would like to know ... Chris Quigg Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory



Nikhef Colloquium · 20 April 2018

Problems of High-Energy Physics (NAL Design Report, January 1968)

We would like to have answers to many questions. Among them are the following:

Which, if any, of the particles that have so far been discovered, is, in fact, elementary, and is there any validity in the concept of "elementary" particles?

What new particles can be made at energies that have not yet been reached? Is there some set of building blocks that is still more fundamental than the neutron and the proton?

Is there a law that correctly predicts the existence and nature of all the particles, and if so, what is that law?

Will the characteristics of some of the very short-lived particles appear to be different when they are produced at such higher velocities that they no longer spend their entire lives within the strong influence of the particle from which they are produced?

Do new symmetries appear or old ones disappear for high momentum-transfer events?

What is the connection, if any, of electromagnetism and strong interactions?

- Do the laws of electromagnetic radiation, which are now known to hold over an enormous range of lengths and frequencies, continue to hold in the wavelength domain characteristic of the subnuclear particles?
 - What is the connection between the weak interaction that is associated with the massless neutrino and the strong one that acts between neutron and proton?
- Is there some new particle underlying the action of the "weak" forces, just as, in the case of the nuclear force, there are mesons, and, in the case of the electromagnetic force, there are photons? If there is not, why not?
- In more technical terms: Is local field theory valid? A failure in locality may imply a failure in our concept of space. What are the fields relevant to a correct local field theory? What are the form factors of the particles? What exactly is the explanation of the electromagnetic mass difference? Do "weak" interactions become strong at sufficiently small distances? Is the Pomeranchuk theorem true? Do the total cross sections become constant at high energy? Will new symmetries appear, or old ones disappear, at higher energy?











(2005) In a decade or two, we can hope to ...

Understand electroweak symmetry breaking Detect neutrinos from the universe Observe the Higgs boson Learn how to quantize gravity Measure neutrino masses and mixings Learn why empty space is nearly massless Test the inflation hypothesis Establish neutrinos as Majorana particles Thoroughly explore CP violation in B decays Understand discrete symmetry violation Resolve the hierarchy problem Exploit rare decays (K, D, ...) Observe neutron's EDM, pursue electron's Discover new gauge forces Use top quark as a tool Directly detect dark-matter particles Observe new phases of matter Explore extra spatial dimensions Understand hadron structure quantitatively Understand the origin of large-scale structure Uncover the full implications of QCD Observe gravitational radiation Solve the strong CP problem Observe proton decay Learn whether supersymmetry is TeV-scale Understand the baryon excess Catalogue matter and energy of the universe Seek TeV-scale dynamical symmetry breaking Measure dark energy equation of state Search for new strong dynamics Search for new macroscopic forces Explain the highest-energy cosmic rays Determine the unifying symmetry Formulate the problem of identity





Before Two then-new Laws of Nature + pointlike quarks & leptons

We do not know what the Universe at large is made of.



Interactions: $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetries 8 gluons

Mendele'ev did not know of the noble gases.



The World's Most Powerful Microscopes nanonanophysics





Quantum Chromodynamics: QCD

Dynamical basis for quark model

Gluons (vector force particles) mediate interactions among the quarks and themselves experience strong interactions.

Contrast photons of QED, which mediate interactions among charged particles, not among themselves.

Quark, gluon interactions \Rightarrow nuclear forces



Antiscreening evolution of the strong coupling





Jet production: success of perturbative QCD





Hadron masses from (nonperturbative) lattice QCD







sum of parts rest energy Nucleon mass (~940 MeV): exemplar of $m = E_0/c^2$ up and down quarks contribute few % $3 \frac{m_u + m_d}{2} = 10 \pm 2 \text{ MeV}$ $\chi PT: M_N \rightarrow 870$ MeV for massless quarks



Lattice QCD: color-confinement origin of nucleon mass has explained nearly all visible mass in the Universe

(Quark masses ensure $M_p < M_n$)

NGC 1365 · DES



QCD could be complete,* up to MPlanck ... but that doesn't prove it must be Prepare for surprises! How might QCD Crack? (Breakdown of factorization) Free quarks / unconfined color New kinds of colored matter Quark compositeness Larger color symmetry containing QCD – massive gluon partners?

*modulo Strong CP Problem



Look at events in informative coordinates. than from a few specimens!

New phenomena within QCD?

- Multiple production beyond diffraction + short-range order?
 - High density of few-GeV partons ... thermalization?
 - Long-range correlations in y (or n)?
 - Unusual event structures ...
 - More is to be learned from the river of events
 - Learning to See at the Large Hadron Collider, <u>1001.2025</u>



∳pγ

→p_x

















Correlations among the partons? A proton knows it is a proton. Single-spin asymmetries imply correlations. What else?



Can we distinguish different configurations? Interplay with multiple-parton interactions?







What is a proton?

At high energy: an unseparated, broadband beam of quarks, antiquarks, and gauge bosons (primarily gluons), and perhaps other constituents, yet unknown.

→50 years of an amazingly robust idealization: Renormalization-group-improved Parton Model with one-dimensional parton distributions

Questions: intrinsic heavy flavors, saturation at small x

development of generalized parton distributions and transverse-momentum distributions





What is a proton?

- Quasistatic properties: interesting on their own, have implications for interpretation of dark matter searches: WIMP–N interactions
 - How does H interact with nucleon? H coupling to heavy flavors: s, b, ...
- Muon-storage-ring Neutrino Factory could deliver 10²⁰ v per year for on-campus experiments
 - Polarized target? H/D target? Active target? What would constitute the ideal experiment(s)?





New spectroscopy of quarkonium-associated states



Stable doubly heavy tetraquark mesons



Eichten & CQ (PRL)

What body plans beyond qqq, qq?



Electroweak Symmetry Breaking



Interactions: $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_t \otimes U(1)_\gamma$ gauge symmetries 8 gluons $W^{\pm} \cdot Z^0 \cdot \gamma$



Gauge symmetry (group-theory structure) tested in $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-$







Meissner effect

Photon has mass in a superconductor



Simplest example: Abelian Higgs model

- = Ginzburg-Landau in relativistic notation
 - Yields massive photon
 - a massive scalar particle "Higgs boson"
- No mention of weak interactions in 1964 papers.
 - No question of origin of fermion masses (not an issue for Yang–Mills theory or QED).



An a priori unknown agent hides electroweak symmetry A force of a new character, based on interactions of an elementary scalar

- OR
- A new gauge force, perhaps acting on
 - undiscovered constituents
 - OR
- A residual force that emerges from strong
- dynamics among electroweak gauge bosons
 - OR
 - An echo of extra spacetime dimensions OR





<u>The Importance of the I-TeV Scale</u>

- EW theory does not predict Higgs-boson mass Thought experiment: conditional upper bound
 - W+W-, ZZ, HH, HZ satisfy s-wave unitarity,
 - provided $M_H \leq (8\pi\sqrt{2}/3G_F)^{1/2} \approx 1 \text{ TeV}$

- If bound is respected, perturbation theory is "everywhere" reliable If not, weak interactions among W^{\pm} , Z, H become strong on I-TeV scale
 - New phenomena are to be found around I TeV









Standard Model Production Cross Section Measurements



Status: March 2018

~I Hz





Evolution of CMS 4-lepton Signal





Evolution of ATLAS YY Signal





LHC can study Higgs boson in many channels





 $\gamma\gamma$, WW^* , ZZ^* , T^+T^- , b pairs, ...

What the LHC has told us about H so far

Motivates HL-LHC, Motivates HL-LHC, Higgs factory electron-positron Higgs

- Evidence is developing as it would for a "standard-model" Higgs boson
- Unstable neutral particle near 125 GeV
 - $M_H = 125.09 \pm 0.24 \text{ GeV}$
 - decays to YY, W+W-, ZZ
 - dominantly spin-parity 0⁺ **Hff** couplings not universa evidence for T^+T^- , $b\overline{b}$, $t\overline{t}$; $\mu^+\mu^-$ limited Only third-generation fermions tested





Quantum corrections test electroweak theory



GfitterGroup, March 2018





Why does discovering the agent matter?



Imagine a world without a symmetry-breaking (Higgs) mechanism at the electroweak scale



Electron and quarks would have no mass via Higgs QCD would confine quarks into protons, etc. Nucleon mass little changed Surprise: QCD would hide EW symmetry, give tiny masses to W,Z Massless electron: atoms lose integrity No atoms means no chemistry, no stable composite structures like liquids, solids, no template for life.



Fully accounts for EWSB (W, Z couplings)? Couples to fermions? t from production, Htt need direct observation for b, T Accounts for fermion masses? Fermion couplings \propto masses? Are there others? Quantum numbers? $(I^{P} = 0^{+})$ SM branching fractions to gauge bosons? Decays to new particles? All production modes as expected? Implications of $M_H \approx 125$ GeV? Any sign of new strong dynamics?





What we expect of the standard-model Higgs sector

- Hide electroweak symmetry
 - Give masses to W, Z, H
- Regulate Higgs-Goldstone scattering
 - Account for quark masses, mixings
- Account for charged-lepton masses
- A role in neutrino masses? / A portal to hidden sectors?



ΦBSM





Why does gauge sy

 $\zeta_{e} \left[(\bar{e}_{L} \Phi) e_{R} + \bar{e}_{R} (\Phi) e_{R} + \bar{e}_{R} (\Phi) e_{R} + \bar{e}_{R} (\Phi) e_{R} + \bar{e}_{R} (\Phi) e_{R} e_{R}$

Why does the muon weigh?

- gauge symmetry allows
- $\zeta_{e} \left[(\bar{e}_{L} \Phi) e_{R} + \bar{e}_{R} (\Phi^{\dagger} e_{L}) \right] \rightsquigarrow m_{e} = \zeta_{e} v / \sqrt{2}$
 - after spontaneous symmetry breaking
 - What does the muon weigh?
- ς_e : picked to give right mass, not predicted
- fermion mass implies physics beyond the standard model

Charged Fermion Masses



Running mass $m(m) \dots m(U)$



Quark family patterns: generations



Veltman: Higgs boson knows something we don't know!



0... 0





How might ratios far from unity arise? Could extra dimensions explain the range of fermion masses?



Fermions ride separate tracks in 5th dimension Small offsets in x4: exponential differences in masses

Will the fermion masses and mixings reveal symmetries or dynamics or principles?

Some questions now seem to us the wrong questions: Kepler's obsession – Why six planets in those orbits?

Landscape interpretation as environmental parameters

Might still hope to find equivalent of Kepler's Laws!



The Problem of Identity

What makes a top quark a top quark,

- an electron an electron, a neutrino a neutrino?
 - Why three families?
- Neutrino oscillations give us another take. Clue to matter excess in the universe? Might new kinds of matter unlock the pattern?



Direct searches for WIMP dark matter





More new physics on the TeV scale?

- Production of WIMP dark matter
 - "Naturalness"
- Hierarchy problem: EW scale \ll Unification or Planck scale
 - Vacuum energy problem
 - Clues to origin of EWSB



Supersymmetry could respond to many SM problems, but (as we currently understand it) it is largely unprincipled!

- R-parity (overkill for proton stability) gives dark-matter candidate
 - µ problem (getting TeV scale right)
- Taming flavor-changing neutral currents
 - All these are added by hand!
- Very promising: search in EW production modes reexamine squark + EWino, too.



How have we misunderstood the hierarchy problem?

If other physical scales are present, there is something to understand

We originally sought once-and-done remedies, such as supersymmetry or technicolor

Go in steps, or reframe the problem?







Hierarchy Problem – a second look



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE DIRECT®

Nuclear Physics B (Proc. Suppl.) 140 (2005) 3–19

The Origins of Lattice Gauge Theory

K.G. Wilson

Smith Laboratory, Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, 174 W. 18th Ave., Columbus, OH 43210

NUCLEAR PHYSICS B PROCEEDINGS **SUPPLEMENTS**

www.elsevierphysics.com

The final blunder was a claim that scalar elementary particles were unlikely to occur in elementary particle physics at currently measurable energies unless they were associated with some kind of broken symmetry [23]. The claim was that, otherwise, their masses were likely to be far higher than could be detected. The claim was that it would be unnatural for such particles to have masses small enough to be detectable soon. But this claim makes no sense when one becomes familiar with the history of physics. There have been a number of cases where numbers arose that were unexpectedly small or large. An early example was the very large distance to the nearest star as compared to the distance to the Sun, as needed by Copernicus, because otherwise the nearest stars would have exhibited measurable parallax as the Earth moved around the Sun. Within elementary particle physics, one has unexpectedly large ratios of masses, such as the large ratio of the muon mass to the electron mass. There is also the very small value of the weak coupling constant. In the time since my paper was written, another set of unexpectedly small masses was discovered: the neutrino masses. There is also the riddle of dark energy in cosmology, with its implication of possibly an extremely small value for the cosmological constant in Einstein's theory of general relativity.

This blunder was potentially more serious, if it caused any subsequent researchers to dismiss possibilities for very large or very small values for parameters that now must be taken seriously. But I 52



Parameters of the Standard Model

 26^{+}

coupling parameters $\alpha_s, \alpha_{em}, \sin^2 \theta_W$ parameters of the Higgs potential Flavor physics may be where we see, or diagnose, vacuum phase (QCD) quark masses the break in the SM. quark mixing angles CP-violating phase charged-lepton masses neutrino masses leptonic mixing angles leptonic CP-violating phase (+ Majorana ...) arbitrary parameters



Some outstanding questions in V physics What is the composition of V_3 ?



Before most-recent experiments

Some outstanding questions in V physics

Absolute scale Absolute masses? of neutrino masses?



NOVA, T2K v_e appearance begin to hint normal hierarchy



Some outstanding questions in V physics **CP** Violation? T2K disfavors $0 < \delta < \pi$ at 90% CL NOVA shows some sensitivity Are neutrinos Majorana particles? Search for $(Z,A) \rightarrow (Z+2,A) + ee: \beta \beta_{0\nu}$ Do 3 light neutrinos suffice? Are there light sterile v? Short baseline V experiments test for light steriles How can we detect the cosmic v background? V_i, V_i number density now: 56/cm³, $\propto (1+z)^3 T_{v0} = 1.945 \text{ K} = 1.697 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto (1+z)^3 T_v = 1.697 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto (1+z)^3 T_v = 1.697 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto (1+z)^3 T_v = 1.697 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto (1+z)^3 T_v = 1.697 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto (1+z)^3 T_v = 1.697 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto (1+z)^3 T_v = 1.697 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto (1+z)^3 T_v = 1.697 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto (1+z)^3 T_v = 1.697 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto (1+z)^3 T_v = 1.697 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto (1+z)^3 T_v \approx 1.697 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto 10^{-4} \text{ eV}; T_v \propto 10^{-4}$





At Earth, expect: $\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{std}} \equiv \{\varphi_e, \varphi_\mu, \varphi_\tau\} = \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\}$ Flux at Earth reveals 3 flux at source 0 (stable V) 10.2 00 ~ 6 00

0

01

0

6

φe

50

 Φ^0 sta

R

S

20

20



Cosmic V flux

If only V_1 or V_3 arrives at Earth, $\Phi_{observed}$ differs greatly from Φ_{std} , and can be distinguished from oscillations from an arbitrary Φ^0 .

normal

40

30

S

80

10

20

10





A Unified Theory? ms so remarkably

Why are atoms so remarkably neutral?



Coupling constant unification?

Extended quark–lepton families: proton decay! $n-\overline{n}$ oscillations



Unification of Forces?



1/lpha

Might (HE-)LHC (or 100-TeV) see change in evolution?

log(Q [GeV])

Tabletop precision experiments Electric dipole moment *d*_e: CP/T violation

> $|d_e| < 8.7 \times 10^{-29} e \cdot cm$ <u>ACME Collaboration, ThO</u> $|d_e| < 1.3 \times 10^{-28} e \cdot cm$ <u>NIST, trapped ¹⁸⁰Hf¹⁹F⁺</u>

(<u>SM phases</u>: $d_e < 10^{-38} e \cdot cm$)

Tabletop precision experiments

- (Anti)proton magnetic moments: CPT test
 - $\mu_{\overline{D}} = -2.792 847 344 (42) \mu_N$
 - VS. $\mu_{b} = + 2.792 847 344 62 (82) \mu_{N}$

BASE Collaboration @CERN Antiproton Decelerator

- A few more questions in closing
- Where are flavor-changing neutral currents? Is charged-current universality exact? Can we find evidence for charged-lepton flavor violation?
- Can we find right-handed charged-current interactions? Can we detect $H \rightarrow c\bar{c}$?
- Can we observe axions / dark photons / ... ?
- Might we be misreading the evidence about dark matter?
- Can we observe electric dipole moments of μ , p?
- What is the order of the electroweak phase transition?
- Can we probe dark energy in laboratory experiments?
- What are best uses of a fully instrumented beam dump?

Exercise I. How should we respond if: (a) The DAMA "seasonal variation" cannot be explained away? (b) The LHC Higgs signal strength settles at $\mu = 1.17 \pm 0.03$? Or if $H\bar{t}\bar{t}$ remains high? (c) The LHCb flavor anomalies persist? (d) The muon (g-2) anomaly strengthens? (e) WIMP dark matter searches reach the neutrino floor? Exercise 2. Sketch five "small-scale" (you define) experiments with the potential to change our thinking about particle physics or related fields.

Exercise 3. How would you assess the scientific potential (in view of cost and schedule) of (a) The High-Luminosity LHC? (b) The High-Energy LHC? (c) A 100-TeV pp Collider (FCC-hh)? (d) A 250-GeV ILC? (e) A circular Higgs factory (FCC-ee or CEPC)? (f) A 380-GeV CLIC? (g) LHeC / FCC-eh? (or an e-ion collider) (h) A muon-storage-ring neutrino factory? (i) A multi-TeV muon collider? (j) The instrument of your dreams?

