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## Outline

(1) z-resolution in single chip detector
(2) z-resolution in the ILD TPC
(3) Time stamping resolution of TPC tracks in ILD

## Single chip Timepix3-based GridPix detector

Timepix3-based GridPix:

- Micro-pattern gaseous detector with grid aligned to pixels
- $65 \mathrm{~K} 55 \mu \mathrm{~m} \times 55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sized pixels

Timepix3 compared to its predecessor:

- Improved time resolution of 1.56 ns
- Simultaneous time and charge (ToT) measurement
Single chip Timepix3 detector with field shaping, guard electrode, and T2K TPC gas (Ar:CF ${ }_{4}: \mathrm{iC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ 95:3:2)



## Test beam measurements

- Test beam using 2.5 GeV electrons at the ELSA facility (Bonn)
- Tracks are reconstructed by simple linear regression
- Drift field is $280 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$
- $v_{\text {drift }}=78.86(1) \mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{ns}$ from Magboltz




## Time walk correction

Time walk error is caused by ToA depending on signal magnitude This can be corrected using

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\mathrm{tw}}=\frac{c_{1}}{t_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{oT}}}+t_{0}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



## $z$-resolution

Resolution $\sigma_{z}$ determined by longitudinal diffusion coefficient $D_{L}$ and resolution at zero drift distance $\sigma_{z 0}: \sigma_{z}^{2}=\sigma_{z 0}^{2}+D_{L}^{2}\left(z-z_{0}\right)$



Measured $D_{L}=226 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{cm}}$ (Magboltz predicts 201(5) $\mu \mathrm{m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{cm}}$ ) and $\sigma_{z 0}=139 \mu \mathrm{~m}(168 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ if including ToT $<0.60 \mu \mathrm{~s})$

## ToT error is propagated to $z$-resolution

ToT error $\sigma_{T o T}=k_{0}+k_{1}\left(t_{T o T}+t_{0}\right)$ causes error in $z$-position through time walk correction.
Fit $\sigma_{z 0}^{2}=\sigma_{\text {ToT }}^{2}+\sigma_{0}^{2}$ for slices in ToT of width $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~s}$


Fix $c_{1}$ and $t_{0}$ from time walk and fit $k_{0}, k_{1}$ and $\sigma_{0}$

## Contributions to z-resolution

Contributions at zero drift distance

| Time resolution: $\tau v_{\text {drift }} / \sqrt{12}$ | $34 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Systematics of the chip from a histogram | $47 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Uncertainty in track position | $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Fluctuations in ToT |  |
| ( $117 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for asymptotically high ToT) | $154 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Total $\sigma_{z 0}$ | $168 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |

## Simulation of ILD TPC with pixel readout

- Pixel geometry is implemented in ILD DD4hep simulation (Geant4)
- Simulated in layers of $\sim 990 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ over which to track is interpolated to $55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pixels
- An energy deposit is converted to a hit if above 27 eV (Our tune)
- Hits are smeared with hit-resolution
- $\sigma_{z}=\sqrt{\sigma_{z 0}^{2}+D_{z}^{2} z_{\text {drift }}}$
- From test beam: $\sigma_{z 0}=0.168 \mathrm{~mm}$
- From Magboltz: $D_{L}=205 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{cm}}$ (test beam $D_{L}=226 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{cm}}$ )
- Tracks are reconstructed with a Kalman filter
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## Contributions to the $z$-resolution

- Uncertainty from position measurement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{me}}^{2}=\frac{\sigma_{z}^{2}}{\sqrt{N}} \propto \frac{\sigma_{z}^{2}}{\sqrt{L_{\text {track }}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Uncertainty from weighted measurement of angle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathrm{ms}}^{2}} \propto \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}+\frac{p^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2} L^{3}} \Rightarrow \sigma_{\mathrm{ms}}^{2} \propto \frac{1}{1 / \sigma_{0}^{2}+p^{2} /\left(\sigma_{1}^{2} L^{3}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first term captures the measurement error and the second term the multiple scattering

Equations under the assumption of constant hit errors (diffusion is missing)

## Resolution as a function of angle

for muons


## Resolution as a function of angle

for muons


Parameters are set to $\sigma_{z}=64 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \sigma_{0}=76 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \sigma_{1}=87 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{-1} \underset{\text { Nik hef }}{-3}$

## Resolution as a function of momentum

for muons


## $z$-resolution as a function of momentum

for muons


Parameters are set to $\sigma_{z}=64 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \sigma_{0}=76 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \sigma_{1}=87 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{-1} \underset{\text { Nik hef }}{-3}$

## $\operatorname{Cot}(\theta)$ resolution

for muons


## $\cot (\theta)=\tan (\lambda)$

## $z$-resolution in the ILD TPC with pixel readout

At inner radius At outer radius

| 50 GeV muons at $85^{\circ}$ | $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 50 GeV muons at $25^{\circ}$ | $13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 2 GeV muons at $85^{\circ}$ | $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 2 GeV muons at $25^{\circ}$ | $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $85 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | Without systematics errors |  |

If instead of the Magboltz value of $D_{L}=205 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{cm}}$ the diffusion coefficient $D_{L}=226 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{cm}}$ from the test beam setup is used, $\sigma_{z}$ is approximately $10 \%$ greater: e.g. $\sigma_{z}=55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for 50 GeV muons at $85^{\circ}$

## Time stamping of TPC tracks in ILD

Tracks are time stamped by comparing their absolute z-position from the silicon trackers with the z-position determined from the drift time measurement in the TPC

The Silicon Internal Tracker (SIT) and the Silicon External Tracker (SET) will be build from respectively 2 and 1 layers of the same double strip planes with a $\sigma_{z}=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ resolution. So the SIT and SET resolution is approximately $50 / \sqrt{2} \mu \mathrm{~m}=35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ respectively

The precision of the silicon trackers and hit resolution put stringent requirements on the relative precision of the drift velocity. In a study for the CLIC ILD detector the relative precision from electric field, temperature and pressure was estimated to be $7 \cdot 10^{-6}$, corresponding to $16 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{1}$
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## Time stamping of TPC tracks in ILD



Assuming uncertainties of $16 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the drift velocity, $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the SIT, and $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the SET.
Multiple scattering from inner and outer TPC field was neglected

## Time stamping of TPC tracks in ILD

If the SIT is instrumented with Silicon pixels instead of Silicon strips, the time resolution can be improved


Assuming an uncertainty of $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the SIT

## Conclusion

- From test beam measurements sources of position errors in the $z$-direction are identified
- The uncertainty in a single chip Gridpix detector is given by $\sigma_{z}=\sqrt{\sigma_{z 0}^{2}+D_{L}^{2} z}$, where $\sigma_{z 0}=168 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $D_{L}=226 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{cm}}$
- The z-resolution of the ILD TPC with pixel readout is studied and from simulations found to be between $13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $85 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- The time stamping resolution of the ILD TPC is estimated to range from 0.65 ns to 1.2 ns


## The TPC as a time of flight detector

Time difference of particles is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t=\frac{L c}{2 p^{2}}\left(m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Time resolution does not suffice for particle identification (for a $2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ proton/kaon at traversing the ILD TPC at $45^{\circ}$ $\Delta t=0.632 \mathrm{~ns})$
- But is useful in searches


## Time walk correction



Timewalk corrected with $z_{\mathrm{tw}}=\frac{c_{1}}{t_{\mathrm{ToT}}+t_{0}}$
The error in the ToT should be propagated to the $z$-coordinate: $\sigma_{z}^{2}=\left(\sigma_{z 0}\left(t_{\text {ToT }}\right)\right)^{2}+D_{L}^{2}\left(z-z_{0}\right)$

## Propagation of ToT error to $\sigma_{z}$

Propagate error:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{z 0}\left(t_{T o T}\right)=\sigma_{T o T} \frac{\partial z_{t w}}{\partial t_{T_{o} T}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Derivative is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial z_{t w}}{\partial t_{T_{o} T}}=-c_{1}\left(t_{T o T}+t_{0}\right)^{-2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{T o T}=k_{0}+k_{1}\left(t_{T o T}+t_{0}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Full error becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{z}\left(t_{T_{o} T}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\left(k_{0}+k_{1}\left(t_{T o T}+t_{0}\right)\right)^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{\left(t_{T_{o} T}+t_{0}\right)^{4}}+\sigma_{0}^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$
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