tree level:
 - To lowest order in the Higgs self-coupling λ , $\lambda(\mu)$ evolution dominated by the term from the top coupling (one-loop radiative correction):

$$\frac{\mu d\lambda}{d\mu} = -\frac{3\lambda_t^4}{8\pi^2} + \dots$$

- As soon as $\lambda(\mu)$ turns negative, the Higgs potential becomes unbounded from below and the vacuum can suffer from instability
- Neglecting gauge interactions, the solution of the RGE at the instability scale $\lambda(\Lambda) = 0$ relates the Higgs mass with the top Yukawa coupling:

$$m_h^2 > rac{3m_t^4}{\pi^2 v^2} \log rac{\Lambda}{v}$$

LHC SM phase diagrams

Extrapolation of the SM parameters up to large energies with full 3-loop
 NNLO precision



• Precise values of Higgs boson mass + top Yukawa coupling \implies SM vacuum *meta-stable* up to high Λ

LHC SM phase diagrams



D. Buttazzo et al., JHEP vol 2013, 89 (2013)

- No inconsistency that would make the SM vacuum unstable by extrapolating the SM all the way from the mass of the top to the Planck mass
- · Dark sector of super-heavy particles?

The Pierre Auger Observatory



see review talk by A. Castellina on Friday

Secondary by-product fluxes from SDHM decay

• Flux of secondaries from SHDM decay ($i = \gamma, v, \overline{v}, N, N$):

$$J_i^{\text{gal}}(E) = \frac{1}{4\pi M_X c^2 \tau_X} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_i}{\mathrm{d}E} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}s \ \rho_{\text{DM}}(\mathbf{x}_{\odot} + \mathbf{x}_i(s; \mathbf{n})).$$

- $ho_{\rm DM}$: DM profile
- $\frac{dN_i}{dE}$: energy spectra of $i = \gamma, \nu, \overline{\nu}, N, \overline{N}$ from hadronization processes, evolving the fragmentation functions from EW scale up to M_X using DGLAP [Aloisio et al., Phys. Rev. D 69 094023 (2004)] here, see also Sarkar & Toldra (2002), Barbot & Drees (2003), Kachelriess et al. (2018), Alcantara, et al. (2019)
- Free parameters: M_X, τ_X



Sensitivity of the Observatory to SDHM decay



Pierre Auger Collaboration, arXiv:2203.08854, accompanying long paper soon

Constraints on perturbative decay

 Decay rate for an effective interaction term containing a monomial of dimension n in mass unit:

$$\Gamma_X \propto \alpha_{X\Theta} M_X \left(\frac{M_X}{\Lambda}\right)^{2n-\delta}$$

• Fine tuning between $\alpha_{X \Theta}$ and n [Pierre Auger Collaboration, arXiv:2203.08854, long paper soon]



[Kuzmin & Rubakov, Phys. Atom. Nucl.979 61, 1028 (1998)]

- SHDM particles protected from standard decay by perturbative effects through a new quantum number
- Still, non-perturbative effects can lead to decays through "instantons" in non-commutative gauge theories
- For *B*, *L* and *X* currents not associated to gauge interactions, possibility to exchange quantum numbers through an anomaly

• Lifetime of metastable X particles: $\tau_X \simeq M_X^{-1} \exp (4\pi/\alpha_X)$ [t'Hooft, PBL 37 (1976) 81



[Pierre Auger Collaboration, arXiv:2203.08854, long paper soon]

Non-thermal SHDM production during reheating

- · No coupling between SM and DM sectors except gravitational
- DM production by "freeze-in" mechanism through s-channel SM+SM \rightarrow DM+DM [Garny et al. PRL 116 (2016) 101302] Or $\phi + \phi \rightarrow$ DM+DM [Mambrini & Olive Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 11, 115009] while inflaton decays into SM particles and reheats the universe after inflation:

$$\frac{dn_X(t)}{dt} + 3H(t)n_X(t) \simeq \sum_i \overline{n}_i^2 \Gamma_i$$

• Reheating dynamics between $t=H_{
m inf}^{-1}$ and $t=\Gamma_{\phi}^{-1}$ at $T_{
m rh}$ [Chung et al. Phys. Rev.929

D 60, 063504 (1999), Giudice et al., Phys. Rev. D 64, 023508 (2001)]

- $T(a) \simeq 0.2 (\epsilon M_{\rm Pl} H_{\rm inf})^{1/2} (a^{-3/2} a^{-4})^{1/4}$
- $H(a) = H_{inf}(a/a_{inf})^{-3/2}, a \le a_{rh}$
- $H(a) = H_{inf} \epsilon^2 (a/a_{rh})^{-2}, a > a_{rh}$
- Reheating efficiency $\epsilon \simeq 4T_{\rm rh}(M_{\rm Pl}H_{\rm inf})^{-1/2}$ defined between 0 and 1, characterizing the duration of the reheating period ($\epsilon \simeq 1 \implies$ instantaneous reheating)

Viable regions

• Delineating viable regions in the (H_{inf}, M_X) plane for various ϵ values to match the DM relic density



- GUT mass scale viable for $\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ (T_{rh} relatively high) \implies tensor/scalar ratio r of the primordial modes possibly detectable in the CMB
- For $\epsilon \leq$ 0.01, 10¹³ GeV mass scale viable, testable for $\alpha_X \lesssim$ 0.09

- Assuming no new physics up to high energy scales, several constraints on the properties of a dark sector of SH particles brought by the absence of UHE photons
- *X* particles with masses as large as the GUT energy scale could be sufficiently abundant to match the DM relic density, provided that the inflationary energy scale is high ($H_{inf} \simeq 10^{13}$ GeV) and T_{rh} is high (so that reheating is quasi-instantaneous)
- UHECR/CMB complementarity