Gluon TMD in the Loop Space Approach

I.O. Cherednikov, M. Pieters



QCD Evolution Workshop 30 May - 3 June 2016, Nikhef, Amsterdam

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Partially based on:

ICh, M. Pieters: *"Gauge-invariant gluon TMD at the LHC: Higgs production"* in Proceedings of the 7th MPILHC, **DESY-PROC** (2016)-01 188 - 191

ICh: "Fully gauge-invariant maximally path-dependent gluon TMD: Coordinate representation",

J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 678 (2016) 012049

ICh: "Gauge-invariant gluon TMD and evolution in the coordinate space",

arXiv:1511.00517

ICh., T. Mertens, F.F. Van der Veken: "Wilson Lines in Quantum Field Theory", De Gruyter, Berlin (2014)

Confinement: fundamental building blocks of QCD – quarks and gluons – do not exist as free particles

Running coupling: the strong coupling α_s changes with the characteristic energy

Asymptotic freedom: at small distance the quarks and gluons are (almost) free particles and the perturbative approach is applicable

Factorization: enables the separation of large- [essentially nonperturbative] and small-distance [perturbative hard scattering matrix elements] contributions

Parton distribution functions [pdfs]: accumulate information about intrinsic structure of hadrons

Parton Distribution Functions

pdfs must be

Gauge-invariant

Universal

Renormalizable

I.O. Cherednikov, M. Pieters Gluon TMD in the Loop Space Approach

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

Parton Distribution Functions Issues

Wilson lines: save gauge invariance; universality questioned; complicate renormalizability

Path-dependence: the structure of the Wilson lines is too complicated; universality may be broken

Factorization scale is arbitrary: transition from one scale to another (different experiments have different characteristic scales) by means of evolution equations

Evolution: DGLAP, BFKL, CCFM... TMD; development of dedicated Monte-Carlo needed [in progress]

low- q_T DY: $d\sigma_{DY}^{Z*}(q_T)$ in the range 60 GeV < M < 120 GeV \rightarrow High- q_T (10² GeV), the 'peak region' (10 GeV), low- q_T (1 GeV). pQCD convoluted with the collinear pdf $\rightarrow d\sigma_{DY}^{Z*}(q_T)$ diverges at small q_T .

high-energy DIS: rise of the proton structure function at small-x. As parton longitudinal momentum fractions (Bojrken-x) become small, the transverse degrees of freedom becomes increasingly important. The strong corrections at small-x come from multiple radiation of gluons over long intervals in rapidity, in regions not ordered in the gluon transverse momenta \mathbf{k}_{\perp} , and are present in all higher orders of perturbation theory. TMD evolution provides an appropriate framework to resum such corrections.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Definitions of TMD/updfs

```
Factorization \rightarrow operator definition
```

```
updfs via evolution/resummation: DGLAP, BFKL, CCFM
```

```
Effective theories: SCET
```

```
High-energy/small-x: Balitsky, Kovchegov
```

```
\rightarrow Looking for the a unifying approach
```

Operator structure of TMD



Path-Dependent Correlation Functions: Issues

$$\mathcal{F}(k)_{\gamma} = \mathrm{F.T.} \, \langle h | \; \bar{\Psi}(z) \; \mathcal{W}_{\gamma}[z,0] \; \Psi(0) \; | h
angle$$

Gauge invariance is guaranteed by the Wilson line

$$\mathcal{W}_{\gamma}=\mathcal{P}~\exp\left[\pm ig\int_{0}^{z}d\zeta^{\mu}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(\zeta)
ight]_{\gamma}$$

Issues:

Gauge invariance \rightarrow complicated structure of the Wilson lines Path dependence \rightarrow universality is geopardized Singularities \rightarrow problems with renormalization Factorization \rightarrow evolution

Gluon TMD: from Small-*x* to Large-*x*

@ [Mulders, Rodrigues (2001); Collins (2011); Dominguez, Marquet, Xiao, Yuan (2011); Balitsky, Tarasov (2014, 2015)]

Small-x

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{small}-\mathbf{x}}^{ij}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}_{\perp};P,S) &= \int dz^{-} \int d^{2}z_{\perp} \mathrm{e}^{ik_{\perp}z_{\perp}} \\ \langle h | \ D^{i}\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{LC}}(z^{-},\mathbf{z}_{\perp}) \ \mathcal{W}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{LC}}(z^{-},\mathbf{z}_{\perp}) D^{j}\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{LC}}(0^{-},\mathbf{0}_{\perp}) \ \mathcal{W}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{LC}}(0^{-},\mathbf{0}_{\perp}) \ |h\rangle \\ &= \int dz^{-} \int d^{2}z_{\perp} \mathrm{e}^{ik_{\perp}z_{\perp}} \\ \langle h | \ \mathcal{F}^{il}(z^{-},\mathbf{z}_{\perp}) \mathcal{W}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{LC}}(z) \ \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{LC}}(0) \ \mathcal{F}^{lj}(0^{-},\mathbf{0}_{\perp}) \ |h\rangle \\ &= \int dz^{-} \int d^{2}z_{\perp} \mathrm{e}^{ik_{\perp}z_{\perp}} \langle h | \ \mathcal{F}^{il}(z) \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{\mathrm{LC}}}(z,0) \ \mathcal{F}^{lj}(0) \ |h\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Rapidity cutoff: $\ln x$; single-logs $\alpha_s \ln x$; non-linear dynamics, BK Eq.

< 回 > < 注 > < 注 > … 注

Gluon TMD: from Small-*x* to Large-*x*

@ [Mulders, Rodrigues (2001); Collins (2011); Dominguez, Marquet, Xiao, Yuan (2011); Balitsky, Tarasov (2014, 2015)]

Large/Moderate-x

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{small}-\mathbf{x}}^{ij}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp};P,S) &= \int dz^{-} \int d^{2} z_{\perp} \mathrm{e}^{-ixp^{+}z^{-}+ik_{\perp}z_{\perp}} \\ \langle h | \ \mathcal{F}^{il}(z^{-},\mathbf{z}_{\perp}) \mathcal{W}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{LC}}(z) \ \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{LC}}(0) \ \mathcal{F}^{lj}(0^{-},\mathbf{0}_{\perp}) \ |h\rangle \\ &= \int dz^{-} \int d^{2} z_{\perp} \mathrm{e}^{-ixp^{+}z^{-}+ik_{\perp}z_{\perp}} \langle h | \ \mathcal{F}^{il}(z) \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{\mathrm{LC}}}(z,0) \ \mathcal{F}^{lj}(0) \ |h\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Rapidity cutoff: $\eta \neq \ln x$; double-logs are possible $\alpha_s \eta \ln x$; linear evolution.

(4回) (4回) (日)

Gluon TMD: from Small-*x* to Large-*x*

Two definitions in two regimes—look so similar, but in fact very different:

$$\operatorname{small} = \int dz^{-} \int d^{2} z_{\perp} e^{ik_{\perp}z_{\perp}} \langle h | \mathcal{F}^{il}(z) \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{\rm LC}}(z,0) \mathcal{F}^{lj}(0) | h \rangle$$
$$\mathbf{VS}$$
$$\operatorname{large} = \int dz^{-} \int d^{2} z_{\perp} e^{-ixp^{+}z^{-} + ik_{\perp}z_{\perp}} \langle h | \mathcal{F}^{il}(z) \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{\rm LC}}(z,0) \mathcal{F}^{lj}(0) | h \rangle$$

Factorization schemes are different, evolution is different: how to relate? Connection can be stablished **@** [Balitsky, Tarasov (2014, 2015)] However: the operator structure is the same. Let us start with it and forget (for a while) about the factorization issues.

通 とう ほうとう ほうど

Quark and Gluon TMD: Generic Operator Definitions

@ [Mulders, Rodrigues (2001); Collins (2011)]

Highly **gauge-dependent quark correlator** for a hadron h with a momentum P and spin S

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{g-d}}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp};P,S) = \int d^4 z \, \mathrm{e}^{-ikz} \, \left\langle h \right| \, ar{\psi}(z)\psi(0) \, \left| h \right
angle$$

Highly gauge-dependent gluon correlator for a hadron h with a momentum P and spin S

$${f G}_{
m g-d}^{\mu
u}(x,{f k}_{\perp};P,S)=\int\!d^4z\,\,{
m e}^{-ikz}\,\,\langle h|\,\,{\cal A}^{\mu}(z){\cal A}^{
u}(0)\,\,|h
angle$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Fully Unintegrated Gluon PDF: Gauge-Invariant Operator Definition

@ [Mulders, Rodrigues (2001); Collins (2011)]

$$\mathbf{G}^{\mu\nu|\mu'\nu'}(k;P,S) = \int d^4z \, \mathrm{e}^{-ikz} \, \left\langle h \right| \, \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}(z) \, \mathcal{W}_{\gamma} \, \mathcal{F}^{\mu'\nu'}(0) \, \left| h \right\rangle$$

Wilson line (system of lines) \mathcal{W}_{γ} in the adjoint representation

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu
u}=\mathcal{F}^{a}_{\mu
u}\mathcal{T}^{a}$$

Respects the desirable operator structure Knows nothing about any factorization scheme: maximally path-dependent, γ is entirely arbitrary Still difficult to evaluate

Gluon TMD: Several Operator Definitions

@ [Mulders, Rodrigues (2001); Collins (2011)]

Gluon TMD from the generic gauge-invariant correlator

 $\mathbf{G}^{\mu\nu|\mu'\nu'}(k;P,S) =$

$$\int d^4 z \, {
m e}^{-ikz} \, \left\langle h
ight| \, {\cal F}^{\mu
u}(z) \; {\cal W}_\gamma \; {\cal F}^{\mu'
u'}(0) \; \left| h
ight
angle$$

 \rightarrow

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{G}^{ij}(x,k_{ot};P,S) &\sim \int dk^- \ \mathbf{G}^{+i|+j}(k;P,S) = \ \int dz^- d^2 z_ot \ \mathrm{e}^{-ikz} \ \langle h | \ \mathcal{F}^{+i}(z) \ \mathcal{W}_\gamma \ \mathcal{F}^{+j}(0) \ | h
angle \end{aligned}$$

・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・

Loop Space Approach

Try the opposite direction: start from a generic object in a loop space

Equations of Motion in the Loop Space

@ [Polyakov (1979); Makeenko, Migdal (1979, 1981); Kazakov, Kostov (1980); Brandt et al. (1981, 1982)]

Wilson loops as the (fundamental) gauge-invariant degrees of freedom:

$$\langle \mathcal{W}_{\gamma}
angle = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\gamma} \mathrm{exp} \oint_{\gamma} d\zeta_{\mu} \mathcal{A}^{\mu}(\zeta)
angle$$

or

$$\langle \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1,\ldots\gamma_n}^n \rangle = \langle \mathcal{T}\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1}\cdots\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_n} \rangle$$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Loop space and differential operators



Path derivative:

$$\partial_{\mu}\langle \mathcal{W}_{\gamma}
angle = \lim_{|\delta z_{\mu}| \to 0} rac{\langle \mathcal{W}_{\delta z_{\mu}^{-1} \gamma \delta z_{\mu}}
angle - \langle \mathcal{W}_{\gamma}
angle}{|\delta z_{\mu}|}$$

Differential operators in the loop space \rightarrow evolution of the Wilson loops in the coordinate representation = equations of motion

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Loop space and differential operators

The Wilson functionals obey the **Makeenko-Migdal** loop equations:

$$\partial^{
u} \; rac{\delta}{\delta\sigma_{\mu
u}(x)} \; \langle \mathcal{W}^1_{\gamma}
angle = ar{g}^2 \; \oint_{\gamma} \; dz^{\mu} \; \delta^{(4)}(x-z) \langle \mathcal{W}^2_{\gamma_{xz}\gamma_{zx}}
angle$$

→ Ξ → < Ξ →</p>

Stokes-Mandelstam uPDF

Non-Abelian Stokes' theorem

@ [Arefeva (1980) etc.]

$$\mathcal{P}_{\gamma} \exp\left[\oint_{\gamma} d\zeta_{
ho} \mathcal{A}^{
ho}(\zeta)
ight] = \mathcal{P}_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma} \exp\left[\int_{\sigma} d\sigma_{
ho
ho'}(\zeta) \mathcal{F}^{
ho
ho'}(\zeta)
ight]$$

Mandelstam formula

@ [Mandelstam (1968)]

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\sigma_{\mu\nu}(x)}\mathcal{P}_{\gamma} \exp\left[\oint_{\gamma} d\zeta_{\rho}\mathcal{A}^{\rho}(\zeta)\right] = \mathcal{P}_{\gamma} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}(x) \exp\left[\oint_{\gamma} d\zeta_{\rho}\mathcal{A}^{\rho}(\zeta)\right]$$

Stokes-Mandelstam uPDF

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{\mu\nu|\mu'\nu'}(z;P,S) = \frac{\delta}{\delta\sigma_{\mu\nu}(z)} \frac{\delta}{\delta\sigma_{\mu'\nu'}(0)} \langle h|\mathcal{W}_{\gamma^{[z,0]}} |h\rangle = \frac{\delta}{\delta\sigma_{\mu\nu}(z)} \frac{\delta}{\delta\sigma_{\mu'\nu'}(0)} \sum_{X} \langle h|\mathcal{W}'_{\gamma^{[z]}}|X\rangle \langle X|\mathcal{W}'_{\gamma^{[0]}} |h\rangle$$

Non-Abelian exponentiation

$$\langle W_{\gamma^{[z,0]}} \rangle = \exp\left[\sum a_n W^{(n)}\right] \ , \ W^{(n)} = {
m hadronic \ correlators}$$

Gauge invariance, Path dependence, Universality

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Abelian exponentiation

$$\langle \mathcal{W}_{\gamma} \rangle = \langle h | \mathcal{P}_{\gamma} \exp \left[\oint_{\gamma} d\zeta_{\rho} \mathcal{A}^{\rho}(\zeta) \right] | h \rangle = \\ \exp \left[-\frac{g^2}{2} \oint_{\gamma} d\zeta_{\mu} \oint_{\gamma} d\zeta'_{\nu} D_{\mu\nu}(\zeta - \zeta') \right]$$

Basic hadronic correlator

$$D_{\mu
u}(\zeta-\zeta')=\langle A_{\mu}(\zeta)A_{
u}(\zeta')
angle$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Parameterization

$$\begin{split} D_{\rho\rho'}(z) = \\ g_{\rho\rho'} \ D_1(z,P) + \partial_{\rho}\partial_{\rho'} \ D_2(z,P) + \{P_{\rho}\partial_{\rho'}\} \ D_3(z,P) + P_{\rho}P_{\rho'} \ D_4(z,P) \end{split}$$

In general, the hadronic correlator contains all necessary information

$$D_{\rho\rho'}(\zeta-\zeta')=\langle P,S|A_{\rho}(\zeta)A_{\rho'}(\zeta')|P,S
angle$$

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Area derivative

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\sigma_{\mu\nu}(z)}\langle h|\mathcal{W}_{\gamma}|h\rangle = \\ -\frac{g^{2}}{2} \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta\sigma_{\mu\nu}(z)} \oint_{\gamma} d\zeta_{\rho} \oint_{\gamma} d\zeta_{\rho'} D_{\rho\rho'}(\zeta-\zeta')\right] \langle h|\mathcal{W}_{\gamma}|h\rangle$$

Non-vanishing terms after taking the path-derivative ∂_{ν}

- standard Makeenko-Migdal term

$$\sim \oint_{\gamma} d\zeta^{
u} \partial^2 D_1(z^2, P^2)$$

- hadron momentum-dependent term

$$\sim \oint_{\gamma} d\zeta^{
u} (P\partial)^2 D_4(z^2,P^2)$$

向下 イヨト イヨト

Shape evolution equation

$$\partial_{\mu}^{z} \frac{\delta}{\delta \sigma_{\mu\nu}(z)} \langle h | \mathcal{W}_{\gamma} | h \rangle = -\frac{g^{2}}{2} \left[\oint_{\gamma} d\zeta^{\nu} \left(\partial^{2} D_{1}(z, P) + (P\partial)^{2} D_{4}(z, P) \right) \right] \langle h | \mathcal{W}_{\gamma} | h \rangle$$

Consistency check: Wilson loops in vacuum

$$\partial^2 D_1(z) = -\delta^{(4)}(z), \ D_4 = 0$$

 $\partial^z_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta \sigma_{\mu\nu}(z)} \langle 0 | \mathcal{W}_\gamma | 0 \rangle = g^2 \oint_\gamma d\zeta^
u \ \delta^{(4)}(z-\zeta)$

= Makeenko-Migdal Eq. in the LO.

I.O. Cherednikov, M. Pieters Gluon TMD in the Loop Space Approach

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Some Explicit Results I: A 2D Model

 γ is a circle with the radius ${\it R}$ in 2D-Euclidean space Gauge-field vacuum correlator

$$D_{\mu
u}(z) = \delta_{\mu
u}D(z)$$
 , $D(z) = rac{1}{4\pi}\ln\left[z^2\Lambda^2
ight]$

Loop integral

$$\partial_{\mu} \oint_{\gamma} d\zeta^{\rho} D_{\nu\rho}(z-\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{z^2 + R^2} \delta_{\mu\nu}$$

"Fully unintegrated pdf"

$$ilde{G}(z) = rac{ar{g}^2}{16} F(z,R) \; \langle W_\gamma
angle \;\;, F(z,R) = rac{1}{z^2 + R^2}$$

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶

э

Some Explicit Results I: Evolution from the MM Eq.

The Wilson loop is a function of the area σ_{γ} :

$$\langle W_\gamma
angle = W(\sigma_\gamma) = \exp\left[-rac{1}{2}ar{g}^2\sigma_\gamma
ight]$$

Evolution in the coordinate space:

$$rac{d}{d\sigma_\gamma} {\cal W}(\sigma_\gamma) = -rac{1}{2} ar{g}^2 \,\, {\cal W}(\sigma_\gamma)$$

uPDF

$$ilde{G}(z) = rac{ar{g}^2}{16} rac{1}{z^2 + R^2} W(\sigma_\gamma)$$

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

Some Explicit Results II: A 4D Model



More realistic case: (i) non-Abelian; (ii) (3+1)D Minkowkian; (iii) on the light-cone

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Outlook:

A fully unintegrated gluon TMD distribution function can be formulated within fully gauge-invariant, generically path-dependent framework based on the loop space formalism in the coordinate representation. It is not associated with any factorization framework but respects the needed operator structure

This approach goes 'in the opposite direction' to the standard one: one starts with a maximally general object and then extracts a gluon TMD which is adjustable to any specific factorization scheme by means of the geometrical evolution in the coordinate space

The main ingredients of this approach are the hadronic matrix elements of Wilson loops $\langle h | \mathcal{W}_{\gamma} | h \rangle$.

Non-Abelian exponentiation enables separation of the non-local path-dependence and local UV-divergent contributions and appropriate parameterisation of various gTMD functions?

向下 イヨト イヨト