# Quark-gluon plasma and thermodynamics of QCD

Alexei Bazavov HotQCD collaboration Michigan State University

#### HotQCD collaboration

- A. Bazavov (MSU)
- H.-T. Ding (CCNU)
- P. Hegde (IIS Bangalore)
- O. Kaczmarek (Bielefeld)
- F. Karsch (Bielfeld/BNL)
- N. Karthik (BNL)
- E. Laermann (Bielefeld)
- A. Lahiri (Bielefeld)
- R. Larsen (BNL)

- S.-T. Li (CCNU)
- S. Mukherjee (BNL)
- H. Ohno (Tsukuba)
- P. Petreczky (BNL)
- H. Sandmeyer (Bielefeld)
- C. Schmidt (Bielefeld)
- S. Sharma (IMS Chennai)
- P. Steinbrecher (BNL)

#### Acknowledgements

- DOE, DOE SciDAC, NSF, DFG and others
- Computing: NERSC, DOE INCITE, DOE ALCC, ALCF, OLCF, USQCD (BNL, FNAL, JLab), CSCS, CINECA, NIC-Juelich

#### Outline

- QCD Thermodynamics in theory and experiment
- Theoretical methodology
- Improved actions and continuum limit
- Criticality in QCD
- Deconfinement
- QCD equation of state
- Outlook

#### Units of measure

- Convenient unit of energy for subatomic physics is  $1 \text{ eV} = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$
- For this talk:  $1 \text{ MeV} = 10^6 \text{ eV}$
- Typical length scale:  $1 \text{ fm} = 10^{-15} \text{ m}$
- Natural units  $\hbar = c = 1$

$$1 = \hbar c \simeq 200 \text{ MeV} \times 1 \text{ fm}$$

• Typical mass scales:

light quarks ~ few MeV pion ~ 140 MeV proton ~ 1 GeV

#### Standard Model



- Quantum Field Theory:
- Quantum Chromodynamics
- Electro-Weak = QED + weak interaction

#### Standard Model



- Quantum Field Theory:
- Quantum Chromodynamics
- Electro-Weak = QED + weak interaction
- EW+QCD=Standard Model
- Very successful, but many puzzles remain

#### Standard Model



- Quantum Field Theory:
- Quantum Chromodynamics
- Electro-Weak = QED + weak interaction
- EW+QCD=Standard Model
- Very successful, but many puzzles remain

• QED – most developed, i.e. electron magnetic moment:

 $a_e(exp) = 1159652180.73(0.28) \times 10^{-12}$  $a_e(the) = 1159652181.13(0.11)(0.37)(0.02)(0.77) \times 10^{-12}$ 

Aoyama et al. PRD85 (2012)

#### Quantum Chromodynamics



• Quantum Chromodynamics

#### Quantum Chromodynamics



• Finite temperature: collective behavior, response to change in external parameters, thermodynamics

#### QCD Thermodynamics

### QCD phases



Asymptotic freedom
 suggests weakly
 interacting phase at
 high temperature or
 density
 Collins and Perry (1975), Cabbibo and Parisi (1975)

 Need very high T, about 10<sup>12</sup> K!

#### QCD Thermodynamics

- What is the order of the transition to QGP at  $\mu_B=0$ ?
- What is the transition temperature?
- What are the signatures of deconfinement and chiral symmetry restoration?
- What is the structure of the phase diagram at  $\mu_B > 0$ ?
- What is the equation of state of QGP?
- What happens to the QCD spectrum close to the transition?
- How do the interactions get screened in the plasma?
- At what temperatures does the asymptotic regime (weakly interacting gas) become valid?





RHIC, BNL:LHC, CERN:PHENIX, STARALICE, ATLAS, CMSgold-goldlead-leadDiscovery: QGP - perfect fluid $\eta/s \leq 0.2$ 

BRAHMS, PHOBOS, STAR, PHENIX, NPA 757 (2005)



• Collide heavy nuclei at almost the speed of light



- Collide heavy nuclei at almost the speed of light
- If the energy is high enough, hadrons melt and the system is in the quark-gluon plasma phase



- Collide heavy nuclei at almost the speed of light
- If the energy is high enough, hadrons melt and the system is in the quark-gluon plasma phase
- The system expands, cools down and breaks into the hadrons of the final state, which are detected

#### Theoretical methodology

#### QCD: running coupling constant



• Small at large energy scale – asymptotic freedom

Gross and Wilczek; Politzer (1973)

• Large at low energies (where we live)

#### Quantum field theory

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}[\psi] \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi}] \mathcal{D}[A] \ \mathcal{O} \exp(-\mathcal{S}_E(T, V, \vec{\mu}))$$
$$\mathcal{Z}(T, V, \vec{\mu}) = \int \mathcal{D}[\psi] \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi}] \mathcal{D}[A] \exp(-\mathcal{S}_E(T, V, \vec{\mu}))$$

#### Quantum field theory

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}[\psi] \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi}] \mathcal{D}[A] \ \mathcal{O} \exp(-\mathcal{S}_E(T, V, \vec{\mu})) \\ \mathcal{Z}(T, V, \vec{\mu}) &= \int \mathcal{D}[\psi] \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi}] \mathcal{D}[A] \exp(-\mathcal{S}_E(T, V, \vec{\mu})) \\ \mathcal{S}_E(T, V, \vec{\mu}) &= -\int_0^{1/T} dx_0 \int_V d^3 \mathbf{x} \mathcal{L}^E(\vec{\mu}) \\ \mathcal{L}^E(\vec{\mu}) &= \mathcal{L}^E_{QCD} + \sum_{f=u, d, s} \mu_f \bar{\psi}_f \gamma_0 \psi_f \end{aligned}$$

#### Quantum field theory

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}[\psi] \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi}] \mathcal{D}[A] \ \mathcal{O} \exp(-\mathcal{S}_E(T, V, \vec{\mu})) \\ \mathcal{Z}(T, V, \vec{\mu}) &= \int \mathcal{D}[\psi] \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi}] \mathcal{D}[A] \exp(-\mathcal{S}_E(T, V, \vec{\mu})) \\ \mathcal{S}_E(T, V, \vec{\mu}) &= -\int_0^{1/T} dx_0 \int_V d^3 \mathbf{x} \mathcal{L}^E(\vec{\mu}) \\ \mathcal{L}^E(\vec{\mu}) &= \mathcal{L}^E_{QCD} + \sum_{f=u,d,s} \mu_f \bar{\psi}_f \gamma_0 \psi_f \\ \mathcal{L}^E_{QCD} &= -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu}_a F^a_{\mu\nu} \\ &- \sum_{f=u,d,s} \bar{\psi}^\alpha_f(x) \left( (\gamma^E_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} D^E_\mu + m_f \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right) \psi^\beta_f(x) \end{split}$$

#### Lattice gauge theory



- Euclidean space-time
- Hypercubic lattice
- This is gauge-invariant
  - regularization, cutoff  $\pi/a$
- Fermions integrated out

#### Lattice gauge theory



- Euclidean space-time
- Hypercubic lattice
- This is gauge-invariant
  - regularization, cutoff  $\pi/a$
- Fermions integrated out
- Evaluate path integrals by Monte Carlo random walk in the phase space
- Physics recovered in the continuum limit

#### QCD spectrum $B_c^*$ $H_{s}^{*}$ $B_{c}$ $H_{s}$ $H^{*}$ Η 2400 2200 2000 1800 1600 1400 (MeV) 1200 **1** 1000 800 \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_₹ 600 0000 400 200 0000 0 K N Σ ω Ξ π $K^*$ η η φ $\Sigma^*$ $\Omega$ ρ Λ $\Xi^*$ Δ

Review by Kronfeld (2012)

• Hadrons: lattice vs experiment

#### Finite-temperature QCD

• 1975 – QGP phase suggested

Collins and Perry (1975), Cabbibo and Parisi (1975)

• 1979 – perturbative equation of state

Kapusta (1979)

• 1981 - SU(2) pure gauge theory on the lattice

McLerran, Svetitsky (1981), Kuti et al. (1981), Engels et al. (1981)

• 1996 – SU(3) pure gauge theory on lattice, firstorder phase transition, equation of state, continuum Boyd et al. (1996)

#### Finite-temperature QCD

• 2006 – nature of the transition in 2+1 flavor QCD, crossover

Bernard et al. [MILC] (2005), Cheng et al. [RBC-Bielefeld] (2006), Aoki et al. [BW] (2006)

• 2012 – QCD chiral crossover temperature, physical masses, continuum

Aoki et al. [BW] (2010), Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] (2012)

 2014 – 2+1 flavor QCD equation of state on the lattice, physical masses, continuum

Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] (2014), Borsanyi et al. [BW] (2014)

#### Finite-temperature QCD

- 2017 QCD equation of state at finite density up to the sixth order in baryon chemical potential Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] (2017)
- 2019 QCD chiral crossover temperature, physical masses, continuum, high precision

Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] (2019)

#### Improved actions and continuum limit

#### Improved actions

• Need to discretize a first order differential operator:

$$\frac{df}{dx} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2a} \left[ f(x+a) - f(x-a) \right] + O(a^2)$$

• To reduce discretization error either use a finer grid, but more computationally expensive...

#### Improved actions

• Need to discretize a first order differential operator:

$$\frac{df}{dx} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2a} \left[ f(x+a) - f(x-a) \right] + O(a^2)$$

- To reduce discretization error either use a finer grid, but more computationally expensive...
- ... or use a smarter finite difference:

$$\frac{df}{dx} \to \frac{2}{3a} \left[ f(x+a) - f(x-a) \right] - \frac{1}{12a} \left[ f(x+2a) - f(x-2a) \right] + O(a^4)$$

• Note: same continuum limit

#### HISQ action

- Highly more improvement than previously
- Improved adding higher-order (irrelevant) <sub>Symanzik (1980)</sub> operators suppresses discretization effects
- Staggered particular fermion discretization scheme which partially deals with the fermion doubling problem
- Quarks

Follana et al. [HPQCD] PRD75 (2007), Bazavov et al. [MILC] PRD82 (2010)

#### Why continuum limit is a big deal?



- Lattice artifacts make the spectrum heavier, the lightest states are the most affected
- Simulation cost scales as  $\left(\frac{L}{a}\right)^4 \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{m_\pi^2 a} \sim \frac{1}{a^6}$

#### Criticality in QCD and chiral crossover

#### Criticality in QCD



Pisarski and Wilczek (1984)

- Pure gauge infinitely heavy quarks
- QCD almost massless quarks
- Criticality in the chiral limit

#### Criticality in QCD



- Pure gauge infinitely heavy quarks
- QCD almost massless quarks
  - Criticality in the chiral limit

• Order parameter – chiral condensate  $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \sim \frac{d\ln Z}{dm}$ 

• Chiral susceptibility  $\chi \sim \langle (\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 \rangle - \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle^2$ 

#### Chiral symmetry



- Susceptibility diverges in the chiral limit
- Define crossover temperature from the peak

#### Crossover temperature (2012)



• Continuum extrapolation at the physical mass:

 $T_c = 154(9) \text{ MeV}$ 

#### Crossover temperature (2019)



Steinbrecher, PhD thesis (2018), Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] accepted PLB (2019)

• Continuum extrapolation, five quantities:

 $T_c = 156.5(1.5) \text{ MeV}$ 

#### Deconfinement

$$\chi_2^X \sim \left\langle (\delta N_X)^2 \right\rangle, \quad \delta N_X = N_X - \left\langle N_X \right\rangle$$

$$\chi_2^X \sim \left\langle (\delta N_X)^2 \right\rangle, \quad \delta N_X = N_X - \left\langle N_X \right\rangle$$

• Generalized susceptibilities

$$\chi_{mn}^{XY} = \frac{\partial^{(m+n)} [p(\hat{\mu}_X, \hat{\mu}_Y)/T^4]}{\partial \hat{\mu}_X^m \partial \hat{\mu}_Y^n} \Big|_{\vec{\mu}=0} \qquad \begin{array}{c} X, Y = B, S, Q \\ \hat{\mu} = \mu/T \\ \vec{\mu} = \mu/T \\ \vec{\mu} = (\mu_B, \mu_S, \mu_Q) \end{array}$$

$$\chi_2^X \sim \left\langle (\delta N_X)^2 \right\rangle, \quad \delta N_X = N_X - \left\langle N_X \right\rangle$$

- Generalized susceptibilities  $\chi_{mn}^{XY} = \frac{\partial^{(m+n)}[p(\hat{\mu}_X, \hat{\mu}_Y)/T^4]}{\partial \hat{\mu}_X^m \partial \hat{\mu}_Y^n} \Big|_{\vec{\mu}=0} \qquad \vec{\mu} = (\mu_B, \mu_S, \mu_Q)$
- At low temperatures the partition function of QCD can be well approximated by a non-interacting gas of resonances – Hadron Resonance Gas (HRG) model

Hagedorn (1965), Dashen, Ma and Bernstein (1969)



- Baryon number fluctuations (left)
- Strangeness fluctuations (right)



#### • Comparison with ALICE data

Braun-Munzinger, Kalweit, Redlich, Stachel, PLB747 (2015)

• The partition function

$$Z = \int DU D\bar{\psi} D\psi \exp\{-S\}$$

• The trace anomaly

$$\Theta^{\mu\mu} \equiv \varepsilon - 3p = -\frac{T}{V} \frac{d\ln Z}{d\ln a}$$

• The partition function

$$Z = \int DU D\bar{\psi} D\psi \exp\{-S\}$$

• The trace anomaly

$$\Theta^{\mu\mu} \equiv \varepsilon - 3p = -\frac{T}{V}\frac{d\ln Z}{d\ln a}$$

• Pressure via the integral method

$$\frac{p}{T^4} - \frac{p_0}{T_0^4} = \int_{T_0}^T dT' \frac{\varepsilon - 3p}{T'^5}$$

• The partition function

$$Z = \int DU D\bar{\psi} D\psi \exp\{-S\}$$

• The trace anomaly

$$\Theta^{\mu\mu} \equiv \varepsilon - 3p = -\frac{T}{V} \frac{d\ln Z}{d\ln a}$$

• Pressure via the integral method

$$\frac{p}{T^4} - \frac{p_0}{T_0^4} = \int_{T_0}^T dT' \frac{\varepsilon - 3p}{T'^5}$$

• Requires additive renormalization due to breaking of the Lorentz symmetry (high computational cost)



Borsanyi et al [WB] PLB730 (2014), Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] PRD90 (2014)

• Trace anomaly, *p*, *s* (left) and speed of sound (right) at zero baryon chemical potential



Borsanyi et al [WB] PLB730 (2014), Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] PRD90 (2014)

- Trace anomaly, *p*, *s* (left) and speed of sound (right) at zero baryon chemical potential
- Additional contributions at  $\mu_B > 0$ ,  $\mu_Q = \mu_S = 0$  $\frac{\Delta P}{T^4} = \frac{1}{2}\chi_2^B(T)\hat{\mu}_B^2\left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\frac{\chi_4^B(T)}{\chi_2^B(T)}\hat{\mu}_B^2 + \frac{1}{360}\frac{\chi_6^B(T)}{\chi_2^B(T)}\hat{\mu}_B^4 + \dots\right)$

Alexei Bazavov (MSU)

### QCD equation of state at $O(\mu_B^6)$



Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] PRD95 (2017), Borsanyi et al [WB] JHEP10 (2018)

QCD equation of state at  $O(\mu_B^6)$ 



Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] PRD95 (2017), Borsanyi et al [WB] JHEP10 (2018)

QCD equation of state at  $O(\mu_B^6)$ 



Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] PRD95 (2017), Borsanyi et al [WB] JHEP10 (2018)

Alexei Bazavov (MSU)

May 10, 2019

cont. extrap.

PDG-HRG —

QM-HRG —

170

H

8

12 🔶

16 🛞

180

 $m_s/m_l=27, N_{\tau}=6$ 

T [MeV]

160

QCD equation of state at  $O(\mu_B^6)$ 



Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] PRD95 (2017), Borsanyi et al [WB] JHEP10 (2018)

Alexei Bazavov (MSU)

#### QCD equation of state at $O(\mu_B^6)$



Bazavov et al. [HotQCD] PRD95 (2017)

• The contribution to the pressure due to finite chemical potential (left) and the baryon number density (right) for strangeness neutral systems

#### QCD equation of state at high temperature



• Comparison with perturbative calculations

Laine and Schroeder (2006), Haque et al. (2014)

#### QCD equation of state at high temperature



• Update of the equation of state at high temperature, reaching up to 2 GeV

#### Future challenges

#### 1. Finite baryon chemical potential



#### 1. Finite baryon chemical potential



#### 1. Finite baryon chemical potential

- RHIC beam energy scan phase 2
- New experiments at lower energies at FAIR, GSI and NICA, Dubna
- No agreement on the location of the critical point and phases at high density on the lattice
- Progress in the Taylor expansion method, but higher orders are very noisy high computational cost
- Need more work!

#### 2.Heavy flavor and spectral functions

 Free energy of static quark anti-quark pair – screening in the plasma



• Suppression of heavy quarkonia states due to screening was suggested as a signature of QGP Matsui and Satz (1986)

#### 2.Heavy flavor and spectral functions



$$R_{AA} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{pp}}{T_{AA}N_{MB}} \frac{\Upsilon(nS)|_{PbPb}}{\Upsilon(nS)|_{pp}} \frac{\varepsilon_{pp}}{\varepsilon_{PbPb}}$$

$$R_{AA}(\Upsilon(1S)) = 0.56 \pm 0.08(\text{stat}) \pm 0.07(\text{syst}),$$

$$R_{AA}(\Upsilon(2S)) = 0.12 \pm 0.04(\text{stat}) \pm 0.02(\text{syst}),$$

$$R_{AA}(\Upsilon(3S)) = 0.03 \pm 0.04(\text{stat}) \pm 0.01(\text{syst})$$

$$< 0.10(95\%\text{CL}).$$
CMS, PRL109 (2012)

• Suppression of heavy states (e.g. Upsilon) is indeed observed!

#### 2.Heavy flavor and spectral functions

 Heavy probes can serve as a thermometer for the quark-gluon plasma



Vogt (2012)

- Heavy flavor measurements are planned with the RHIC detector upgrades and at CERN
- Lattice needs to catch up!

## 2.Heavy flavor and spectral functions $\sigma(\omega, p, T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \int d^3 x e^{ipx} \langle [J(x, t), J(x, 0)] \rangle_T$

- All information is encoded in spectral functions
- In principle, can be extracted from Euclidean correlators
- In practice, ill-defined inverse problem
- Bayesian methods are often used
- Need new methods to use all available data sets (different momenta, lattice cutoffs, etc.) to constrain the spectral function

#### 3.Perfect fluid and transport properties

- To extract the transport coefficients one also needs to reconstruct spectral functions
- No reliable estimates of viscosity on the lattice yet



• Electric conductivity is reasonable

Cassing et al, PRL110 (2013)

#### Conclusion

- Finite-temperature lattice QCD can now provide quantitative answers for the heavy-ion physics
- The transition at zero baryon density is a crossover at temperature 156.5(1.5) MeV
- Fluctuations and higher-order cumulants are sensitive probes of deconfinement
- 2+1 flavor QCD equation of state is now known at the physical masses in the continuum limit
- The Taylor expansion method can reach up to the sixth order for the equation of state
- Future challenges: non-zero chemical potential, spectral functions, transport properties, ...

#### Thank you!