The Hyper-Kamiokande Experiment

Francesca Di Lodovico Queen Mary University of London

Colloquium Nikhef, December 4, 2015

The Unceasing March of Neutrinos

- Neutrinos are difficult to corner, but technology is beginning to catch up.
- As the study of their nature develops their importance is being understood revealing an essential component to understand the Universe.



Periodic System of Elementary Particles



	Quarks				Leptons		
	Charge	-1/3	Charge	+2/3	Charge	-1	Charge (
1 st Family	Down	d	Up	u	Electron	е	e-Neutrinov _e
2 nd Family	Strange	S	Charm	С	Muon	μ	μ -Neutrino ν_{μ}
3 rd Family	Bottom	b	Тор	t	Tau	τ	τ -Neutrino v_{τ}
Higgs	Strong Interaction (8 Gluons)						
	Electromagnetic Interaction (Photon)						
	Weak Interaction (W and Z Bosons)						
	Gravitation (Gravitons?)						

Where do Neutrino Appear in Nature?

Nuclear Reactors

Particle Accelerators

Earth Atmosphere

Earth Crust (Natural Radioactivity)



Cosmic microwave backaround

Sun

Supernovae (Stellar Collapse) SN 1987A

Astrophysical Accelerators

Cosmic Big Bang (Today 330 n/cm³) Indirect Evidence⁴

First Detection (1954-'56) @ Savannah Power power plant







Neutrinos from the Sun



Solar radiation: 98% light (photons) 2% neutrinos At Earth 66 billion neutrinos/cm² sec



Neutrinos from the Sun



Super-Kamiokande Neutrino Detector, since 1996



Super-Kamiokande Neutrino Detector, since 1996





ca. 60,000 solar neutrinos measured in Super-K (1996– 2012)

SNO Breakthrough



Illustration: © Johan Jarnestad/The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences



In 2002, the SNO results confirmed the hypothesis of neutrino oscillations for solar neutrinos observing not only electron neutrino disappearance but also active neutrino appearance using a D₂O target.

Super-Kamiokande Breakthrough

- •Super-Kamiokande is a 50kton Water Cherenkov detector in the Kamioka mine.
- •It is an upgrade of Kamioka, that started data-taking in 1996.
- •Super-Kamiokande observed a depletion of μ -like events for neutrinos which transverse the Earth.
- •Up / down difference!





NUMBER OF HIGH-ENERGY MUON-NEUTRINOS seen arriving on different trajectories at Super-K clearly matches a prediction incorporating neutrino oscillations (green) and does not match the no-oscillation prediction (blue). Upward-going neutrinos (plotted toward left of graph) have traveled far enough for half of them to change flavor and escape detection.

2015 Physics Nobel Prize for Neutrino Oscillations





Takaaki Kajita (1959)



Arthur B. McDonald (1943)

"for the discovery of neutrino oscillations, which shows that neutrinos have mass"

Oscillation of Reactor Neutrinos at KamLAND (Japan)

Oscillation pattern for anti-electron neutrinos from Japanese power reactors as a function of L/E



KamLAND Scintillator detector (1000ton)





Long Baseline Neutrino (LBN) Experiment



K2K Experiment (KEK to Kamiokande) measures precise neutrino oscillation parameters.



Confirms atmospheric neutrino oscillation parameters with controlled beam.

How to interpret the experimental results?



Neutrino Oscillations

Neutrino oscillations imply that neutrinos have mass.
First and so far only evidence of physics beyond the Standard Model of Particle Physics.

Similar mechanism as in the quark oscillation (CKM matrix).
 Free parameters: 3 angles, 1 phase
 CKM matrix



•PMNS (Pontecorvo, Maki, Nagakawa, Sakata) matrix for v:

Flavour eigenstates (coupling to the W)

Mass eigenstates (definite mass)

Unitary PMNS mixing matrix

• For antineutrinos, $U \rightarrow U^*$

Neutrino Oscillations Framework

Free parameters usually written in terms of three rotation angles and 1 complex phase: θ_{12} , θ_{23} , θ_{13} , δ $(c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}) s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij})$

 $U_{PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & +c_{23} & +s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & +c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} +c_{13} & 0 & +s_{13}e^{i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & +c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} +c_{12} & +s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & +c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

 θ_{12} : "solar" mixing angle

$$P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = |\langle \nu_{\beta} | \nu_{\alpha}(t) \rangle|^{2} = \left| \sum_{i>j} U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} e^{-im_{i}^{2}L/2E} \right|^{2}$$

$$L(km): Distance the neutrino has travelled
$$E(GeV): Energy of the neutrino
$$\Delta m_{ij}^{2}(eV^{2}) = m_{i}^{2} - m_{j}^{2}: mass splitting$$

$$P_{\alpha \beta} = \delta_{\alpha \beta} - 4 \sum_{i>j} Re \left[U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta j}^{*} U_{\alpha j} \right] \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^{2}L}{4E} \right) + 2 \sum_{i>j} Im \left[U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta j}^{*} U_{\alpha j} \right] \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^{2}L}{4E} \right)$$$$$$

If neutrinos have no mass, or degenerate masses, no interference is possible

Neutrino Oscillations Framework

Free parameters usually written in terms of three rotation angles and 1 complex phase: θ_{12} , θ_{23} , θ_{13} , δ $(c_{ii} = \cos \theta_{ii}, s_{ii} = \sin \theta_{ii})$



Three flavour effects suppressed because: $\Delta m_{21}^2 << \Delta m_{31}^2$ and $\theta_{31} << 1$ \rightarrow Dominant oscillations well described by effective 2 flavour oscillations.



Mass Hierarchy



Measured Mass Splittings

Two observed mass splittings, determined from atmospheric and solar neutrino Mass Hierarchy experiments, respectively: m^2 m^2 $\rightarrow \Delta m^2$ (atmospheric) = Norma Inverted $|\Delta m^2_{22}| \sim 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ m_3^2 solar~7×10⁻⁵eV² atmospheric $\rightarrow \Delta m^2$ (solar) = ~2×10⁻³eV² atmospheric $\Delta m_{21}^2 \sim 7.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ $\sim 2 \times 10^{-3} eV^2$ m_2^2 . solar~7×10⁻⁵eV² m_1^2 m_2^2 The sign of $|\Delta m^2_{32}|$, or the "mass hierarchy" ? ? is still unknown: 0 0

- > Normal mass hierarchy is like quarks (m_1 is lightest, $\Delta m_{32}^2 > 0$)
- > *Inverted* mass hierarchy has m_3 lightest ($\Delta m_{32}^2 < 0$)

Summary of Mass Hierarchy Strategies



see later sides							
	Long Baseline Beam (e.g. NOvA, NOvA + T2K, DUNE, etc.)	Atmospherics (e.g. DUNE [*] , Hyper-K [*] , INO, ORCA, PINGU)	Reactor Long Baseline (e.g. Juno, RENO-50)				
Benefit	Robust, clean signal	Predictable Timescale/cost	Independent technology				
Risk (osc. params)	$δ_{_{CP}}$, θ $_{_{23}}$	$\theta_{_{23}}$	-				
Challenges	Timescale	Energy res, directional res, particle ID	Energy resolution				

* con lator clidoc

Three approaches to mass hierarchy measurement

LBN Experiments



$$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$$
 Probability

 v_{μ} and anti(v_{μ}) beams produced @ accelerators



$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{e} \\ \mathbf{v}_{\mu} \\ \mathbf{v}_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1} \\ \mathbf{v}_{2} \\ \mathbf{v}_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

• v_{e} appearance: sensitive to $\theta_{13} + (\Delta m^{2})_{23} + \theta_{23}$ • v_{μ} disappearance: sensitive to $(\Delta m^{2})_{23} + \theta_{23}$ • v_{τ} appearance: sensitive to $(\Delta m^{2})_{23} + \theta_{23}$ •Sensitivity also for θ_{23} octant, δ_{CP} , mass hierarchy

$$\begin{split} & \bigvee_{\mu} \rightarrow \bigvee_{e} \operatorname{Probability}_{P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e})} = 4c_{13}^{2}s_{13}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \cdot \sin^{2}\Delta_{31} \qquad \text{Leading term}_{e} \theta_{13} \qquad c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}, s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij} \\ & \mathsf{CP \ conserving} \qquad +8c_{13}^{2}s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}(c_{12}c_{23}\cos\delta_{CP} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}) \cdot \cos\Delta_{32} \cdot \sin\Delta_{31} \cdot \sin\Delta_{21} \\ & \mathsf{CP \ violating}_{\delta \rightarrow \cdot \delta \ for \ \mathsf{P}(\bar{\nu} - \bar{\nu}_{e}) \qquad -8c_{13}^{2}c_{12}c_{23}s_{12}s_{13}s_{21} \sin\delta_{CP} \cdot \sin\Delta_{32} \cdot \sin\Delta_{31} \cdot \sin\Delta_{21} \\ & \mathsf{Solar} \qquad +4s_{12}^{2}c_{13}^{2}(c_{12}^{2}c_{23}^{2} + s_{12}^{2}s_{23}^{2}s_{13}^{2} - 2c_{12}c_{23}s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}\cos\delta_{CP}) \cdot \sin^{2}\Delta_{21} \\ & \mathsf{Matter} \begin{cases} -8c_{13}^{2}s_{13}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \cdot \frac{aL}{4E_{\nu}}(1 - 2s_{13}^{2}) \cdot \cos\Delta_{32} \cdot \sin\Delta_{31} \\ +8c_{13}^{2}s_{13}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \frac{a}{\Delta m_{31}^{2}}(1 - 2s_{13}^{2}) \cdot \sin^{2}\Delta_{31}, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where Δ_{ij} is $\Delta m_{ij}^2 L/4E_{\nu}$, and $a = 2\sqrt{2}G_F n_e E_{\nu} = 7.56 \times 10^{-5} [eV^2] \times \rho[g/cm^3] \times E_{\nu}[GeV]$.



Leading Term $\mu \sin^2 2\theta_{_{13}}$ CPV Term $\mu \sin 2\theta_{_{13}}$ Matter Effect $\mu \sin^2 2\theta_{_{13}}$

For large $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$: Signal , CP Asymmetry \downarrow , Matter/CP \uparrow

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} &\rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{e} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{P}(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) &= 4c_{13}^{2}s_{13}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \cdot \sin^{2}\Delta_{31} \\ P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) &= 4c_{13}^{2}s_{13}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \cdot \sin^{2}\Delta_{31} \\ \textbf{CP conserving} &+ 8c_{13}^{2}s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}(c_{12}c_{23}\cos\delta_{CP} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}) \cdot \cos\Delta_{32} \cdot \sin\Delta_{31} \cdot \sin\Delta_{21} \\ \textbf{CP violating} &- 8c_{13}^{2}c_{12}c_{23}s_{12}s_{13}s_{22}(\sin\delta_{CP}) \cdot \sin\Delta_{32} \cdot \sin\Delta_{31} \cdot \sin\Delta_{21} \\ \textbf{CP violating} &- 8c_{13}^{2}c_{12}c_{23}s_{12}s_{13}s_{22}(\sin\delta_{CP}) \cdot \sin\Delta_{32} \cdot \sin\Delta_{31} \cdot \sin\Delta_{21} \\ \textbf{Solar} &+ 4s_{12}^{2}c_{13}^{2}(c_{12}^{2}c_{2}^{2} + s_{12}^{2}s_{23}^{2}s_{13}^{2} - 2c_{12}c_{23}s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}\cos\delta_{CP}) \cdot \sin^{2}\Delta_{21} \\ \textbf{Matter} \begin{cases} -8c_{13}^{2}s_{13}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \cdot \frac{aL}{4E_{\nu}}(1 - 2s_{13}^{2}) \cdot \cos\Delta_{32} \cdot \sin\Delta_{31} \\ +8c_{13}^{2}s_{13}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \cdot \frac{aL}{4E_{\nu}}(1 - 2s_{13}^{2}) \cdot \sin^{2}\Delta_{31}, \end{cases} \end{array}$$

where Δ_{ij} is $\Delta m_{ij}^2 L/4E_{\nu}$, and $a = 2\sqrt{2}G_F n_e E_{\nu} = 7.56 \times 10^{-5} [eV^2] \times \rho[g/cm^3] \times E_{\nu}[GeV]$.



$$\begin{split} & \bigvee_{\mu} \longrightarrow \bigvee_{e} \operatorname{Probability}_{i} \\ P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) &= 4c_{13}^{2}s_{13}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \cdot \sin^{2}\Delta_{31} \qquad \text{Leading term}_{i} \qquad \theta_{13} \qquad c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}, s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij} \\ \text{CP conserving} &+ 8c_{13}^{2}s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}(c_{12}c_{23}\cos\delta_{CP} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}) \cdot \cos\Delta_{32} \cdot \sin\Delta_{31} \cdot \sin\Delta_{21} \\ \text{CP violating}_{\delta \rightarrow -8 \text{ for } \mathsf{P}(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu})} & -8c_{13}^{2}c_{12}c_{23}s_{12}s_{13}s_{22}(\sin\delta_{CP}) \cdot \sin\Delta_{32} \cdot \sin\Delta_{31} \cdot \sin\Delta_{21} \\ \text{Solar} &+ 4s_{12}^{2}c_{13}^{2}(c_{12}^{2}c_{23}^{2} + s_{12}^{2}s_{23}^{2}s_{13}^{2} - 2c_{12}c_{23}s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}\cos\delta_{CP}) \cdot \sin^{2}\Delta_{21} \\ \text{Matter} \begin{cases} -8c_{13}^{2}s_{13}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \cdot \frac{aL}{4E_{\nu}}(1 - 2s_{13}^{2}) \cdot \cos\Delta_{32} \cdot \sin\Delta_{31} \\ +8c_{13}^{2}s_{13}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \cdot \frac{aL}{4E_{\nu}}(1 - 2s_{13}^{2}) \cdot \sin^{2}\Delta_{31}, \\ +8c_{13}^{2}s_{13}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \cdot \frac{aL}{4E_{\nu}}(1 - 2s_{13}^{2}) \cdot \sin^{2}\Delta_{31}, \end{cases}$$
(7)
where Δ_{ij} is $\Delta m_{ij}^{2} L/4E_{\nu}$, and $a = 2\sqrt{2}G_{F}n_{e}E_{\nu} = 7.56 \times 10^{-5} [\text{eV}^{2}] \times \rho[\text{g/cm}^{3}] \times E_{\nu}[\text{GeV}]. \end{cases}$

Combination with v_{μ} disappearance helps constraining some of the parameters (for example, Δm_{23}^2 and θ_{23})

$$\begin{split} P(\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\mu}) &\simeq 1 - 4\cos^2(\theta_{13})\sin^2(\theta_{23})[1 - \cos^2(\theta_{13}) \\ &\times \sin^2(\theta_{23})]\sin^2(1.267\Delta m^2 L/E_{\nu}), \end{split}$$

Long Baseline Experiments

Experiment	Status	E _∨ (GeV)	L (Km)	E/L (eV ²)	V beam	[∨] type
T2K	Running	0.6	295	2x10 ⁻³	KEK J-PARC	$ u_{\mu}$ /anti- $ u_{\mu}$
MINOS	Completed	2	735	2.5x10 ⁻³	Fermilab NuMI	$ u_{\mu}$ /anti- $ u_{\mu}$
MINOS ⁺	Running	5	735	6.8x10 ⁻³	Fermilab NuMI	$ u_{\mu}$ /anti- $ u_{\mu}$
NOVA	Running	2	810	2.5x10 ⁻³	Fermilab NuMI	$ u_{\mu}$ /anti- $ u_{\mu}$
OPERA	Completed	17	730	2.3x10 ⁻²	CERN CNGS	v_{μ}
DUNE	Future	5	1300	3.8x10 ⁻³	Fermilab newbeam	$ u_{\mu}$ /anti- $ u_{\mu}$
HYPERK	Future	0.6	295	2x10 ⁻³	KEK J-PARC (improved)	ν_{μ} /anti- ν_{μ}

Oscillation Searches at T2K









T2K Joint Results

Combined appearance + disappearance analysis!

[arXiv:1502.01550] 2010-2013 data runs: **10% of total expected data**

Simultaneous v_{e} and v_{μ} fit under a three flavour oscillation hypothesis: vary δ_{CP} , Δm_{23}^{2} , θ_{23} , θ_{13} . Frequentist approach.

- Maximal θ_{23} .
- Larger $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{_{13}}$ than reactors.
- Negligible χ^2 for mass hierarchy.



T2K Joint Results

Combined appearance + disappearance analysis!

[arXiv:1502.01550] 2010-2013 data runs: **10% of total expected data**

Simultaneous $v_e^{}$ and $v_{\mu}^{}$ fit under a three flavour oscillation hypothesis: vary $\delta_{CP}^{}$, Δm_{23}^2 , $\theta_{23}^{}$, $\theta_{13}^{}$. Frequentist approach.

Combined with reactor experiments: hints towards $\delta_{_{\rm CP}} = -\pi/2$



MINOS/MINOS+ Results



[arXiv:1502.07715]

New results from a three-flavour combined disappearance and appearance analysis, Beam and atmospheric neutrino data.

Best fit (IH):

$$\sin^{2} 2\theta_{23} = 0.43^{+0.19}_{-0.05}$$
$$\left|\Delta m_{32}^{2}\right| = 2.37^{+0.07}_{-0.11} \times 10^{-3} eV^{2}$$

- Most precise $|\Delta m^2_{23}|$ measurement.
- Consistent with maximal mixing.
- Marginal preference for IH and lower octant of $\theta_{_{23}}$

DUNE Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment



DUNE: 40 kt LAr-TPC Far Detector (1300 km baseline)

- Near Detector systems
- Science collaboration

LBNF (Long-baseline Neutrino Facility)

- 1.2 MW wide-band ν beam, upgradable to 2.4 MW
- Conventional facilities at Fermilab and SURF
- Cryostats and cryogenic systems at SURF

DUNE Scientific Strategy

Three main pillars

1) LBL Neutrino Physics

- CPV in the leptonic sector
- Mass Hierarchy
- Precision oscillation physics (θ_{23} octant, ...)
- Testing 3-flavour paradigm
- 2) Nucleon Decay
 - Targetting SUSY-favoured modes, e.g. p \rightarrow K⁺ \overline{v}
- 3) Astro-particle Physics
 - Core collapse super-nova, sensitivity to $\nu_{_{P}}$
- + Precision neutrino physics in the near neutrino detector



90 cm

Hyper-Kamiokande




Kamiokande Evolution

Three generations of large Water Cherenkov in Kamioka



Hyper-K Proto-Collaboration

Inaugural Symposium, Kashiwa, January 31, 2015



KEK-IPNS and UTokyo-ICRR signed a MoU for cooperation on the Hyper-Kamiokande project.

Important moment. The proto-collaboration is born.



First Meeting of the proto-collaboration: June 29-July 1, @Kashiwa

The Hyper-K Project



<u>Multi-purpose neutrino experiment.</u> Wide-variety of scientific goals:

- Neutrino oscillations:
 - Neutrino beam from J-PARC
 - > Atmospheric neutrinos
 - Solar neutrinos
- •Search for proton decay
- •<u>Astrophysical neutrinos</u> (supernova bursts, supernova relic neutrinos, dark matter, solar flare, ...)



The Hyper-Kamiokande Timeline



~2017 Major design decisions finalized ~2018 Construction starts ~2025 Data taking start > 2025 Discoveries!

The Hyper-Kamiokande Detector



The Hyper-Kamiokande Detector

•Water Cherenkov, proven technology & scalability: • Excellent PID at sub-GeV region >99% • Large mass \rightarrow statistics always critical for any measurements. Access Tunnel Total Volume 0.99 Megaton Inner Volume 0.74 Mton Fiducial Volume 0.56 Mton (0.056 Mton \times 10 compartments) 0.2 Megaton Outer Volume Photo-sensors •99,000 20"Φ PMTs for Inner Detector (ID) (20% photo-coverage) •25,000 8"Φ PMTs for Outer Detector (OD) Tanks •2 tanks, with egg-shape cross section \approx $48m (w) \times 50m (t) \times 250 m (l)$ •5 optically separated compartments per tank

The Hyper-Kamiokande Detector







Site(s) and Cavern(s)

- Two sites are being investigated:
- •Tochibora mine:
 - ~8km South from Super-K
 - Identical baseline (295km) and off-axis angle (2.5°) to Super-Kamiokande
- •Mozumi mine (same as Super-K)
 - > Deeper than Tochibora
- •Rock quality in the two sites similar
- Confirmed HK cavern can be built w/ existing techniques





Gadolinium Option

Beacom and Vagins, Phys. Rev. Lett., 93:171101, 2004

6/2015

- Gd-doping proposed in 2004 mainly to greatly enhance supernova neutrino detection => neutron capture.
- Can also help beam physics and proton decay searches.
- R&D programme started with EGADS (200ton scale model of Super-K)
- Now finishing → Super-K will run with the Gd-doping
- Considered as possible option for Hyper-K



12/2009

11/2011

8/2013

Photosensors Candidates



High QE achieved

R&D&work with Hamamatsu. Stability tests under way.

- Higher QE photocathode –
 ~22% → ~30%
- Higher Collection Efficiency by improved dynode structure:
 - Venetian Blind type:
 - Various drift parts
 - May miss a dynode
 - Box Line type:
 - Unique drift path
 - * High timing and 1PE Q Res.
 - × Large acceptance \rightarrow high CE



Single photodetection efficiency as a function of the position in the photocathode



World-wide R&D



• Intense R&D world wide, but large number of things to do.



Hyper-Kamiokande Beam



Neutrino Flux for Hyper-Kamiokande

- At least 750kW expected at the starting of the experiment.
- Assumed **7.5MW** \times **10**⁷ **s** (1.56 \times 10²² POT) for the following sensitivity studies
 - > 10 years are needed if 750kW per 10⁷/₂s/year
 - > 5 years assuming 1.5MW per 10⁷/₂s/year
 - Nominal beam sharing between v and \overline{v} -mode beams
 v-mode: \overline{v} -mode => 1 : 3

Expected unoscillated neutrino flux at Hyper-K



Tokai to Hyper-Kamiokande

Use upgraded J-PARC neutrino beam line (same as T2K) with expected beam power 750kW, 2.5° off-axis angle.



+ Near Detectors



- Narrow-band beam at ~600MeV at 2.5° off-axis
- •Take advantage of Lorentz Boost and 2-body kinematics in $\pi^{\!\!+} \rightarrow \ \mu^{\!\!+} \, \nu_{\!_{II}}$
- •Pure v_{μ} beam with ~1% v_{e} contamination

Near Detectors

T2K: suit of near detectors at 280m from the target



Under investigation three complementary options:

- Refurbished ND280/INGRID detectors
- New detectors in the 280m pit
- New "intermediate" WC detector at ~1-2km

Optimization criteria based on reducing systematic errors for oscillations.

Current T2K systematic errors for oscillations

٧ _e	Systematic sources(%)	νμ
3.1	Flux & Combined Cross-Sections	2.7
4.7	Independent Cross Sections	5.0
2.4	Pi Hadronic Interactions (FSI)	3.0
2.7	SK Detector Efficiencies	4.0
6.8	TOTAL	7.6



The Physics Potential





Large expected number of events. NH, $\sin^2 2\theta_{_{13}}$ = 0,1 and $\delta_{_{CP}}$ = 0

Expected Events



Hyper-K Sensitivity to δ_{CP}

- Based on experience and prospects of T2K.
- Three main categories of systematic uncertainties:
 - Flux and cross section uncertainties constrained by the fit to current ND.
 - Cross section uncertainties not constrained by the fit to current ND data: errors reduced as more categories of samples are added to ND fit.
 - > Uncertainties on the far detector reduced as most of them are estimated by using atmospheric neutrinos as a control sample (larger stat at Hyper-K).

Errors (%) on the expected number of events							
	v mode		\overline{v} mode				
	$\nu_{_{e}}$	V_{μ}	v _e	$ u_{\mu}$			
Flux & Near Detector (ND)	3.0	2.8	5.6	4.2			
ND-independ. xsect	1.2	1.5	2.0	1.4			
Far Detector	0.7	1.0	1.7	1.1			
Total	3.3	3.3	6.2	4.5			

 Planning to update errors and thus sensitivities based on the discussions on the T2K upgrade.

Hyper-K Sensitivity to δ_{CP}



Fractional region of $\delta(\%)$ for CPV (sin $\delta \neq 0$) > 3,5 σ





1σ uncertainty of δ as a function of the beam power: $< 19^{\circ}(6^{\circ})$ for $\delta = 90^{\circ}(0^{\circ})$



Sensitivity to θ_{23} and Δm_{23}^2

Hyper-K

0.6

0.65

 $\sin^2\theta_{23}$

0.55

²¹_{0.35}[⊥]

0.4

0.45

0.5

0.55

0.6

0.65

 $\sin^2\theta_{23}$

- $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ and Δm_{23}^2 free parameters as well as $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ and δ_{CP} in the fit.
- Octant resolution w/ reactor θ_{13} : ~3 σ wrong octact rejection for $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ <0.46 or >0.56

True $\sin^2\theta_{23}=0.45$

0.45

0.5

0.4

2.6<u>×1</u>0⁻³

2.55

2.5

2.45

2.4

2.35

2.3

2.25

²℃35

 $\Delta \mathrm{m}^2_{32}$

True $sin^2\theta_{_{23}}$	$1\sigma err sin^2 \theta_{_{23}}$	$1\sigma err \Delta m^2_{_{23}} (10^{-5} eV^2)$
0.45	0.006	1.4
0.50	0.015	1.4
0.55	0.009	1.5



Atmospheric Neutrinos



Hyper-K Sensitivity to MH



- Assuming 10y data taking:
 - > Expect better than $\sim 3\sigma$ sensitivity to the mass hierarchy using atmospheric neutrinos alone.
 - > 3σ octant determination possible when $|\theta_{23}| < 8^{\circ}$

Combined ATM & Beam Analysis

- Hyper-K will observe both accelerator and atmospheric neutrinos.
- Physics capability can be enhanced by combining the two analyses.
- Improved overall MH sensitivity
- Second minimum for beam analysis if MH not known.
- ATMP can discriminate MH, but worse measurement of CP.
- Both measurements can resolve fake solution and provice a precise measurement of CP.



Proton Decay



super-к Hyper-К

10³⁴

 10^{35}

10³³

τ/B (years)

10³²

Proton Decay Sensitivity

Proton decay is one of the few unobserved effects of the various proposed Gran Unificatied Theories.



Proton Decay Sensitivity Improvements



- Baseline Analysis
- Improved Analysis cuts
- BKG Reduced by 50% (n-tagging)
- BKG Reduced by 70% (n-tagging)
- Super-Kamiokande has demonstrated neutron tagging via

> n + p \rightarrow d + γ (2.2 MeV)

- Hyper-K's tagging depends on detector configuration, photocoverage, Gd doping etc.
 - Baseline Analysis
 40% photocoverage
- Benefit from enhanced light collection to improve the signal efficiency.

Astroparticle



Supernovas

- Astrophysical neutrinos:
 - > 200k v's from Supernova at Galactic center (10kpc)
 → time variation & energy can be measured with high statistics. Important data to cross check explosion models





Cumulative calculated supernova rate vs distance for SN in nearby galaxies





Supernova Relic Neutrinos

<mark>ي</mark> 200

RN+B.G.(inv.mu 1/5)

25

30

50

Energy (MeV)

15

^{ឆ្ល}180

- It is estimated that 1017 supernova explosions have occurred over the entire history of the universe.
- The neutrinos produced by all of the supernova explosions since the beginning of the universe are caled supernova relic neutrinos (SRN)
- Dominants backgrounds are from spallation products and atmospheric neutrinos.
- Gadolinium can help in reducing the background





Energy (MeV)

Solar Neutrinos

- Several aims:
 - Precise determination of neutrino oscillation parameters (current tension with KamLAND)
 - > Improve precision of D/N asymmetry
 - Measure hep neutrinos (not yet seen)
 - Measure up-turn
- Main challenges:
 - > Low energy radioactive background
 - Reduce neutrino energy

Expected solar neutrino fluxes with neutrino oscillations





Other Topics



Other Topics

Hyper-Kamiokande is able to perform many other studies:

Indirect Searches for Dark Matter: looking from neutrinos due to the decay of WIMPs bound in strong gravitational potential

- Search for WIMPs in the galactic centre
- Search from WIMPs from the Sun

Geoneutrinos: with 10 years of ATM data Hyper-K can open the field of Earth Spectroscopy:

- First Z/A measurement, can exclude lead-based and waterbased outer core
- Longer exposures more useful (want to discriminate iron from pyrolite)



Moreover: sterile neutrinos, Lorentz Violation, cross sections (at near detectors), transient astrophysical phenomena (solar flares, GBR, etc),...

Conclusions



Conclusions



- Neutrino physics has been steadily establishing in the last years as a major physics topics.
- Several experiments have and will investigate the neutrino nature.
- Hyper-K is a next generation neutrino experiment that is able to address the major questions in physics
 - CP Violation in the leptonic sector
 - Proton decay
 - Neutrino Mass hierarchy
 - > Supernova
 - >
- Currently in the R&D phase. Aiming to take data in the next decade.