NNV Subatomic Physics Meeting

$B_s o D_s^\pm K^\mp$ decays Can they reveal New Physics?

Eleftheria Malami

Nikhef, Theory Group National Institute for Subatomic Physics



November 1, 2019

Based on:

1. arXiv:hep-ph/0304027

- 2. arXiv:1208.6463 [hep-ph]
- 3. arXiv:1712.07428

Eleftheria Malami (Nikhef)

 $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$

Introduction

CP Violation and Flavour Physics

- Within the SM, CP violation is described by the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix
 - the complex phase \Rightarrow source of CP violation in SM
- Goal: test the SM

precisely determine CKM parameters in SM search for possible indirect signals of New Physics (NP)

- B meson decays are significant for these studies
- A key parameter is the extraction of the CKM angle γ
 - for precision measurements of γ
 - \Rightarrow we can use $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$ decays

Angle γ and the Unitarity Triangle

$$\gamma = \arg \left[-rac{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*}{V_{cd}V_{cb}^*}
ight]$$
Unitarity Triangle $V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$



- The important question is whether:
 - the curves (from different decays and transitions- using SM formulae) intersect in a single point and
 - ► the triangle angles agree with the angles from CP asymmetries in B systems and CP conserving B decays
- Any inconsistency will give hints about physics beyond the SM

Motivation

• Intriguing value of the angle γ by LHCb_[3]

$$\gamma = \left(\mathbf{128^{+17}_{-22}}
ight)^{\prime}$$

• Shed more light on the $B^0_s o D^\pm_s K^\mp$ decay

$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Measurement of CP asymmetry in} \\ B^0_s \! \to D^{\mp}_s K^{\pm} \mbox{ decays} \end{array}$

LHCb collaboration

Abstract

We report the measurements of the C2–violating parameters in $B_s^{n-1} - D_s^{n-1} + decays$ observed in productions, using a data set occursofting to an integrated luminosity $of 2.00⁻¹ mesonids with the LHC detector, We measure <math display="inline">C_p = 0.72\pm0.4\pm0.40$ m $S_p = 0.02\pm0.20$ m $S_p = 0.0$

Published in JHEP 03 (2018) 059

© CERN on behalf of the LHCb collaboration, licence CC-BY-4.0.

Eleftheria Malami (Nikhef)

 $B_s \to D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$

arXiv:1712.07428v3 [hep-ex] 20 Mar 2018

 $B_s
ightarrow D_s^\pm K^{\mp}$

- non-leptonic decay ⇒ not clean decays (due to the hadronic matrix elements)
- only tree diagram contributions
- both B^0_s and $ar{B}^0_s$ may decay into the same final state



- neutral B meson $\longrightarrow B_s^0 \bar{B}_s^0$ mixing
- interference effects between $B_s^0 \bar{B}_s^0$ mixing and decay processes
- clean determination of $\gamma + \phi_s$ (ϕ_s : determined with $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\phi$)

Eleftheria Malami (Nikhef)

 $B_s \to D_s^{\pm} K^{\exists}$

Theoretical Background

Amplitudes and the parameter ξ_s

We can write the amplitude in the general form:

$$A(\overline{B}^0_s \to D^+_s K^-) = < K^- D^+_s | H_{e\!f\!f}(\overline{B}^0_s \to D^+_s K^-) | \overline{B^0_s} >$$

Amplitudes and the parameter ξ_s

We can write the amplitude in the general form:

$$A(\overline{B}^0_s \to D^+_s K^-) = < K^- D^+_s | H_{e\!f\!f}(\overline{B}^0_s \to D^+_s K^-) | \overline{B^0_s} >$$

Introducing the:

- $v_s, \overline{v}_s, v_s^*$: CKM factors and
- M_s , \overline{M}_s : hadronic matrix elements we can rewrite the amplitudes in the form:

$$egin{aligned} &A(\overline{B}^0_s o D^+_s K^-) = rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} ar{v}_s ar{M}_s \ &A(B^0_s o D^+_s K^-) = (-1)^L e^{i \phi_{CP}} rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} v^*_s M_s \end{aligned}$$

Amplitudes and the parameter ξ_s

We can write the amplitude in the general form:

$$A(\overline{B}^0_s \to D^+_s K^-) = < K^- D^+_s | H_{e\!f\!f}(\overline{B}^0_s \to D^+_s K^-) | \overline{B^0_s} >$$

Introducing the:

- $v_s, \overline{v}_s, v_s^*$: CKM factors and
- M_s , \overline{M}_s : hadronic matrix elements we can rewrite the amplitudes in the form:

$$egin{aligned} &A(\overline{B}^0_s o D^+_s K^-) = rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} ar{v}_s ar{M}_s \ &A(B^0_s o D^+_s K^-) = (-1)^L e^{i \phi_{CP}} rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} v^*_s M_s \end{aligned}$$

• We define the parameter ξ_s as:

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligne} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin$$

Eleftheria Malami (Nikhef)

 $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{\pm} K^+$

Amplitudes and the parameter $\overline{\xi}_s$

Similarly, for the final state $D_s^- K^+$ and again with the help of:

• $v_s, \overline{v}_s, v_s^*$: CKM factors and

• M_s , \overline{M}_s : hadronic matrix elements we write the amplitudes in the form:

$$egin{aligned} A(\overline{B}^0_s o D^-_s K^+) &= rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} ar{v}_s ar{M}_s \ A(B^0_s o D^-_s K^+) &= (-1)^L e^{i \phi_{CP}} rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} v^*_s ar{M}_s \end{aligned}$$

Amplitudes and the parameter $\overline{\xi}_s$

Similarly, for the final state $D_s^- K^+$ and again with the help of:

- $v_s, \overline{v}_s, v_s^*$: CKM factors and
- M_s , \overline{M}_s : hadronic matrix elements we write the amplitudes in the form:

$$egin{aligned} A(\overline{B}^0_s o D^-_s K^+) &= rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}ar{v}_sar{M}_s \ A(B^0_s o D^-_s K^+) &= (-1)^L e^{i\phi_{CP}}rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}v^*_sar{M}_s \end{aligned}$$

• We define the parameter $\overline{\xi}_s$ as:

$$\overline{m{\xi}}_{m{s}} = -e^{-i\phi_{m{s}}} \left[e^{i\phi_{CP}} rac{A(\overline{B}^0_s o D^-_s K^+)}{A(B^0_s o D^-_s K^+)}
ight]$$

• Inserting the amplitude formulas in the previous relation, the convention dependent phase ϕ_{CP} gets cancelled:

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligne} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin$$

• Inserting the amplitude formulas in the previous relation, the convention dependent phase ϕ_{CP} gets cancelled:

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligne} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin$$

• where the term x_s is defined as: $x_s = R_b a_s$ and

$$a_s e^{i\delta_s} = e^{-i[\phi_{CP}(D) - \phi_{CP}(K)]} rac{M_s}{\overline{M}_s}$$

• Inserting the amplitude formulas in the previous relation, the convention dependent phase ϕ_{CP} gets cancelled:

$$oldsymbol{\xi_s} = -(-1)^L e^{-i(\phi_s+\gamma)} \left[rac{1}{x_s e^{i\delta_s}}
ight]$$

• where the term x_s is defined as: $x_s = R_b a_s$ and

$$a_s e^{i\delta_s} = e^{-i[\phi_{CP}(D) - \phi_{CP}(K)]} rac{M_s}{\overline{M}_s}$$

with a_se^{iδs} being a physical observable
 (φ_{CP} phases: cancelled in hadronic matrix elements ratio)

• Inserting the amplitude formulas in the previous relation, the convention dependent phase ϕ_{CP} gets cancelled:

$$\xi_s = -(-1)^L e^{-i(\phi_s+\gamma)} \left[rac{1}{x_s e^{i\delta_s}}
ight]$$

• where the term x_s is defined as: $x_s = R_b a_s$ and

$$a_s e^{i \delta_s} = e^{-i [\phi_{CP}(D) - \phi_{CP}(K)]} rac{M_s}{\overline{M}_s}$$

- with a_se^{iδs} being a physical observable
 (φ_{CP} phases: cancelled in hadronic matrix elements ratio)
- Similarly, for the CP conjugate case, we get:

$$\overline{oldsymbol{\xi}}_{oldsymbol{s}} = -(-1)^L e^{-i(\phi_{oldsymbol{s}}+\gamma)} \left[x_s e^{i\delta_s}
ight]$$

Combining ξ_s and $\overline{\xi}_s$

Important relation

$$oldsymbol{\xi}_s imes\overline{oldsymbol{\xi}}_s=e^{-i2(\phi_s+oldsymbol{\gamma})}$$

where the hadronic parameters $x_s e^{i\delta_s}$ cancels.

Combining ξ_s and $\overline{\xi}_s$

Important relation

$$oldsymbol{\xi}_s imes\overline{oldsymbol{\xi}}_s=e^{-i2(\phi_s+oldsymbol{\gamma})}$$

where the hadronic parameters $x_s e^{i\delta_s}$ cancels.

We may extract $\phi_s + \gamma$ in a theoretically clean way from the observables.

Combining ξ_s and $\overline{\xi}_s$

Important relation

$$oldsymbol{\xi}_s imes\overline{oldsymbol{\xi}}_s=e^{-i2(\phi_s+oldsymbol{\gamma})}$$

where the hadronic parameters $x_s e^{i\delta_s}$ cancels.

We may extract $\phi_s + \gamma$ in a theoretically clean way from the observables.

- <u>Otherwise</u>: factorization \Rightarrow to handle hadronic matrix elements
- Plugging form factor F_0 and decay constants f_K into the factorised matrix element, the decay amplitude takes the form:

$$<\!D_s^+K^-|H_{e\!f\!f}|ar{B_s^0}>=irac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\,V_{CK\!M}\,a(\mu)\,f_K\,F^0_{ar{B}^0_s o D_s}(M_K^2)\,(M_{B_s}^2-M_{D_s}^2)$$

Observables

final state f is $D_s^+ K^-$

• Time-dependent CP Asymmetry

 $\frac{\Gamma(B_s^0(t) \to f) - \Gamma(\overline{B}_s^0(t) \to f)}{\Gamma(B_s^0(t) \to f) + \Gamma(\overline{B}_s^0(t) \to f)} = \left[\frac{C\cos(\Delta M_s t) + S\sin(\Delta M_s t)}{\cosh(\Delta \Gamma_s t/2) + \mathcal{A}_{\Delta\Gamma}\sinh(\Delta \Gamma_s t/2)}\right]$

Observables

final state f is $D_s^+ K^-$

• Time-dependent CP Asymmetry $\frac{\Gamma(B_s^0(t) \to f) - \Gamma(\overline{B}_s^0(t) \to f)}{\Gamma(B_s^0(t) \to f) + \Gamma(\overline{B}_s^0(t) \to f)} = \left[\frac{C \cos(\Delta M_s t) + S \sin(\Delta M_s t)}{\cosh(\Delta \Gamma_s t/2) + \mathcal{A}_{\Delta \Gamma} \sinh(\Delta \Gamma_s t/2)}\right]$

* where we have the asymmetries:

$$C = rac{1 - |\xi_s|^2}{1 + |\xi_s|^2} = rac{|A(B_s^0 o f)|^2 - |A(\bar{B_s^0} o f)|^2}{|A(B_s^0 o f)|^2 + |A(\bar{B_s^0} o f)|^2}$$

 $S = rac{2 \operatorname{Im} \xi_s}{1 + |\xi_s|^2} \longrightarrow ext{mixing induced CP asymmetry}$

Observables

final state f is $D_s^+ K^-$

• Time-dependent CP Asymmetry $\frac{\Gamma(B_s^0(t) \to f) - \Gamma(\overline{B}_s^0(t) \to f)}{\Gamma(B_s^0(t) \to f) + \Gamma(\overline{B}_s^0(t) \to f)} = \left[\frac{C\cos(\Delta M_s t) + S\sin(\Delta M_s t)}{\cosh(\Delta \Gamma_s t/2) + \mathcal{A}_{\Delta\Gamma}\sinh(\Delta \Gamma_s t/2)}\right]$

* where we have the asymmetries:

$$C = rac{1 - |\xi_s|^2}{1 + |\xi_s|^2} = rac{|A(B^0_s o f)|^2 - |A(ar{B^0_s} o f)|^2}{|A(B^0_s o f)|^2 + |A(ar{B^0_s} o f)|^2}$$
 $S = rac{2 \operatorname{Im} \xi_s}{1 + |\xi_s|^2} \longrightarrow ext{mixing induced CP asymmetry}$

* Due to the $\Delta\Gamma_s$, we get access to another observable, the $\mathcal{A}_{\Delta\Gamma}$, which depends on *C* and *S*:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Delta\Gamma} = rac{2~{
m Re}\xi_s}{1+|\xi_s|^2}$$



• With the help of

$$x_s = igg| rac{A(ar{B}^0_s o D^+_s K^-)}{A(B^0_s o D^+_s K^-)}$$

we rewrite the asymmetries as follows:

• With the help of

$$x_s = igg| rac{A(ar B^0_s o D^+_s K^-)}{A(B^0_s o D^+_s K^-)}$$

we rewrite the asymmetries as follows:

$$C=-\left[rac{1-x_s^2}{1+x_s^2}
ight], \qquad \quad \overline{C}=+\left[rac{1-x_s^2}{1+x_s^2}
ight]$$

• With the help of

$$x_s = igg| rac{A(ar B^0_s o D^+_s K^-)}{A(B^0_s o D^+_s K^-)}$$

we rewrite the asymmetries as follows:

$$C=-\left[rac{1-x_s^2}{1+x_s^2}
ight], \qquad \quad \overline{C}=+\left[rac{1-x_s^2}{1+x_s^2}
ight]$$

$$S = \frac{2 x_s \sin(\phi_s + \gamma + \delta_s)}{1 + x_s^2}, \qquad \overline{S} = \frac{2 x_s \sin(\phi_s + \gamma - \delta_s)}{1 + x_s^2}$$

• With the help of

$$x_s = igg| rac{A(ar B^0_s o D^+_s K^-)}{A(B^0_s o D^+_s K^-)}$$

we rewrite the asymmetries as follows:

$$C=-\left[rac{1-x_s^2}{1+x_s^2}
ight], \qquad \quad \overline{C}=+\left[rac{1-x_s^2}{1+x_s^2}
ight]$$

$$S = \frac{2 x_s \sin(\phi_s + \gamma + \delta_s)}{1 + x_s^2}, \qquad \overline{S} = \frac{2 x_s \sin(\phi_s + \gamma - \delta_s)}{1 + x_s^2}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Delta\Gamma} = -rac{2\,x_s\cos(\phi_s+\gamma+\delta_s)}{1+x_s^2}, \qquad \quad \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\Delta\Gamma} = -rac{2\,x_s\cos(\phi_s+\gamma+\delta_s)}{1+x_s^2},$$

 $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$

 $-\delta_s$

LHCb Collaboration Measurements

$$ar{C}_s = 0.73 \pm 0.15$$
 $S_s = 0.49 \pm 0.21$ $ar{S}_s = 0.52 \pm 0.21$ $\mathcal{A}_{\Delta\Gamma s} = 0.31 \pm 0.32$ $ar{\mathcal{A}}_{\Delta\Gamma s} = 0.39 \pm 0.32$

We use ϕ_s , taking the average determined by HFLAV:

$$\phi_s=(-1.2\pm1.8)^\circ$$

Measurements of the $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$ branching ratios from LHCb:

$${BR(B^0_s o D^\pm_s K^\mp)_{
m exp}\over BR(B^0_s o D^\pm_s \pi^\mp)_{
m exp}} = 0.0646 \pm 0.0043 \pm 0.0025$$

Eleftheria Malami (Nikhef)

Using data from $B_d^0 \rightarrow D^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ decay • We can combine information from the two systems

- We can combine information from the two systems linked by U-spin symmetry
- With U-spin flavour symmetry of strong interactions:
 - ▶ hadronic parameters x_s and δ_s of $B_s^0 \to D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$ are related to x_d and δ_d of the $B_d^0 \to D^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$

$$egin{aligned} &x_s = -rac{x_d}{\epsilon} = 0.31^{+0.046}_{-0.053}|_{ ext{input}} \pm 0.06|_{ ext{SU(3)}} \ &\delta_s = \delta_d = \left[-35^{+69}_{-40}|_{ ext{input}} \pm 20|_{ ext{SU(3)}}
ight]^\circ \end{aligned}$$

$$\epsilon = rac{\lambda^2}{1-\lambda^2}, \ \lambda = |V_{us}|$$

• With the help of hadronic parameters, we may calculate $B^0_s o D^\pm_s K^\mp$ observables

Using data from $B^0_d ightarrow D^\pm \pi^\mp$ decay

- We can combine information from the two systems linked by U-spin symmetry
- With U-spin flavour symmetry of strong interactions:
 - ▶ hadronic parameters x_s and δ_s of $B_s^0 \to D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$ are related to x_d and δ_d of the $B_d^0 \to D^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$

$$egin{split} &x_s = -rac{x_d}{\epsilon} = 0.31^{+0.046}_{-0.053}|_{ ext{input}} \pm 0.06|_{ ext{SU}(3)} \ &\delta_s = \delta_d = \left[-35^{+69}_{-40}|_{ ext{input}} \pm 20|_{ ext{SU}(3)}
ight]^\circ \end{split}$$

• With the help of hadronic parameters, we may calculate $B^0_s o D^\pm_s K^\mp$ observables

However, we have enough info to analyse each one of the systems separately [and to avoid the hadronic parameters]

Using data from $B^0_d ightarrow D^\pm \pi^\mp$ decay

- We can combine information from the two systems linked by U-spin symmetry
- With U-spin flavour symmetry of strong interactions:
 - ► hadronic parameters x_s and δ_s of $B_s^0 \to D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$ are related to x_d and δ_d of the $B_d^0 \to D^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$

$$egin{aligned} &x_s = -rac{x_d}{\epsilon} = 0.31^{+0.046}_{-0.053}|_{ ext{input}} \pm 0.06|_{ ext{SU(3)}} \ &\delta_s = \delta_d = \left[-35^{+69}_{-40}|_{ ext{input}} \pm 20|_{ ext{SU(3)}}
ight]^\circ \end{aligned}$$

$$\epsilon = rac{\lambda^2}{1-\lambda^2}, \ \lambda = |V_{us}|$$

• With the help of hadronic parameters, we may calculate $B^0_s o D^\pm_s K^\mp$ observables

However, we have enough info to analyse each one of the systems separately [and to avoid the hadronic parameters] \Rightarrow we don't have to make any U-spin assumptions and \Rightarrow we may use these decays to test the U-spin symmetry.

Illustrating the Discrete Ambiguities

$$C^2+S^2+\mathcal{A}^2_{\Delta\Gamma}=1=ar{C}^2+ar{S}^2+ar{\mathcal{A}}^2_{\Delta\Gamma}$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\Delta\Gamma}+im{S}&=-(-1)^L\sqrt{1-C^2}\ e^{-i(\phi_s+\gamma+\delta_s)}\ ar{\mathcal{A}}_{\Delta\Gamma}+iar{m{S}}&=-(-1)^L\sqrt{1-ar{C}^2}\ e^{-i(\phi_s+\gamma-\delta_s)} \end{aligned}$$



Assumption: $C = -\bar{C}$

Eleftheria Malami (Nikhef)

The picture we get for the Current data From \overline{C}_s we may determine x_s yielding: $x_s = \sqrt{\frac{1-\overline{C}_s}{1+\overline{C}_s}} = 0.4 \pm 0.13$ and plug that into $S, \overline{S}, \overline{A}_{\Delta\Gamma}, A_{\Delta\Gamma}$ to obtain contours in $(\delta_s, (\phi_s + \gamma))$



17/22

Eleftheria Malami (Nikhef)

The picture we get for the Current data From \overline{C}_s we may determine x_s yielding: $x_s = \sqrt{rac{1-\overline{C}_s}{1+\overline{C}_s}} = 0.4 \pm 0.13$ and plug that into $S, \overline{S}, \overline{A}_{\Delta\Gamma}, A_{\Delta\Gamma}$ to obtain contours in $(\delta_s, (\phi_s + \gamma))$



Eleftheria Malami (Nikhef)

18/22

Moving to New Physics...

• Could it be New Physics? • How would it enter? Might NP appear at the amplitude level? • How would it affect the observables? • Interplay with other New Physics constraints? This is still work in progress Stay tuned!

Conclusions

Eleftheria Malami (Nikhef)

Final Remarks

• Our Strategy:

 $m{\xi}_s imes ar{m{\xi}}_s$ can be calculated from the corresponding observables and leads to the determination of $\phi_s + \gamma$

- Even though $B_s \to D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$ is not a clean decay (non-leptonic), it allows a clean extraction of $\phi_s + \gamma$ (ϕ_s is determined)
- The value of $\left(\gamma=128^{+17}_{-22}
 ight)^\circ$ by LHCb is intriguing
- The observable $A_{\Delta\Gamma}$ (and $\overline{A}_{\Delta\Gamma}$) is crucial to resolve ambiguities
- Room to explore NP [work in progress]

Thank you!

Eleftheria Malami (Nikhef)

November 1, 2019 22/22

Backup Slides

Branching Ratios

• Experimental branching ratio:

$$BR(B_s
ightarrow f)_{exp} = rac{1}{2} \int < \Gamma(B_s(t)
ightarrow f) > dt$$

• Theoretical branching ratio:

$$BR(B_s o f)_{theo} = rac{ au_{B_s}}{2} < \Gamma(B^0_s(t) o f) > |t=0$$

• Connecting the experimental to the theoretical branching ratio

$$BR(B_s
ightarrow f)_{theo} = rac{1-y_s^2}{1+{\cal A}_{\Delta\Gamma}y_s}BR(B_s
ightarrow f)_{exp}$$

• Importance of $\Delta \Gamma_s$

$$y_s = rac{\Delta \Gamma_s}{2 \Gamma_s} pprox 0.1$$

Eleftheria Malami (Nikhef)